

The purpose of this amendment is to amend Solicitation No. 89303319REM000055 as described below, and incorporate the changes in the conformed copy of the solicitation. All other sections of the Final RFP remain unchanged. Red-line changes for all the sections that have changed will be provided.

Amendment 0002 to Solicitation No. 89303319REM000055

RFP Section Reference	Final RFP Posting	Amendment 2 Posting
Section B B.6 Funding Profile	Table B-2, Funding Profile is being replaced in its entirety.	Table B-2, Funding Profile has been revised and replaced.
Section B B.13 Performance Management Incentive		Adding clause B.13 Performance Management Incentive.
Section C	The following Sections have been revised in Section C: Background; C.4 Maintenance Mockup Facility (Bldg. 717-F); C.5 Core Functions: Program Support/Services; C.5.1 Project Support Performance Requirements; C.6.2 Nuclear Safety Management; C.6.4 Radiological Assistance Program (RAP); C.6.6 Criticality Safety; C.6.7 Training; C.6.8.2 Environmental Compliance Activities; C.6.11 Conduct of Engineering; C.6.13 Fire Protection Program; C.7.1 Personal Property Management Program; and C.9 Records. C.9.1 Records Management and Document Control; C.9.2 Electronic Records Management System; C.9.3 Electronic Records; C.9.4 Audiovisual Records; C.9.5 Essential Records Program; C.9.6 Records Ownership; C.9.7 Creation/Receipt; C.9.8 electronic Information Systems; C.9.9 Inventory and File Plan; C.9.10 Maintenance; C.9.11 Quality Assurance Records; C.9.12 privacy Act records; C.9.13 Classified records; C.9.14 Records Requests; C.9.15 Records Disposition; C.9.16 Document Control; C.10.2 Industrial Hygiene; C.10.3 Beryllium Program; and Section C is being replaced in its entirety.	Section C has been revised and replaced.
Section G	(e) Nothing in this clause shall affect the rights of either the Government or the Contractor under the Section I Prompt Payment	(e) Nothing in this clause shall affect the rights of either the Government or the Contractor under the Section I Prompt Payment

RFP Section Reference	Final RFP Posting	Amendment 2 Posting
<p>G.7 Invoice/Payment Procedures (e)</p>	<p>clauses of this contract. The Government is not limited to fourteen (14) calendar days to notify the Contractor of a defective invoice, and may notify and/or initiate withholding, set-off, or reduction until final payment to the Contractor.</p>	<p>clauses of this contract. The Government is not limited to fourteen thirty (+430) calendar days to notify the Contractor of a defective invoice, and may notify and/or initiate withholding, set-off, or reduction until final payment to the Contractor.</p>
<p>Section H H.4 DOE-H-2001 Employee Compensation: Pay and Benefits (Oct 2017) (Revised) (f) and (g)</p>	<p>(f) Establishment and Maintenance of Pension Plans for which DOE Reimburses Costs</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(1) Employees working for the Contractor shall only accrue credit for service under this Contract after the date of Notice to Proceed.</p> <p>(g) Except for Commingled Plans in existence as of the effective date of the Contract, any pension plan maintained by the Contractor for which DOE reimburses costs shall be maintained as a separate pension plan distinct from any other pension plan that provides credit for service not performed under a DOE cost-reimbursement contract. When deemed appropriate by the CO, Commingled Plans shall be converted to Separate Plans after the effective date of the transition task order Basic Requirements</p> <p>The Contractor shall adhere to the requirements set forth below in the establishment and administration of pension plans that are reimbursed by DOE pursuant to cost reimbursement contracts for management and operation of DOE facilities and pursuant to other cost reimbursement facilities contracts. Pension Plans include Defined Benefit and Defined Contribution plans.</p>	<p>(f) Establishment and Maintenance of Pension Plans for which DOE Reimburses Costs</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(1) Employees working for the Contractor shall only accrue credit for service under this Contract after the date of Notice to Proceed.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(2) Except for Commingled Plans in existence as of the effective date of the Contract, any pension plan maintained by the Contractor for which DOE reimburses costs shall be maintained as a separate pension plan distinct from any other pension plan that provides credit for service not performed under a DOE cost-reimbursement contract. When deemed appropriate by the CO, Commingled Plans shall be converted to Separate Plans after the effective date of the transition task order Basic Requirements.</p> <p>(g) Basic Requirements</p> <p>The Contractor shall adhere to the requirements set forth below in the establishment and administration of pension plans that are reimbursed by DOE pursuant to cost reimbursement contracts for management and operation of DOE facilities and pursuant to other cost reimbursement facilities contracts. Pension Plans include Defined Benefit and Defined Contribution plans.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(1) The Contractor shall become a sponsor of the existing pension and other benefit plans, (or comparable successor plans), including other PRB plans, as applicable, with responsibility for management and administration of the plans. The Contractor shall be responsible for maintaining the qualified status of those plans consistent with the requirements of the ERISA and the</p>

RFP Section Reference	Final RFP Posting	Amendment 2 Posting																												
		Internal Revenue Code (IRC). The Contractor shall carry over the length of service credit and leave balances accrued as of the date of the Contractor’s assumption of Contract performance.																												
	<p>(a) Pursuant to the clause DEAR 952.215-70 entitled, <i>Key Personnel</i>, the required key personnel for this Contract are identified below (Table H-1):</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Table H-1. Key Personnel</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="409 578 1197 1003"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="409 578 825 630">Name</th> <th data-bbox="825 578 1197 630">Position</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="409 630 825 682">[Offeror Fill-In]</td> <td data-bbox="825 630 1197 682">Program Manager</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="409 682 825 734">[Offeror Fill-In]</td> <td data-bbox="825 682 1197 734">Operations Manager</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="409 734 825 786">[Offeror Fill-In]</td> <td data-bbox="825 734 1197 786">Engineering Manager</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="409 786 825 837">[Offeror Fill-In]</td> <td data-bbox="825 786 1197 837">Business Manager</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="409 837 825 951">[Offeror Fill-In]</td> <td data-bbox="825 837 1197 951">Environment, Safety, Health, and Quality (ESH & Q) Manager*</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="409 951 825 1003">[Offeror Fill-In]</td> <td data-bbox="825 951 1197 1003">As applicable</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p data-bbox="409 1036 1140 1097">*Manager responsible for environmental and regulatory compliance, performance assessments, and NDAA Section 3116 compliance.</p>	Name	Position	[Offeror Fill-In]	Program Manager	[Offeror Fill-In]	Operations Manager	[Offeror Fill-In]	Engineering Manager	[Offeror Fill-In]	Business Manager	[Offeror Fill-In]	Environment, Safety, Health, and Quality (ESH & Q) Manager*	[Offeror Fill-In]	As applicable	<p>(a) Pursuant to the clause DEAR 952.215-70 entitled, <i>Key Personnel</i>, the required key personnel for this Contract are identified below (Table H-1):</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Table H-1. Key Personnel</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1226 578 2018 1003"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="1226 578 1642 630">Name</th> <th data-bbox="1642 578 2018 630">Position</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="1226 630 1642 682">[Offeror Fill-In]</td> <td data-bbox="1642 630 2018 682">Program Manager</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1226 682 1642 734">[Offeror Fill-In]</td> <td data-bbox="1642 682 2018 734">Operations Manager</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1226 734 1642 786">[Offeror Fill-In]</td> <td data-bbox="1642 734 2018 786">Engineering Manager</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1226 786 1642 837">[Offeror Fill-In]</td> <td data-bbox="1642 786 2018 837">Business Manager</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1226 837 1642 951">[Offeror Fill-In]</td> <td data-bbox="1642 837 2018 951">Environment, Safety, Health, and Quality (ESH & Q) Manager</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1226 951 1642 1003">[Offeror Fill-In]</td> <td data-bbox="1642 951 2018 1003">As applicable</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p data-bbox="1226 1036 1957 1097">*Manager responsible for environmental and regulatory compliance, performance assessments, and NDAA Section 3116 compliance.</p>	Name	Position	[Offeror Fill-In]	Program Manager	[Offeror Fill-In]	Operations Manager	[Offeror Fill-In]	Engineering Manager	[Offeror Fill-In]	Business Manager	[Offeror Fill-In]	Environment, Safety, Health, and Quality (ESH & Q) Manager	[Offeror Fill-In]	As applicable
Name	Position																													
[Offeror Fill-In]	Program Manager																													
[Offeror Fill-In]	Operations Manager																													
[Offeror Fill-In]	Engineering Manager																													
[Offeror Fill-In]	Business Manager																													
[Offeror Fill-In]	Environment, Safety, Health, and Quality (ESH & Q) Manager*																													
[Offeror Fill-In]	As applicable																													
Name	Position																													
[Offeror Fill-In]	Program Manager																													
[Offeror Fill-In]	Operations Manager																													
[Offeror Fill-In]	Engineering Manager																													
[Offeror Fill-In]	Business Manager																													
[Offeror Fill-In]	Environment, Safety, Health, and Quality (ESH & Q) Manager																													
[Offeror Fill-In]	As applicable																													

RFP Section Reference	Final RFP Posting	Amendment 2 Posting																												
<p>Section H H.50 Task Ordering Procedure (g)</p>	<p>(g) With the exception of the Transition Task Order, the Contractor's Task Order Proposals shall include separate small business subcontracting goals that afford small businesses with the maximum practicable opportunity to participate in Task Order performance consistent with efficient performance. In developing its proposed separate small business subcontracting goals, the Contractor shall establish minimum goals for each small business category for each Task Order to ensure overall cumulative compliance with the following small business subcontracting goals for the Master IDIQ Contract:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="422 581 1157 1032"> <thead> <tr> <th>Small Business Category</th> <th>Small Business Goals (Percentages are based on the total available subcontracting dollars)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Small Businesses (categories below are subsets within this category)</td> <td>50%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Veteran-Owned Small Business (VOSB)</td> <td>3%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Service-Disabled Veteran-Owned Small Business (SDVOSB)</td> <td>3%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Historically Underutilized Business Zone (HUBZone)</td> <td>3%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Small Disadvantaged Business</td> <td>5%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Women-Owned Small Business</td> <td>20%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>*The small business subcategories may not necessarily add up to the overall percentage in the Small Business category, since some small businesses may not fall into any of the subcategories, while others may fall into more than one subcategory.</p> <p>Proposed small business subcontracting goals shall be the percent of total subcontracted work specified in each Task Order in compliance with the Contractor's Master Small Business Subcontracting Plan, the requirements of the Section H clause entitled, <i>Subcontracted Work</i>, and FAR 52.219-9. With each Task Order Proposal, the Contractor shall submit a revised Master Small Business Subcontracting Plan to be incorporated as part of the master contract Section J, Attachment J-5 entitled, <i>Master Small Business Subcontracting Plan</i>.</p>	Small Business Category	Small Business Goals (Percentages are based on the total available subcontracting dollars)	Small Businesses (categories below are subsets within this category)	50%	Veteran-Owned Small Business (VOSB)	3%	Service-Disabled Veteran-Owned Small Business (SDVOSB)	3%	Historically Underutilized Business Zone (HUBZone)	3%	Small Disadvantaged Business	5%	Women-Owned Small Business	20%	<p>(g) With the exception of the Transition Task Order, the Contractor's Task Order Proposals shall include separate small business subcontracting goals that afford small businesses with the maximum practicable opportunity to participate in Task Order performance consistent with efficient performance. In developing its proposed separate small business subcontracting goals, the Contractor shall establish minimum goals for each small business category for each Task Order to ensure overall cumulative compliance with the following small business subcontracting goals for the Master IDIQ Contract:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1236 521 1997 748"> <thead> <tr> <th>Small Business Category</th> <th>Small Business Goals as a percentage of total subcontracting dollars</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Small Businesses (categories below are subsets within this category)</td> <td>50%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Veteran-Owned Small Business (VOSB)</td> <td>3%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Service-Disabled Veteran-Owned Small Business (SDVOSB)</td> <td>3%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Historically Underutilized Business Zone (HUBZone)</td> <td>3%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Small Disadvantaged Business</td> <td>5%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Women-Owned Small Business</td> <td>20%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>*The small business subcategories may not necessarily add up to the overall percentage in the Small Business category, since some small businesses may not fall into any of the subcategories, while others may fall into more than one subcategory. Example: Contractor plans to subcontract 50% of the Task Order value with a goal of 50% of subcontracted dollars to be issued to Small Businesses. This would equate to 25% of the total Task Order value being subcontracted to Small Businesses regarding compliance with the Subcontracted Work clause.</p> <p>Proposed small business subcontracting goals shall be the percent of total subcontracted work specified in each Task Order in compliance with the Contractor's Master Small Business Subcontracting Plan, the requirements of the Section H clause entitled, <i>Subcontracted Work</i>, and FAR 52.219-9. With each Task Order Proposal, the Contractor shall submit a revised Master Small Business Subcontracting Plan to be incorporated as part of the master contract Section J, Attachment J-5 entitled, <i>Master Small Business Subcontracting Plan</i>.</p> <p>If the scope of the Task Order is not conducive to establish or achieve the small business goals for each small business category identified in the table above, the Contractor shall submit to the Contracting Officer for approval, the justification for the proposed small business category percentages for that specific Task Order.</p>	Small Business Category	Small Business Goals as a percentage of total subcontracting dollars	Small Businesses (categories below are subsets within this category)	50%	Veteran-Owned Small Business (VOSB)	3%	Service-Disabled Veteran-Owned Small Business (SDVOSB)	3%	Historically Underutilized Business Zone (HUBZone)	3%	Small Disadvantaged Business	5%	Women-Owned Small Business	20%
Small Business Category	Small Business Goals (Percentages are based on the total available subcontracting dollars)																													
Small Businesses (categories below are subsets within this category)	50%																													
Veteran-Owned Small Business (VOSB)	3%																													
Service-Disabled Veteran-Owned Small Business (SDVOSB)	3%																													
Historically Underutilized Business Zone (HUBZone)	3%																													
Small Disadvantaged Business	5%																													
Women-Owned Small Business	20%																													
Small Business Category	Small Business Goals as a percentage of total subcontracting dollars																													
Small Businesses (categories below are subsets within this category)	50%																													
Veteran-Owned Small Business (VOSB)	3%																													
Service-Disabled Veteran-Owned Small Business (SDVOSB)	3%																													
Historically Underutilized Business Zone (HUBZone)	3%																													
Small Disadvantaged Business	5%																													
Women-Owned Small Business	20%																													
<p>Section H H.68 Information Technology and</p>		<p>H.68 Information Technology and Cyber Security Requirements (c) (1) Executive Order 13943, Addressing the Threat Posed by WeChat, and Taking Additional Steps To Address the National Emergency With</p>																												

RFP Section Reference	Final RFP Posting		Amendment 2 Posting																					
<p>Cyber Security Requirements (c), (d) and (e)</p>			<p>Respect to the Information and Communications Technology and Services Supply Chain</p> <p>(2) Executive Order 13942, Addressing the Threat Posed by TikTok, and Taking Additional Steps To Address the National Emergency With Respect to the Information and Communications Technology and Services Supply Chain</p> <p>(d) (4) OMB Memorandum M-20-32, Improving Vulnerability Identification, Management, and Remediation</p> <p>(5) OMB Memorandum M-20-29, Research and Development Budget Priorities and Cross-cutting Actions</p> <p>(e) (1) DHS ED 20-04, Mitigate Netlogon Elevation of Privilege Vulnerability from August 2020 Patch.</p>																					
<p>Section J ATTACHMENT J-2 – REQUIREMENTS SOURCES AND IMPLEMENTING DOCUMENTS Table J-2.1 Directives, Regulations, Policies, and Standards</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Directive/Requirement No.</th> <th>Directive Title</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>DOE P 450.4A Chg 1</td> <td>Integrated Safety Management</td> </tr> <tr> <td>DOE-RW-0351</td> <td>Waste Acceptance System Requirements Document</td> </tr> <tr> <td>DOE-WD-2012-001</td> <td>Basis for Section 3116 Determination for Closure of FTF F-Tank Farm at the Savannah River Site</td> </tr> <tr> <td>EM-WAPS</td> <td>Waste Acceptance Product Specifications (WAPS) for Vitrified High-Level Waste Forms</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Directive/Requirement No.	Directive Title	DOE P 450.4A Chg 1	Integrated Safety Management	DOE-RW-0351	Waste Acceptance System Requirements Document	DOE-WD-2012-001	Basis for Section 3116 Determination for Closure of FTF F-Tank Farm at the Savannah River Site	EM-WAPS	Waste Acceptance Product Specifications (WAPS) for Vitrified High-Level Waste Forms		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Directive/Requirement No.</th> <th>Directive Title</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>DOE P 450.24A Chg 1</td> <td>Integrated Safety Management</td> </tr> <tr> <td>DOE-RW-0351 Rev. 5, March 2008</td> <td>Waste Acceptance System Requirements Document</td> </tr> <tr> <td>DOE-WD-2012-001 Rev. 0, March 2012</td> <td>Basis for Section 3116 Determination for Closure of FTF F-Tank Farm at the Savannah River Site</td> </tr> <tr> <td>EM-WAPS Rev. 2, December 1996</td> <td>Waste Acceptance Product Specifications (WAPS) for Vitrified High-Level Waste Forms</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Directive/Requirement No.	Directive Title	DOE P 450.24A Chg 1	Integrated Safety Management	DOE-RW-0351 Rev. 5, March 2008	Waste Acceptance System Requirements Document	DOE-WD-2012-001 Rev. 0, March 2012	Basis for Section 3116 Determination for Closure of FTF F-Tank Farm at the Savannah River Site	EM-WAPS Rev. 2, December 1996	Waste Acceptance Product Specifications (WAPS) for Vitrified High-Level Waste Forms	
Directive/Requirement No.	Directive Title																							
DOE P 450.4A Chg 1	Integrated Safety Management																							
DOE-RW-0351	Waste Acceptance System Requirements Document																							
DOE-WD-2012-001	Basis for Section 3116 Determination for Closure of FTF F-Tank Farm at the Savannah River Site																							
EM-WAPS	Waste Acceptance Product Specifications (WAPS) for Vitrified High-Level Waste Forms																							
Directive/Requirement No.	Directive Title																							
DOE P 450.24A Chg 1	Integrated Safety Management																							
DOE-RW-0351 Rev. 5, March 2008	Waste Acceptance System Requirements Document																							
DOE-WD-2012-001 Rev. 0, March 2012	Basis for Section 3116 Determination for Closure of FTF F-Tank Farm at the Savannah River Site																							
EM-WAPS Rev. 2, December 1996	Waste Acceptance Product Specifications (WAPS) for Vitrified High-Level Waste Forms																							
			<p>DOE O 486.1A</p>	<p>Foreign Government Sponsored or Affiliated Activities</p>																				

RFP Section Reference	Final RFP Posting	Amendment 2 Posting																									
		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="1213 289 1564 321">Directive/Requirement No.</th> <th data-bbox="1564 289 2037 321">Directive Title</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="1213 321 1564 479">SRNS-RP-2009-00707, Rev.1</td> <td data-bbox="1564 321 2037 479">Early Action ROD for C-, K-, L-, and R-Reactor Complexes (selecting in situ decommissioning end state and Land Use Controls – 2009)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1213 479 1564 568">WSRC-RP-93-1042 Rev.1</td> <td data-bbox="1564 479 2037 568">F-Area Hazardous Waste Management Facilities (Seepage Basins) – 1993</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1213 568 1564 657">WSRC-RP-93-1043 Rev.1</td> <td data-bbox="1564 568 2037 657">H-Area Hazardous Waste Management Facilities (Seepage Basins) – 1993</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1213 657 1564 747">WSRC-RP-94-1162 Rev.1</td> <td data-bbox="1564 657 2037 747">Interim ROD for F-Area Groundwater Operable Units – 1995</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1213 747 1564 836">WSRC-RP-94-1163 Rev.1</td> <td data-bbox="1564 747 2037 836">Interim ROD for H-Area Groundwater Operable Units – 1995</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1213 836 1564 893"></td> <td data-bbox="1564 836 2037 893"></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1213 893 1564 950">SRR-CWDA-2012-00111 Rev.1</td> <td data-bbox="1564 893 2037 950">Interim ROD for F-Area Tank Farm (Tanks 17 & 20) – 2013</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1213 950 1564 1006">SRR-CWDA-2013-00007 Rev.1.1</td> <td data-bbox="1564 950 2037 1006">ESD adding tanks 18 & 19 – 2013 (Under Interim ROD for F-Area)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1213 1006 1564 1063">SRR-CWDA-2014-00008 Rev.1</td> <td data-bbox="1564 1006 2037 1063">ESD adding tanks 5 & 6 – 2014 (Under Interim ROD for F-Area)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1213 1063 1564 1120">SRR-CWDA-2015-00157 Rev.1</td> <td data-bbox="1564 1063 2037 1120">Interim ROD for H-Area Tank Farm (Tank 16) – 2016</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1213 1120 1564 1209">SRR-CWDA-2016-00107 Rev.0</td> <td data-bbox="1564 1120 2037 1209">ESD adding tank 12 – 2017 (Under Interim ROD for H-Area)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Directive/Requirement No.	Directive Title	SRNS-RP-2009-00707, Rev.1	Early Action ROD for C-, K-, L-, and R-Reactor Complexes (selecting in situ decommissioning end state and Land Use Controls – 2009)	WSRC-RP-93-1042 Rev.1	F-Area Hazardous Waste Management Facilities (Seepage Basins) – 1993	WSRC-RP-93-1043 Rev.1	H-Area Hazardous Waste Management Facilities (Seepage Basins) – 1993	WSRC-RP-94-1162 Rev.1	Interim ROD for F-Area Groundwater Operable Units – 1995	WSRC-RP-94-1163 Rev.1	Interim ROD for H-Area Groundwater Operable Units – 1995			SRR-CWDA-2012-00111 Rev.1	Interim ROD for F-Area Tank Farm (Tanks 17 & 20) – 2013	SRR-CWDA-2013-00007 Rev.1.1	ESD adding tanks 18 & 19 – 2013 (Under Interim ROD for F-Area)	SRR-CWDA-2014-00008 Rev.1	ESD adding tanks 5 & 6 – 2014 (Under Interim ROD for F-Area)	SRR-CWDA-2015-00157 Rev.1	Interim ROD for H-Area Tank Farm (Tank 16) – 2016	SRR-CWDA-2016-00107 Rev.0	ESD adding tank 12 – 2017 (Under Interim ROD for H-Area)	
Directive/Requirement No.	Directive Title																										
SRNS-RP-2009-00707, Rev.1	Early Action ROD for C-, K-, L-, and R-Reactor Complexes (selecting in situ decommissioning end state and Land Use Controls – 2009)																										
WSRC-RP-93-1042 Rev.1	F-Area Hazardous Waste Management Facilities (Seepage Basins) – 1993																										
WSRC-RP-93-1043 Rev.1	H-Area Hazardous Waste Management Facilities (Seepage Basins) – 1993																										
WSRC-RP-94-1162 Rev.1	Interim ROD for F-Area Groundwater Operable Units – 1995																										
WSRC-RP-94-1163 Rev.1	Interim ROD for H-Area Groundwater Operable Units – 1995																										
SRR-CWDA-2012-00111 Rev.1	Interim ROD for F-Area Tank Farm (Tanks 17 & 20) – 2013																										
SRR-CWDA-2013-00007 Rev.1.1	ESD adding tanks 18 & 19 – 2013 (Under Interim ROD for F-Area)																										
SRR-CWDA-2014-00008 Rev.1	ESD adding tanks 5 & 6 – 2014 (Under Interim ROD for F-Area)																										
SRR-CWDA-2015-00157 Rev.1	Interim ROD for H-Area Tank Farm (Tank 16) – 2016																										
SRR-CWDA-2016-00107 Rev.0	ESD adding tank 12 – 2017 (Under Interim ROD for H-Area)																										
Section J Attachment J-7 Contract Deliverables	The Section J, Attachment J-7, Contract Deliverables will be revised in its entirety as it has numerous revisions.	The Section J, Attachment J-7 Contract Deliverables is replaced in its entirety.																									

RFP Section Reference	Final RFP Posting	Amendment 2 Posting
<p>Section J Attachment J-12 Task Order 2: Implementation Period Liquid Waste Request for Task Order Proposal (RTP) Section C</p>	<p>The Contractor shall perform the Section C PWS sections of the Master IDIQ Contract, identified below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • C.2.1 Liquid Waste Operations • C.2.1.1.2.1 Tank Space Management • C.2.1.1.2.3 Sludge Feed Preparation • C.2.1.2.1 Defense Waste Processing Facility • C.2.1.2.2 Glass Waste Storage Buildings (GWSB) • C.2.1.3.2 Saltstone Disposal Facility (SDF) • C.2.1.4 Salt Waste Processing Facility (SWPF) • C.2.2 Liquid Waste Operations Support • C.2.2.3 Tank Closures • C.2.2.5.1 Next Generation Solvent (NGS) Deployment • C.2.2.5.2 At-Tank Cesium Removal • C.2.2.5.4 DWPF Operational Improvements • C.2.2.5.5 Tank 48 Recovery • C.2.2.5.6 Technology Development and Deployment <p>Section C.5, Core Functions: Program Requirements is incorporated by reference.</p> <p>Section C.13, Savannah River site Interface Management, is incorporated by reference.</p> <p>Section C.14, Internal Audit, is incorporated by reference.</p> <p>Section C.15, Usage-Based Services to Be Provided to Other Site Contractors, is incorporated by reference.</p>	<p>The Contractor shall perform the Section C PWS sections of the Master IDIQ Contract, identified below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • C.2.1 Liquid Waste Operations • C.2.1.1.2.1 Tank Space Management • C.2.1.1.2.3 Sludge Feed Preparation • C.2.1.2.1 Defense Waste Processing Facility • C.2.1.2.2 Glass Waste Storage Buildings (GWSB) • C.2.1.3.2 Saltstone Disposal Facility (SDF) • C.2.1.4 Salt Waste Processing Facility (SWPF) • C.2.2 Liquid Waste Operations Support • C.2.2.3 Tank Closures • C.2.2.5.1 Next Generation Solvent (NGS) Deployment • C.2.2.5.2 At-Tank Cesium Removal • C.2.2.5.4 DWPF Operational Improvements • C.2.2.5.5 Tank 48H Recovery • C.2.2.5.6 Technology Development and Deployment <p>Section C.5, Core Functions: Program Support/Services Rrequirements is incorporated by reference.</p> <p>Section C.13, Savannah River sSite Interface Management, is incorporated by reference.</p> <p>Section C.14, Business Performance Requirements Internal-Audit, is incorporated by reference.</p> <p>Section C.15, Usage-Based Services to Be Provided to Other Site Contractors, is incorporated by reference.</p>
<p>Section J Attachment J-13 Task Order 3: One Year – Liquid Waste Program Operations</p>	<p>Section C.5, Core Functions: Program Requirements is incorporated by reference.</p> <p>Section C.14, Internal Audit, is incorporated by reference.</p> <p>Section C.15, Usage-Based Services to Be Provided to Other Site Contractors, is incorporated by reference.</p>	<p>Section C.5, Core Functions: Program Support/Services Rrequirements is incorporated by reference.</p> <p>Section C.14, Internal-Audit Business Performance Requirements, is incorporated by reference.</p>

RFP Section Reference	Final RFP Posting	Amendment 2 Posting
		Section C.15, Usage-Based Services to Be Provided to Other Site Contractors, is incorporated by reference.
Section K K.12 Cost and Fee Proposal Certification	K.12 Cost and Fee Proposal Certification	K.12 Cost and Fee/ Profit Proposal Certification
Section L Instructions, Conditions, and Notices to Offerors	The following Sections have been revised in Section L.10, L.11, L.13, L.14, L.15, L.17, L.21, Attachment L-2, and Attachment L-3.	Section L has been revised and replaced.
Section L Attachment L-6 Pricing Worksheets	The Prime Contractor Fully Burdened Rates in Attachment L-6f have been revised to reflect FY 22 escalated rates.	Section L, Attachment L-6, Pricing Worksheets have been replaced in their entirety.
Section L Attachment L-9 Transition Task Order 1 Section J-7 Contract Deliverables Task Order 1 – Transition Deliverables	Numerous Task Order 001 Deliverables were revised and as such, Section L, Attachment L-9 Transition Task Order is being replaced in its entirety.	Section L, Attachment L-9 Transition Task Order is being replaced in its entirety.
Section M M.2 Evaluation Factor – Key Personnel (a)	a) Key Personnel. DOE will evaluate the proposed required Program Manager, Operations Manager, Engineering Manager, ESH&Q Manager, Business Manager (*ESH&Q Manager is responsible for environmental and regulatory compliance, performance assessments, and NDAA Section 3116 compliance) and other proposed key personnel along with the Offeror’s rationale for selecting the proposed non-required key personnel positions and why the positions are essential to the successful performance of the entire IDIQ PWS (do not include any key positions for PWS Section C.3, Nuclear Materials Stabilization and Disposition and Non-Operating Nuclear	a) Key Personnel. DOE will evaluate the proposed required Program Manager, Operations Manager, Engineering Manager, ESH&Q Manager, Business Manager (*ESH&Q Manager is responsible for environmental and regulatory compliance, performance assessments, and NDAA Section 3116 compliance) and other proposed key personnel along with the Offeror’s rationale for selecting the proposed non-required key personnel positions and why the positions are essential to the successful performance of the entire IDIQ PWS (do not include any key positions for PWS Section C.3, Nuclear Materials Stabilization

RFP Section Reference	Final RFP Posting	Amendment 2 Posting
	<p>Facilities). DOE will evaluate the key personnel team make-up that demonstrates the elements in paragraph (c) below. DOE’s evaluation of the Program Manager will be the most important aspect of the evaluation of key personnel.</p> <p>Failure of the Offeror to propose the required key personnel positions, or to confirm the availability of all key personnel as being assigned to the contract full-time and that their permanent duty station is located in the local surrounding area will adversely affect the Government’s evaluation of the proposal and may make the proposal ineligible for award. Additionally, failure of the Offeror to provide a letter of commitment for each key personnel will adversely affect the Government’s evaluation of the proposal.</p> <p>Note: DOE will evaluate all proposed key personnel. However, a higher number of proposed key persons will not be inherently evaluated more favorably than a lesser number of proposed key persons, as the proposed key personnel and the key personnel team will be evaluated based on the evaluation criteria in this factor.</p>	<p>and Disposition and Non-Operating Nuclear Facilities). DOE will evaluate the key personnel team make-up that demonstrates the elements in paragraph (c) below. DOE’s evaluation of the Program Manager will be the most important aspect of the evaluation of key personnel.</p> <p>Failure of the Offeror to propose the required key personnel positions, or to confirm the availability of all key personnel as being assigned to the contract full-time and that their permanent duty station is located in the local surrounding area will adversely affect the Government’s evaluation of the proposal and may make the proposal ineligible for award. Additionally, failure of the Offeror to provide a letter of commitment for each key personnel will adversely affect the Government’s evaluation of the proposal.</p> <p>Note: DOE will evaluate all proposed key personnel. However, a higher number of proposed key persons will not be inherently evaluated more favorably than a lesser number of proposed key persons, as the proposed key personnel and the key personnel team will be evaluated based on the evaluation criteria in this factor.</p>
<p>Section M M.3 DOE-M-2008 Evaluation Factor – Past Performance (Oct 2015) (Revised) (a)</p>	<p>(a) Offeror. The Offeror, to include all members of a teaming arrangement, as defined in FAR 9.601(1), will be evaluated on the Government’s assessment of relevant and recent past performance information obtained for the Offeror performing work similar in scope, size, and complexity to the portion of the Master IDIQ PWS that each entity is proposed to perform. The information will be evaluated in order to assess the Offeror’s potential success in performing the work required by the contract. Similar scope, size, and complexity are defined as follows based on the portion of work that each entity is proposed to perform: scope – type of work (e.g., work as identified in the Master IDIQ PWS, including similar work of a non-nuclear nature and/or similar non-DOE work; size – dollar value (approximate average annual value in relation to the proposed work); and complexity – performance challenges (e.g., overcoming barriers for completion/closure-type projects to safely accelerate work scope, prior innovations, work performance improvements,</p>	<p>(a) Offeror. The Offeror, to include all members of a teaming arrangement, as defined in FAR 9.601(1), will be evaluated on the Government’s assessment of relevant and recent past performance information obtained for the Offeror performing work similar in scope, size, and complexity to the portion of the Master IDIQ PWS that each entity is proposed to perform. The information will be evaluated in order to assess the Offeror’s potential success in performing the work required by the contract. Similar scope, size, and complexity are defined as follows based on the portion of work that each entity is proposed to perform: scope – type of work (e.g., work as identified in the Master IDIQ PWS, including similar work of a non-nuclear nature and/or similar non-DOE work; size – dollar value (approximate average annual value in relation to the proposed work; annual contract value of approximately \$1.4B for evaluation purposes); and complexity – performance challenges (e.g., overcoming barriers for completion/closure-type projects to safely</p>

RFP Section Reference	Final RFP Posting	Amendment 2 Posting
	<p>subcontractor management, management of large complex contracts in highly regulated industries, cost efficiencies, management of complex CHRM requirements and successful partnerships with the Government, Client, and Regulators). The higher the degree of relevance of the work, the greater the consideration that may be given.</p> <p>DOE will evaluate recent past performance information for contracts that are currently being performed or have a period of performance end date within the last four (4) years from the original solicitation issuance date. More recent past performance information may be given greater consideration.</p> <p>The Government will not apportion the assessment of past performance differently amongst the members of a Contractor’s Teaming Arrangement, as defined in FAR 9.601(1), on a past performance contract, as each entity is considered to be responsible for overall performance of the ongoing or prior contract. All partner companies on past performance contracts will be equally credited (positively and negatively) for past performance information. However, relevancy determinations on a past performance contract may differ depending upon what scope each entity is proposed to perform.</p>	<p>accelerate work scope, prior innovations, work performance improvements, subcontractor management, management of large complex contracts in highly regulated industries, cost efficiencies, management of complex CHRM requirements and successful partnerships with the Government, Client, and Regulators). The higher the degree of relevance of the work, the greater the consideration that may be given.</p> <p>DOE will evaluate recent past performance information for contracts that are currently being performed or have a period of performance end date within the last four (4) years from the original solicitation issuance date. More recent past performance information may be given greater consideration.</p> <p>The Government will not apportion the assessment of past performance differently amongst the members of a Contractor’s Teaming Arrangement, as defined in FAR 9.601(1), on a past performance contract, as each entity is considered to be responsible for overall performance of the ongoing or prior contract. All partner companies on past performance contracts will be equally credited (positively and negatively) for past performance information. However, relevancy determinations on a past performance contract may differ depending upon what scope each entity is proposed to perform.</p>
<p>Section M M.5 Evaluation Factor – Cost and Fee/Profit</p>	<p>The Cost and Fee Proposal will not be adjectivally rated or point scored, but will be considered in the overall evaluation of proposals in determining the best value to the Government.</p> <p>The Cost and Fee Proposal will be evaluated for cost realism and price reasonableness in accordance with FAR 15.404-1 and FAR 15.402(a). Cost realism analysis will be performed on the Offeror’s proposed Contract Transition Task Order costs. This analysis will be used to determine whether the proposed cost elements are realistic for the work to be performed and reflect a clear understanding of the transition requirements. The transition cost proposal will be compared to the Volume II proposal for consistency and understanding. Price reasonableness will be performed on both the proposed fully burdened</p>	<p>The Cost and Fee/Profit Proposal will not be adjectivally rated or point scored, but will be considered in the overall evaluation of proposals in determining the best value to the Government.</p> <p>The Cost and Fee/Profit Proposal will be evaluated for cost realism and price reasonableness in accordance with FAR 15.404-1 and FAR 15.402(a). Cost realism analysis will be performed on the Offeror’s proposed Contract Transition Task Order costs. This analysis will be used to determine whether the proposed cost elements are realistic for the work to be performed and reflect a clear understanding of the transition requirements. The transition cost proposal will be compared to the Volume II proposal for consistency and understanding. Price reasonableness will be performed on both the proposed fully burdened</p>

RFP Section Reference	Final RFP Posting	Amendment 2 Posting
	<p>labor rates (excluding fee) for FY2022 (October 1, 2021 through September 30, 2022) applied to the DOE provided Estimated Direct Productive Labor Hours and of the proposed key personnel costs (FY2022).</p> <p>For purposes of determining the best value, the evaluated price will be the total of the proposed fee/profit (all fee/profit proposed by Task Order type) for a one-year period (FY 2022), not exceeding the identified fee limitations, proposed costs for the key personnel (FY2022), proposed costs for FY 2022 fully burdened labor rates (excluding fee) applied to the DOE provided Estimated Direct Productive Labor Hours and realistic costs for the Contract Transition Task Order period.</p> <p>An Offeror that proposes a fee amount exceeding the maximum prescribed available award fee, target fee, and/or fixed fee amounts as specified in Section L may be considered unacceptable for award.</p>	<p>labor rates (excluding fee) for FY2022 (October 1, 2021 through September 30, 2022) applied to the DOE provided Estimated Direct Productive Labor Hours and of the proposed key personnel costs (FY2022). Key personnel compensation is capped at \$555,000 for each employee, as established by Section 702 of the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2013.</p> <p>For purposes of determining the best value, the evaluated price will be the total of the proposed fee/profit (all fee/profit proposed by Task Order type) for a one-year period (FY 2022), not exceeding the identified fee limitations, proposed costs for the key personnel (FY2022) up to the OFPP limits shown above, proposed costs for FY 2022 fully burdened labor rates (excluding fee) applied to the DOE provided Estimated Direct Productive Labor Hours and realistic costs for the Contract Transition Task Order period.</p> <p>An Offeror that proposes a fee amount exceeding the maximum prescribed available award fee, target fee, and/or fixed fee amounts as specified in Section L may be considered unacceptable for award.</p>