

**Moab Remedial Action Contract – Final Request for Proposal, Amendment 0001**  
**Solicitation Number 89303320REM000066**

The purpose of this Standard Form 30 is to amend Solicitation No. 89303320REM000066 as shown in red or strikethrough text below, and to incorporate the changes into the solicitation. All other sections of the Final RFP remain unchanged. Versions of all changed Sections are provided on FedConnect, beta.sam.gov, and the Moab RAC procurement website.

RFP Section/ Paragraph	RFP Amended	
	FROM:	TO:
B.9 (c)  As discussed in Q&A #3	(c) Third Degree: Performance failures that reflect a lack of focus on ESH&Q...	(c) Third Degree: Performance failures that reflect a lack of focus on <b>improving</b> ESH&Q...
C.4.2  As discussed in Q&A #5	The reporting shall also include the number of rail shipments, the tons per rail shipment, and the number or containers and railcars per shipment.	The reporting shall also include the number of rail shipments, the tons per rail shipment, and the number <b>of</b> containers and railcars per shipment.
C.07  As discussed in Q&A #8	To ensure continuity of operations, the Contractor shall adopt, as applicable, the incumbent contractors' plans and implementing procedures, manuals and associated training/qualification curriculum at the effective date of the transition task order, provided the Contractor has formally reviewed the documents to ensure compliance with contract requirements, current regulatory requirements, DOE Orders and directives, and the Contractors' organizational roles and responsibilities...	To ensure continuity of operations, the Contractor shall adopt, as applicable, the incumbent contractors' plans and implementing procedures, manuals and associated training/qualification curriculum <b>at the effective date of the transition task order</b> , provided the Contractor has formally reviewed the documents to ensure compliance with contract requirements, current regulatory requirements, DOE Orders and directives, and the Contractors' organizational roles and responsibilities...

**Moab Remedial Action Contract – Final Request for Proposal, Amendment 0001**  
**Solicitation Number 89303320REM000066**

RFP Section/ Paragraph	RFP Amended	
	FROM:	TO:
Attachment J-2  As discussed at Q&A #110, 113	(Previous Attachment J-2 is hereby superseded in its entirety)	(See new Attachment J-2 accompanying this Amendment 0001)
Section K  Implication of Q&A #28, 35, 38  Multiple changes adding modifier “digitally” before “signed” throughout	(Previous Section K is hereby superseded in its entirety)	(See new Section K accompanying this Amendment 0001)
L.11 (c)(3)  Implication of Q&A #28, 35, 38	(3) Electronic submission of a proposal via FedConnect shall be required; however, the original, signed, hard copy submission of the proposal shall be considered the Offeror's official offer and will be considered binding.	(3) Electronic submission of a proposal via FedConnect shall be required. The proposal shall contain visibly identifiable and time-stamped digital signatures on all documents requiring digital signatures by the Offeror. The digitally signed, electronic submission of the proposal in FedConnect shall be considered the Offeror’s official offer and will be considered binding. The electronic version of the Offeror’s ‘Estimating Flat File’ submitted through FedConnect will constitute part of the binding offer.

**Moab Remedial Action Contract – Final Request for Proposal, Amendment 0001  
Solicitation Number 89303320REM000066**

RFP Section/ Paragraph	RFP Amended													
	FROM:	TO:												
L.11 (c)(4)  As discussed in Q&A #28, 35, 38	<p>(4) In addition to the electronic submission of the Offeror’s proposal via FedConnect, the Offeror shall submit the required number of paper and USB flash drive copies of each proposal volume as indicated below. The content in the paper and electronic copies shall be identical. The only exceptions are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) Financial statements and annual reports, which shall be included in the electronic submission, USB flash drive copies, and the signed original only, but are not required to be included in the additional paper copies.</li> <li>(ii) The Offeror’s ‘Estimating Flat File’ shall only be included in the electronic submission and USB flash drive copies. No paper (original or copy) submittal is required. The electronic version of the ‘Estimating Flat File’ submitted through FedConnect will constitute part of the binding offer.</li> </ul> <p>The paper copies shall be delivered and received no later than the proposal due date, as follows:</p> <p>MAIL TO (see table below for number of hard and electronic copies):</p> <p>U S. Department of Energy Attention: Michael Forsgren, Contracting Officer 550 Main Street, Room 7-010, Cincinnati, OH, 45202</p> <p>Shipping materials shall be marked as follows: TO BE OPENED BY ADDRESSEE ONLY. RFP No. 89303320REM000066</p> <p>E-mail: <a href="mailto:michael.forsgren@emcbc.doe.gov">michael.forsgren@emcbc.doe.gov</a> Phone: 513-430-8536</p> <p>Note: Delivery of proposals to the above address should take place between the hours of <u>1000-1530 (10AM to 3:30PM) Eastern Standard Time</u>. Offerors should contact the Contracting Officer in advance to advise of the anticipated delivery date and time.</p> <p>In addition, Offerors shall provide USB flash drives that are clearly labeled with the Offeror’s name, Request for Proposal (RFP) number, volume number, and copy number. The proposals provided via USB flash drive copies are provided for Source Evaluation Board evaluation convenience only. In the event of a conflict, the hard copy material takes precedence over the electronic submission.</p> <p>The original proposal shall contain signed originals of all documents requiring signatures by the Offeror. Use of reproductions of signed originals is authorized in all other copies of the proposal. The original, signed, hard copy submission of the proposal shall be considered the Offeror’s official offer and will be considered binding.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="285 1300 1377 1443"> <thead> <tr> <th>Proposal Volume – Title</th> <th>Number of Hard Copy Proposals Required</th> <th>Number of USB Flash Drives Required</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Volume I – Offer and Other Documents</td> <td>1 signed original + 1 copy</td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Volume II – Management Proposal</td> <td>1 signed original + 5 copies</td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Volume III – Cost and Fee/Profit Proposal</td> <td>1 signed original + 2 copies</td> <td>2</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Proposal Volume – Title	Number of Hard Copy Proposals Required	Number of USB Flash Drives Required	Volume I – Offer and Other Documents	1 signed original + 1 copy	2	Volume II – Management Proposal	1 signed original + 5 copies	2	Volume III – Cost and Fee/Profit Proposal	1 signed original + 2 copies	2	(4) <b>RESERVED</b>
Proposal Volume – Title	Number of Hard Copy Proposals Required	Number of USB Flash Drives Required												
Volume I – Offer and Other Documents	1 signed original + 1 copy	2												
Volume II – Management Proposal	1 signed original + 5 copies	2												
Volume III – Cost and Fee/Profit Proposal	1 signed original + 2 copies	2												

**Moab Remedial Action Contract – Final Request for Proposal, Amendment 0001  
Solicitation Number 89303320REM000066**

RFP Section/ Paragraph	RFP Amended	
	FROM:	TO:
L.11 (f)(2)  Implication of Q&A #28, 35, 38	(2) Page size. Page size shall be 8½ × 11 inches for text pages, excluding foldouts. When 8½ × 11 inch pages contain text on both front and back, this is considered two pages. Page size for foldouts shall not exceed 11 × 17 inches; foldouts may be used for large tables, charts, graphs, diagrams, design drawings, or other schematics. Foldout pages shall fold entirely within the volume in which it appears. Each page of a foldout shall count as two (2) pages. Where both sides of a foldout contain printed material, the foldout shall count as four (4) pages. Tables of contents, lists of figures, dividers, tabs, or similar inserts that do not provide any substantive information are not counted as a page. Use of 11 × 17 binders for the Volume III, Cost and Fee/Profit Proposal, is permitted.	(2) Page size. Page size shall be 8½ × 11 inches for text pages, excluding foldouts. When 8½ × 11 inch pages contain text on both front and back, this is considered two pages. Page size for foldouts shall not exceed 11 × 17 inches; foldouts may be used for large tables, charts, graphs, diagrams, design drawings, or other schematics. <del>Foldout pages shall fold entirely within the volume in which it appears.</del> Each page of a foldout shall count as two (2) pages. Where both sides of a foldout contain <del>printed</del> material, the foldout shall count as four (4) pages. Tables of contents, lists of figures, dividers, tabs, or similar inserts that do not provide any substantive information are not counted as a page. <del>Use of 11 × 17 binders for the Volume III, Cost and Fee/Profit Proposal, is permitted.</del>
L.11 (f)(7) and (f)(8)  As discussed in Q&A #28, 35, 38	(7) Binding and labeling of hard copies. Each volume shall be separately bound in three-ringed loose-leaf binders. Cost proposals may be submitted in three-ringed binders of any size up to 11 × 17. Staples shall not be used. The outside front cover of each binder shall indicate the Offeror’s name, the RFP number, the title of the RFP, and the copy number (i.e., sequentially number the required copies with the original being copy no. 1). The same identifying data shall be placed on the spine of each binder to facilitate identification and accountability when placed in a vertical position.  (8) Excel printed paper formatting. As part of the Offeror’s proposal submission, the Offeror shall provide Section L Excel® Attachments in accordance with the solicitation requirements (paper copies and electronic versions), including the font size requirements. To address the potential width and height of the documents in the printed paper copies, Offerors are allowed to logically break these Excel worksheets into multiple pages in order to meet the solicitation requirements. Also, it is acceptable for Offerors to scale the printed paper copies of the Excel® worksheets to a smaller print size, so long as the documents are legible. Additionally, if the Excel® worksheets span multiple pages, then each page of the worksheets shall include the applicable column and row headers.	(7) <del>RESERVED</del>  (8) <del>RESERVED</del>

**Moab Remedial Action Contract – Final Request for Proposal, Amendment 0001**  
**Solicitation Number 89303320REM000066**

RFP Section/ Paragraph	RFP Amended	
	FROM:	TO:
L.12 (b)  Implication of Q&A #28, 35, 38	(b) General. Volume I – Offer and Other Documents, contains the offer to enter into a contract and other documents. The signed original(s) of all documents requiring signature by Offerors shall be contained in the original Volume I. Offerors shall include the information listed in the following paragraphs in Volume I, assembled in the order listed. In cases where the Offeror is required to fill in information in a contract clause, the Offeror shall submit only those pages that require input of information or a signature. Those specific areas are:...	(b) General. Volume I – Offer and Other Documents, contains the offer to enter into a contract and other documents. <b>Visibly identifiable and time-stamped digital signatures on</b> <del>The signed original(s) of</del> all documents requiring <b>digital</b> signature by Offerors shall be contained in <del>the original</del> Volume I. Offerors shall include the information listed in the following paragraphs in Volume I, assembled in the order listed. In cases where the Offeror is required to fill in information in a contract clause, the Offeror shall submit only those pages that require input of information or a signature. Those specific areas are:...
L.12 (c)  Implication of Q&A #28, 35, 38  See also – Section K changes adding modifier “digitally” before “signed” throughout	(c) Standard Form 33, Solicitation, Offer and Award – one signed original of the Standard Form (SF) 33 must be provided in addition to a copy for each set of the Volume I.  (1) The person signing the SF 33 must have the authority to commit the Offeror to the terms and conditions of the resulting contract, Sections A - J. By signing and submitting the SF 33, the Offeror commits to accept the resulting Contract as contained in the solicitation, unless an exception or deviation to the terms and conditions as stated in the solicitation is explicitly stated by the Offeror in accordance with the below subsection (g), Exceptions and Deviations.	(c) Standard Form 33, Solicitation, Offer and Award – one <b>visibly identifiable and time-stamped digital signature on</b> the signed original of the Standard Form (SF) 33 must be provided <del>in addition to a copy for each set of the Volume I.</del>  (1) The person <b>digitally</b> signing the SF 33 must have the authority to commit the Offeror to the terms and conditions of the resulting contract, Sections A - J. By <b>digitally</b> signing and submitting the SF 33, the Offeror commits to accept the resulting Contract as contained in the solicitation, unless an exception or deviation to the terms and conditions as stated in the solicitation is explicitly stated by the Offeror in accordance with the below subsection (g), Exceptions and Deviations.

**Moab Remedial Action Contract – Final Request for Proposal, Amendment 0001**  
**Solicitation Number 89303320REM000066**

RFP Section/ Paragraph	RFP Amended	
	FROM:	TO:
L.15 (b)  As discussed at Q&A #1	(b) Offeror past performance. The Offeror, to include all members of a teaming arrangement, as defined by FAR 9.601(1), shall provide information on contracts that are most similar in terms of scope, size, and complexity to the portion of the Master IDIQ PWS that each entity is proposed to perform. Similar scope, size, and complexity are defined as follows based on the portion of work that each entity is proposed to perform: scope – type of work (e.g., work as identified in the Master IDIQ PWS, including similar work of a non-nuclear nature and/or similar non-DOE work); size – dollar value (approximate average annual value in relation to proposed work; annual contract value of approximately \$40M for evaluation purposes); and complexity – performance challenges (e.g., overcoming barriers for completion/closure-type projects to safely accelerate work scope, prior innovations, work performance improvements, subcontractor management, management of large complex contracts in highly regulated industries, cost efficiencies, and successful partnerships with the Government, Client, and Regulators).	(b) Offeror past performance. The Offeror, to include all members of a teaming arrangement, as defined by FAR 9.601(1), shall provide information on contracts that are most similar in terms of scope, size, and complexity to the portion of the Master IDIQ PWS that each entity is proposed to perform. Similar scope, size, and complexity are defined as follows based on the portion of work that each entity is proposed to perform: scope – type of work (e.g., work as identified in the Master IDIQ PWS, including similar work of a non-nuclear nature and/or similar non-DOE work); size – dollar value (approximate average annual value in relation to proposed work; annual contract value of approximately <del>\$40M</del> \$20M for evaluation purposes); and complexity – performance challenges (e.g., overcoming barriers for completion/closure-type projects to safely accelerate work scope, prior innovations, work performance improvements, subcontractor management, management of large complex contracts in highly regulated industries, cost efficiencies, and successful partnerships with the Government, Client, and Regulators).
L.15 (h)  As discussed at Q&A #51	(h) Past Performance Questionnaire. The Offeror shall provide the Past Performance Questionnaire contained in Attachment L-4, “Past Performance Cover Letter and Questionnaire”, to the appropriate contract client reference within the Program Office/Project Office and/or the Contracting Office for completion for those contracts described in paragraph (a) for which no contractor performance data is available in the Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS). The Offeror shall request that clients return the Past Performance Questionnaire directly to DOE by electronic means to the address identified below no later than two (2) weeks prior to the date for receipt of proposals.	(h) Past Performance Questionnaire. The Offeror shall provide the Past Performance Questionnaire contained in Attachment L-4, “Past Performance Cover Letter and Questionnaire”, to the appropriate contract client reference within the Program Office/Project Office and/or the Contracting Office for completion for those contracts described in paragraph (a) for which no contractor performance data is available in the Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS). The Offeror shall request that clients return the Past Performance Questionnaire directly to DOE by electronic means to the address identified below no later than <del>two (2) weeks prior to</del> the date for receipt of proposals.

**Moab Remedial Action Contract – Final Request for Proposal, Amendment 0001  
Solicitation Number 89303320REM000066**

RFP Section/ Paragraph	RFP Amended	
	FROM:	TO:
L.16 (a)  (DOE direction)	<p>(a) The Offeror shall provide a Closure Strategy that describes how it will effectively and efficiently manage Sections C.04 and C.05 of the Master IDIQ PWS and achieve Project completion. The Closure Strategy shall describe how the Offeror will coordinate and sequence the Residual Radioactive Material (RRM – including debris), off-pile, and sub-pile excavation and placement while implementing all of PWS Sections C.01 through C.05 to minimize costs and schedule and meet all Project completion requirements. The Closure Strategy shall describe the details of the planned excavation methods, the excavation sequence based on optimizing placement of RRM/debris in the cell at Crescent Junction, mixing of slimes and sands, preparation and management of drying beds, size reduction or preparation of oversize debris, transportation and placement of RRM, and cell cover construction. The Offeror shall describe any proposed operational, technical, or administrative process improvements, including any graded approach to the PWS requirements, necessary to achieve the contract’s scope or its purposes at PWS Section C.1.2. To support its Closure Strategy, the Offeror’s management approach shall include, but not be limited to: an organizational structure chart including the number of staff occupying each position; a description of, and basis for, the number of daily and weekly work shifts; and a description of, and basis for, any seasonal changes in site operations.</p>	<p>(a) The Offeror shall provide a Closure Strategy that describes how it will effectively and efficiently manage Sections C.04 and C.05 of the Master IDIQ PWS and achieve Project completion. The Closure Strategy shall describe how the Offeror will coordinate and sequence the Residual Radioactive Material (RRM – including debris), off-pile, and sub-pile excavation and placement while implementing all of PWS Sections C.01 through C.05 to minimize costs and schedule and meet all Project completion requirements. The Closure Strategy shall describe the details of the planned excavation methods, the excavation sequence based on optimizing placement of RRM/debris in the cell at Crescent Junction, mixing of slimes and sands, preparation and management of drying beds, size reduction or preparation of oversize debris, transportation and placement of RRM, and cell cover construction. The Offeror shall describe any proposed operational, technical, or administrative <del>process</del> improvements and systems, including any graded approach to the PWS requirements, necessary to achieve the contract’s scope or its purposes at PWS Section C.1.2. To support its Closure Strategy, the Offeror’s management approach shall include, but not be limited to: an organizational structure chart including the number of staff occupying each position; a description of, and basis for, the number of daily and weekly work shifts; and a description of, and basis for, any seasonal changes in site operations.</p>

**Moab Remedial Action Contract – Final Request for Proposal, Amendment 0001**  
**Solicitation Number 89303320REM000066**

RFP Section/ Paragraph	RFP Amended				
	FROM:			TO:	
Attachment L-3 Box #14  As discussed at Q&A #123		14. Approximate Average Annual <del>Percentage</del> Value the Company (identified in #2) is planned to perform on Moab Remedial Action Contract <i>(Note: to avoid the need for clarifications, ensure all submitted Past Performance Reference Information Forms' #14s, when combined, do not exceed 100%):</i>			14. Approximate Average Annual Value (in \$) the Company (identified in #2) is planned to perform on Moab Remedial Action Contract:
Attachment L-6  As discussed at Q&A #31, 58, 66, 69, 74-78, 82-84, 102	(Previous Attachment L-6 is hereby superseded in its entirety)			(See new Attachment L-6 accompanying this Amendment 0001)	
Attachment L-8  As discussed at Q&A #98, 99	(Previous Attachment L-8 is hereby superseded in its entirety)			(See new Attachment L-8 accompanying this Amendment 0001)	

**Moab Remedial Action Contract – Final Request for Proposal, Amendment 0001**  
**Solicitation Number 89303320REM000066**

RFP Section/ Paragraph	RFP Amended	
	FROM:	TO:
M.3 (a)  As discussed at Q&A #1	<p>(a) Offeror. The Offeror, to include all members of a teaming arrangement, as defined in FAR 9.601(1), will be evaluated on the Government’s assessment of relevant and recent past performance information obtained for the Offeror performing work similar in scope, size, and complexity to the portion of the Master IDIQ PWS that each entity is proposed to perform. The information will be evaluated in order to assess the Offeror’s potential success in performing the work required by the contract. Similar scope, size, and complexity are defined as follows based on the portion of work that each entity is proposed to perform: scope – type of work (e.g., work as identified in the Master IDIQ PWS, including similar work of a non-nuclear nature and/or similar non-DOE work); size – dollar value (approximate average annual value in relation to the proposed work; annual contract value of approximately \$40M for evaluation purposes); and complexity – performance challenges (e.g., overcoming barriers for completion/closure-type projects to safely accelerate work scope, prior innovations, work performance improvements, subcontractor management, management of large complex contracts in highly regulated industries, cost efficiencies, and successful partnerships with the Government, Client, and Regulators). The higher the degree of relevance of the work, the greater the consideration that may be given.</p>	<p>(a) Offeror. The Offeror, to include all members of a teaming arrangement, as defined in FAR 9.601(1), will be evaluated on the Government’s assessment of relevant and recent past performance information obtained for the Offeror performing work similar in scope, size, and complexity to the portion of the Master IDIQ PWS that each entity is proposed to perform. The information will be evaluated in order to assess the Offeror’s potential success in performing the work required by the contract. Similar scope, size, and complexity are defined as follows based on the portion of work that each entity is proposed to perform: scope – type of work (e.g., work as identified in the Master IDIQ PWS, including similar work of a non-nuclear nature and/or similar non-DOE work); size – dollar value (approximate average annual value in relation to the proposed work; annual contract value of approximately <del>\$40M</del>\$20M for evaluation purposes); and complexity – performance challenges (e.g., overcoming barriers for completion/closure-type projects to safely accelerate work scope, prior innovations, work performance improvements, subcontractor management, management of large complex contracts in highly regulated industries, cost efficiencies, and successful partnerships with the Government, Client, and Regulators). The higher the degree of relevance of the work, the greater the consideration that may be given.</p>

**Moab Remedial Action Contract – Final Request for Proposal, Amendment 0001  
Solicitation Number 89303320REM000066**

RFP Section/ Paragraph	RFP Amended	
	FROM:	TO:
M.4 (a)  (DOE direction)	<p>(a) DOE will evaluate the Offeror’s Closure Strategy that describes how it will effectively and efficiently manage Sections C.04 and C.05 of the Master IDIQ PWS and achieve Project completion. DOE will evaluate the Offeror’s Closure Strategy to coordinate and sequence the RRM (including debris), off-pile, and sub-pile excavation and placement while implementing all of PWS Sections C.01 through C.05 to minimize costs and schedule and meet all Project completion requirements. DOE will evaluate how the Closure Strategy describes the details of the planned excavation methods, the excavation sequence based on optimizing placement of RRM/debris in the cell at Crescent Junction, mixing of slimes and sands, preparation and management of drying beds, size reduction or preparation of oversize debris, transportation and placement of RRM, and cell cover construction. DOE will evaluate any proposed operational, technical, or administrative process improvements, including any graded approach to the PWS requirements, necessary to achieve the contract’s scope or its purposes described at PWS Section C.1.2. DOE will evaluate how the Offeror’s proposed Closure Strategy is supported by its management approach, including, but not limited to, an organizational structure chart including the number of staff occupying each position, the basis for the number of daily and weekly work shifts, and the basis for any seasonal changes in site operations.</p>	<p>(a) DOE will evaluate the Offeror’s Closure Strategy that describes how it will effectively and efficiently manage Sections C.04 and C.05 of the Master IDIQ PWS and achieve Project completion. DOE will evaluate the Offeror’s Closure Strategy to coordinate and sequence the RRM (including debris), off-pile, and sub-pile excavation and placement while implementing all of PWS Sections C.01 through C.05 to minimize costs and schedule and meet all Project completion requirements. DOE will evaluate how the Closure Strategy describes the details of the planned excavation methods, the excavation sequence based on optimizing placement of RRM/debris in the cell at Crescent Junction, mixing of slimes and sands, preparation and management of drying beds, size reduction or preparation of oversize debris, transportation and placement of RRM, and cell cover construction. DOE will evaluate any proposed operational, technical, or administrative <del>process</del> improvements and systems, including any graded approach to the PWS requirements, necessary to achieve the contract’s scope or its purposes described at PWS Section C.1.2. DOE will evaluate how the Offeror’s proposed Closure Strategy is supported by its management approach, including, but not limited to, an organizational structure chart including the number of staff occupying each position, the basis for the number of daily and weekly work shifts, and the basis for any seasonal changes in site operations.</p>