

No.	RFP Section	Subject	Page No.	Industry Question	DOE Response
71	Section C EM.PA.0020.A001.03.DR.01	Paducah Deactivation Security Plan	C-18 and EMCBC webpage “Documents Library”	Can the DOE provide the Paducah Deactivation project’s current security plan?	Information concerning Deactivation project security is contained within the “SSI Site Security Plan 2015” which is listed in the website’s Controlled Unclassified Information Document List. Instruction for requesting this document is on the website.
72.	Section C, EM.PA.0040.A008.43.DR.01 (Analytical Laboratory Operations) EM.PA.0040.A002.04.DR.01 (Waste Operations)	Limited Area Islands	C-22	After March 31, 2021, are there any other limited areas to be maintained other than those listed in EM.PA.0020.A001.03.DR.03.02, such as C-745-B.	No, there are no other limited areas.
73.	C.2/EM.PA.0040.A008.41.DR.01	Surveillance and Maintenance of Facilities	C-72	Please provide a copy of the most recent Site Facility Occupational Status Report.	The Offerors are expected to propose a technical approach that is compliant with the requirements in the Final RFP. A copy of the most recent submittal by the incumbent contractor has been added to the Documents Library on the procurement website for reference.
74.	C.2/EM.PA.0040.A008.41.DR.01	Surveillance and Maintenance of Facilities	C-72	Please provide the listing of deferred maintenance activities for the last three calendar years.	A copy of the most recent listing of outstanding deferred maintenance currently being performed by the incumbent contractor has been added to the Documents Library on the procurement website for reference. The listing is not considered all inclusive.
75.	C.2/EM.PA.0040.A008.42.DR.03	Water Systems	C-78	Please provide drawings of the newly installed water tank to include associated pump, valves, controls, and connections.	Section C was revised in Amendment 1 to clarify the water system scope. This work will not be performed by the Incumbent contractor therefore these drawings will not be available.

76.	C.2/EM.PA.0040.A008.43.DR.01	Analytical Laboratory Operations	C.82	<p>Will the Government provide an inventory of sources, fissionable/fissile materials, other materials, and samples and/or waste from analytical services provided by the previous contractor that must be dispositioned from the C-709 and C-710 laboratory facilities within 6 months of transition? The "Lab Chemical Inventory" currently in the Documents Library does not appear to include sources, fissionable/fissile materials, other materials, or accumulated waste from sample analysis. More information regarding these materials is essential to developing the cost and schedule for disposition.</p>	<p>A listing of sources can be found in Section J, Attachment J-12. The specific volume/amount of accumulated sample analysis waste varies based on project activities and cannot be estimated at this time for Transition, however, Section L will be revised to include the following assumptions that offerors may use in preparing their proposals.</p> <p>Section L, Attachment L-9, will be revised to include these assumptions:</p> <p>The Offeror shall assume 10 gallons (+/- 20%) of waste from samples. This waste should be assumed to be MLLW. The following fissile material is expected to remain at transition:</p> <table data-bbox="1956 618 2446 979"> <tr> <td>U-232 standards</td> <td>9.624E-09 g</td> </tr> <tr> <td>U-233 standards</td> <td>4.925 g</td> </tr> <tr> <td>U-235 standards</td> <td>1.2941 g</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Pu-236 standards</td> <td>1.560E-10 g</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Pu-239 standards</td> <td>1.614E-05 g</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Pu-242 standards</td> <td>4.830E-03 g</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Am-241 standards</td> <td>7.957E-07 g</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Am-243 standards</td> <td>5.538E-07 g</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Np-237 standards</td> <td>1.436E-02 g</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Th-228 standards</td> <td>7.16E-10 g</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MD-U-235</td> <td>5,700 g</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Metal Cold Traps – U-235</td> <td>120 g</td> </tr> </table>	U-232 standards	9.624E-09 g	U-233 standards	4.925 g	U-235 standards	1.2941 g	Pu-236 standards	1.560E-10 g	Pu-239 standards	1.614E-05 g	Pu-242 standards	4.830E-03 g	Am-241 standards	7.957E-07 g	Am-243 standards	5.538E-07 g	Np-237 standards	1.436E-02 g	Th-228 standards	7.16E-10 g	MD-U-235	5,700 g	Metal Cold Traps – U-235	120 g
U-232 standards	9.624E-09 g																												
U-233 standards	4.925 g																												
U-235 standards	1.2941 g																												
Pu-236 standards	1.560E-10 g																												
Pu-239 standards	1.614E-05 g																												
Pu-242 standards	4.830E-03 g																												
Am-241 standards	7.957E-07 g																												
Am-243 standards	5.538E-07 g																												
Np-237 standards	1.436E-02 g																												
Th-228 standards	7.16E-10 g																												
MD-U-235	5,700 g																												
Metal Cold Traps – U-235	120 g																												
77.	C.2/ EM.PA.0040.A008.48.DR.01	NDA Characterization for Deposit/Hold-up Removal for the Process Facilities	C-85	<p>Table C.2 states NDA "Measurements must be independently validated by a 3rd party." Please clarify whether this is a responsibility of the Offeror to contract for these services. (Note this question applies to NDA on C-360 and the Loose Converter/Compressors also.)</p>	<p>Independent 3rd party validation will be the responsibility of the Government. NDA milestones in Section C will be revised to clarify that the Contractor is responsible for producing results that are capable of passing the 3rd party validation.</p>																								

78.	Section C, Transition; Section J, Attachment 13	Deliverable discrepancies	C-14 Throughout Section J, Attachment 13	<p>The RFP states, “The Contractor is required to give DOE at least 2 weeks to review and comment on all documents submitted during the 120 day Transition Period. Any agreement that requires DOE consent will be subject to a 30 calendar-day review and approval period...” Section J, Attachment 13 Summary of Contract Deliverables includes numerous deliverables due during transition with due dates that will not allow for such reviews. For instance, Deliverable #2 is the Contractor Executive Summary website, which is due and is to be posted within 48 hours. Similarly, Deliverable #3 is the Transition Plan, which requires approval, but is due within 15 days of NTP.</p> <p>Q: Are the dates in the fifth column those for initial delivery or final delivery (after review and revision)?</p> <p>Q: If final delivery, how does DOE wish for offeror to address deliverables that are due prior to the two week or 30-day review period would conclude?</p>	<p>The dates in the fifth column are for initial delivery. The Contractor is responsible for providing the deliverable “of sufficient quality, depth, thoroughness, and format to support DOE approval.”</p> <p>Section H.40 is revised to state that the Contractor is required to submit at least 10 calendar days prior to the planned issue date, and adjusts DOE response time for certain releases of information</p>
79.	H.5.D	Service Contract Act		<p>Under the Service Contract Act and Section H.5.D., the contractor is required to provide equivalent wages and fringe benefits as the successor contractor. Will DOE release current benefit and wage information to all bidders, including comprehensive Summary Plan Descriptions, premiums, and cost-sharing of benefit plans (employer/employee contributions), so that bidders can offer a package that is equivalent?</p>	<p>Refer to L.17(m)(iv) Direct Labor and (vii) Indirect Rates. The DOE provided labor rates and fringe rate are based on current site experience, and therefore should be assumed to provide equivalent wage and fringe benefits in accordance with the Service Contract Labor Standards (formerly titled Service Contract Act) requirements. For proposal preparation purposes, the Offeror shall follow the instructions per L.17, Cost/Price Proposal.</p>
80.	Section H	H.16 DOE-H-2022 Contractor Business Systems (Oct 2014)		<p>On 4/1/14 DOE published a rule in the Federal Register proposing a rule entitled “Contractor Business Systems.” That proposed clause is present in the Final Paducah D&R RFP in Section H, H.16 DOE-H-2022. In the 7/6/16 publication of the Federal Register, DOE filed and withdrew the proposed rule.</p> <p>Q: Will this contract requirement be removed from the RFP and subsequent contract?</p>	<p>No, DOE-H-2022 will not be removed from the Final RFP.</p>
81.	L.10(f)(4)	Print type	L-9	<p>Section L.10.f.4 states, “Print type used in completing forms attached to this RFP as Microsoft® (MS) Word®, Access®, or Excel® documents shall not be changed from the styles used in the attachments.” The cost sheets, attachments L-6, L-7, and L-8, are MS</p>	<p>As part of the Offeror’s proposal submission, the Offeror shall provide Section L, Attachments L-6 and L-7, in accordance with the solicitation requirements (paper copies and electronic versions),</p>

				Excel documents. The font provided by DOE in these forms is Times New Roman 12 pt. If the L-6 sheet “Summary by PWS and GFY” is printed at 100% to maintain the font in the document, the resulting print output would be greater than 90 11x17 pages, with many empty pages due to the setup of the file. Are we correct to assume that the “print to fit” function from MS Excel should be used to print the files in a logical manner, even if the font is smaller than 12 pt. TNR? For example, if the L-6 sheet “Summary by PWS and GFY” is printed at 70%, it results in 8-11x17 pages. However, the font would be approximately 8 pt.: legible, but smaller than the RFP-compliant 12 pt.	except for the L-6 and L-7 where 8 point font will be accepted for printouts. To address the width of the document, Offerors are allowed to logically break the Government Fiscal Year columns into multiple pages in order to meet the solicitation requirements using paper size no larger than 11 x 17 (although the font size may result in a small print font size, the document is required to be legible). Additionally, the cost worksheets can span multiple pages if necessary, and each page of the cost worksheets shall include the applicable column and row headers.
82.	L.14.a.	Key Personnel	L-17	For key personnel with inactive and expired “L” or “Q” clearances, will DOE sponsor their clearance application at the time of proposal submittal? If not, will DOE accept inactive or expired “L” or “Q” clearances at NTP with the expectation that paperwork for reinstated clearance be submitted at contract NTP? The current Key Personnel clearance requirements may limit the ability to assign the best resources (strong leadership with relevant experience and background). Also, is a DOD “interim-Secret” clearance equivalent to a DOE “L” clearance?	A1) No, DOE will not sponsor clearance applications at the time of proposal submittal. A2) As stated in Section L, L.14(a), “ <i>The Offeror shall demonstrate in its proposal that the Key Personnel proposed by the offeror will have an “L” clearance level (or equivalent) at Contract Award and be able to obtain a “Q” clearance level by the end of the Transition period.</i> ” A3) A DOD “Secret” clearance is equivalent to a DOE “L” clearance.
83.	L.17.p	Responsibility Determination and Financial Capability	L-34	Financial statements and annual reports can be hundreds of pages. Will DOE consider changing the submittal requirement for these documents to electronic only, with a listing of the documents to be included within the printed proposal?	Section L.10(c)(4) will be revised as follows, “ <i>The only exception is the financial statements and annual reports in the Volume III proposal, which shall be included in the electronic submission and the signed original only, but are not required to be included in the additional paper copies</i> ”.
84.	Att. L-6, L-7, L-8	Cost Proposal Worksheets, Consolidated Direct Cost Schedules, and Pricing Level Detail		Several cost sheets have entire columns that are blacked out. Is it acceptable to hide those columns when we print, to reduce the number of printed pages and decrease the amount of toner used?	No, the columns should be printed as is.

85.	Att. L-9	Assumption 14 re: cranes	L-57	Attachment L-9 Assumption 14 directs the Offeror to assume 3 of the 9 cranes in C-400 are currently in service and assume the C-400 outside southside crane is not in service. Can Offerors obtain specific information on which three of the nine cranes remain in service?	Cranes #5, 6, & 7 in C-400 are expected to be in-service at transition. Section L, Attachment L-9 will be updated to include this assumption.
86.	Att. L-9	Assumption 14 re: cranes	L-57	Can the Government provide a detailed operational status for all cranes at PGDP? There are no Attachment L-9 listed assumptions relative to the operational status of cranes in 331, 333/333A, 335, 337/337A, 310/310A, 315.	Offerors should assume all cranes in the facilities in question have not been operational since the facilities were shut down in 2013/2014 timeframe. If Offeror intends to utilize the existing cranes as part of its technical approach, the Offeror shall include all costs for repairs, certification and maintenance.
87.	Att. L-9	Assumption #18	L-58	The referenced assumption states that "The Offeror shall assume there are a total of approximately 327 "orphaned" or loose convertors and compressors..." Did any of these "orphaned" or loose convertors or compressors originate from GDP facilities other than Paducah, and if so, from where?	Some orphaned convertors/compressors originated from both Oak Ridge and Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plants.
88.	H-18.B.2.ii	EVMS	H-43	<i>The Contractor shall use Department of Defense's Data Item Description (DID) Integrated Program Management Report (IPMR), DI-MGMT-81861, (current version at time of award) which contains data for measuring cost and schedule performance for this DOE contract. The report's structure has seven formats that contain the content and relationships required for electronic submissions.</i> Recently, DOE PMOA PM-30 released a draft of the DOE EVMS Interpretation Handbook (EVMSIH), which would supersede the DID for DOE projects. Please clarify whether compliance to the DID or EVMSIH will be required for implementation and whether PM-30 will be the certifying entity. A link to the guide is provided for reference: http://energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2015/10/f27/DOE_EVMSIH_Initial_Release_20150930.pdf	No change to Section H.18, the Contractor shall use DID. DOE is the certifying entity.
89.	L-14.a.3	Key Personnel	L-17	The RFP states that "The offeror shall confirm that each of the proposed Key Personnel will be employed by the prime contractor." If the proposed personnel are currently a full-time employee of a parent company and assigned full time in Paducah, is that acceptable or are Key Personnel expected to be members of the LLC?	Key Personnel shall be employed by the Offeror. The term "Offeror" is defined in Section L.10 (a). Section L.14 (a) is revised as follows, " <i>Only one individual may be proposed for each key personnel position and the Key Personnel shall all be</i>

					<p><i>employed by the Offeror.”</i></p> <p>Additionally, conforming changes will be made to Section M.</p>															
90.	L-17.k.1 Basis of Estimate	BOE is a standalone document	L-28	<p>With reference to the subject section of the RFP, which reads in part:</p> <p>“Offerors shall clearly indicate for each PWS by cost element (direct labor, indirect rates, direct materials, etc.): (1) what data is existing and verifiable, (2) judgmental factors applied in projection from known source data to the estimate, (3) key assumptions (not in conflict with the PWS), and (4) the basis of each cost element.”</p> <p>We are proposing to utilize a “common” BOE which will describe the basis of estimate for cost elements common to multiple PWS to minimize the redundancy which would otherwise appear in each separate BOE. The common BOE would describe the following cost elements:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1166 722 1790 1222"> <thead> <tr> <th>Element of Cost</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>Direct Labor</td></tr> <tr><td>Materials, including supplies</td></tr> <tr><td>Equipment and FOGM</td></tr> <tr><td>Subcontracts</td></tr> <tr><td>Personal Protective Equipment</td></tr> <tr><td>Analytical Testing</td></tr> <tr><td>*Waste Disposal</td></tr> <tr><td>*Waste Transportation</td></tr> <tr><td>*Waste Packaging</td></tr> <tr><td>*Waste Treatment</td></tr> <tr><td>Supplies</td></tr> <tr><td>Travel</td></tr> <tr><td>Relocation</td></tr> <tr><td>Other Direct Costs</td></tr> </tbody> </table> <p>*note: Cost elements whose BOE would be uniquely described in the appropriate PWS.</p> <p>Please confirm that this approach is acceptable and not in conflict with the requirement that each BOE shall be a standalone document.</p>	Element of Cost	Direct Labor	Materials, including supplies	Equipment and FOGM	Subcontracts	Personal Protective Equipment	Analytical Testing	*Waste Disposal	*Waste Transportation	*Waste Packaging	*Waste Treatment	Supplies	Travel	Relocation	Other Direct Costs	<p>Where there are no differences in the cost element composition (direct labor rates, indirect rates, material unit rates, etc.) or no differences in the determination of those data (existing verifiable data, judgmental factors, assumptions and basis of cost element) across all PWS elements, a common BOE will not be in conflict with the RFP requirements. However, differences in quantities (labor hours, material quantities, PPE quantities, etc.) or skill resource mixes (types of labor, types of material, etc.) across the PWS should be provided with each individual PWS.</p>
Element of Cost																				
Direct Labor																				
Materials, including supplies																				
Equipment and FOGM																				
Subcontracts																				
Personal Protective Equipment																				
Analytical Testing																				
*Waste Disposal																				
*Waste Transportation																				
*Waste Packaging																				
*Waste Treatment																				
Supplies																				
Travel																				
Relocation																				
Other Direct Costs																				
91.	J-12, Item 21	Role of Infrastructure contractor	J-12-7	<p>Please clarify the roles and responsibilities of the infrastructure contractor vs. the D&R contractor as regards approval of work packages. Is there only one computerized work management system</p>	<p>This item is tied to PWS section EM.PA.0040.A001.07.DR.21, Information Services and Communications. The intent is for the D&R</p>															

				for the site, to include for S&M? Which contractor owns it?	<p>Contractor to attain Infrastructure contractor approval for any changes to the information and communication systems. The Infrastructure contractor is responsible for reviewing and approving the changes. Section C has been revised to clarify these requirements.</p> <p>The D&R contractor and Infrastructure contractor will have separate work management systems.</p>
92.	EM.PA.0040.A008.48.DR	99Tc WAC	C-84	Please confirm WAC value shown as “1/2 ETPP WAC” on page C-115 is the requirement we should consider in complying with future 99Tc treatment activities required in EM.PA.0040.A008.48.DR.	The value shown as “1/2 ETPP WAC” on the graph on page C-115 is provided for information only. It is not intended to be criteria for Tc-99 removal in EM.PA.0040.A009.04.DR.03, which is an IDIQ scope element.
93.	L.11(h)	Facility clearance verification	L-12	Q: Does the reference “subcontractors” under the Facility Clearance Verification section (L.11(h)) refer to Critical Subcontractors or to any proposed subcontractors?	Section L.11 (h) is revised to clarify all proposed subcontractors, if applicable.
94.	L.11(l)	Organizational conflicts of interest	L-14	Q: Does the reference “subcontractors” under the Organizational Conflicts of Interest section (L.11(l)) refer to Critical Subcontractors or to named subcontractors or to any proposed subcontractor?	<p>This section refers to any proposed subcontractors.</p> <p>Section L.11(l) is revised as follows, “<i>The Offeror, including each entity participating in a joint venture, LLC, or teaming agreement thereof as defined in FAR 9.601(1), as well as any subcontractor(s) shall provide a fully executed Section K.8, Organizational Conflicts of Interest Disclosure and any necessary statements required by the provision.</i>”</p>
95.	Section C, Overview	Remaining SMP commitments in BOE	C-4	As stated in Section C “ <i>Additionally, the Contractor shall continue implementation of the Environmental Remediation Program as described in the Site Management Plan (SMP) under the Federal Facility Agreement (FFA) for the Paducah Site.</i> ” Please provide clarification regarding expectations for bidders to include these remaining SMP commitments within our Basis of Estimate and associated schedule within Volume III.	Two versions of the Site Management Plan have been included in the Documents Library on the procurement website. The “Site Management Plan—Reference Only” was added to the Documents Library to allow the bidders the opportunity to see what changes are currently being reviewed and discussed with the regulators. The bidders should use the approved SMP (DOE/LX/07-1301&D2/R1 PGDP Site Management Plan Annual Revision FY 2015, May 2015) and take into consideration changes being

					pursued, yet not approved, and state in their proposal what their assumptions are relative to a specific actions and schedule for those projects and associated commitments within the SMP that would occur within the duration of the awarded contract period.																								
96.	EM.PA.0040.A008.48.DR.06 R-114 Freon	Quantity of rejected R-114	C-98	Please provide clarification regarding the quantity of R-114 Freon which must be dispositioned by the contractor which may be rejected by the assigned contractor.	Offerors should assume that the DOE Provided Cost of \$3.2M per year includes disposition costs for R-114 that may be rejected for recycling/conversion. Section L, Attachment L-9 will be revised to include this assumption.																								
97.	EM.PA.0040.A008.43.DR.01 for Analytical Laboratory Operations	Inventory of the small cylinders and other potential fissile material in the laboratory	C-81/82	Please provide an inventory of the small cylinders and other potential fissile material in the laboratory requiring processing which will remain from the prior contractor's contract. If there are remaining small cylinders, please consider lifting the 6-month requirement for disposition of these materials as defined in the RFP.	<p>The following fissile material is expected to remain at transition:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>U-232 standards</td> <td>9.624E-09 g</td> </tr> <tr> <td>U-233 standards</td> <td>4.925 g</td> </tr> <tr> <td>U-235 standards</td> <td>1.2941 g</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Pu-236 standards</td> <td>1.560E-10 g</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Pu-239 standards</td> <td>1.614E-05 g</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Pu-242 standards</td> <td>4.830E-03 g</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Am-241 standards</td> <td>7.957E-07 g</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Am-243 standards</td> <td>5.538E-07 g</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Np-237 standards</td> <td>1.436E-02 g</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Th-228 standards</td> <td>7.16E-10 g</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MD - U-235</td> <td>5,700 g</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Metal Cold Traps - U-235</td> <td>120 g</td> </tr> </table> <p>Section L, Attachment L-9 will be revised to include these assumptions.</p> <p>The small cylinders are not included in the requirement for disposition within 6 months. Disposition of small cylinders is included in the IDIQ section. Section C, EM.PA.0040.A008.43.DR.01 Analytical Laboratory Operations will be revised to clarify the scope.</p>	U-232 standards	9.624E-09 g	U-233 standards	4.925 g	U-235 standards	1.2941 g	Pu-236 standards	1.560E-10 g	Pu-239 standards	1.614E-05 g	Pu-242 standards	4.830E-03 g	Am-241 standards	7.957E-07 g	Am-243 standards	5.538E-07 g	Np-237 standards	1.436E-02 g	Th-228 standards	7.16E-10 g	MD - U-235	5,700 g	Metal Cold Traps - U-235	120 g
U-232 standards	9.624E-09 g																												
U-233 standards	4.925 g																												
U-235 standards	1.2941 g																												
Pu-236 standards	1.560E-10 g																												
Pu-239 standards	1.614E-05 g																												
Pu-242 standards	4.830E-03 g																												
Am-241 standards	7.957E-07 g																												
Am-243 standards	5.538E-07 g																												
Np-237 standards	1.436E-02 g																												
Th-228 standards	7.16E-10 g																												
MD - U-235	5,700 g																												
Metal Cold Traps - U-235	120 g																												
98.	Section H, Clause H-16	Contractor Business Systems (OCT 2014)	H-36	Part (B) states: <i>General. The Contractor shall establish and maintain acceptable business systems in accordance with the terms and conditions of this contract. If the Contractor plans to</i>	This clause does not imply the existing Contractor business systems will be available for adoption. The Offeror shall comply with the requirements of																								

				<p><i>adopt any existing business system from the previous Contractor, the Contractor is</i></p> <p><i>responsible for the system and shall comply with the system requirements and criteria</i></p> <p><i>required in that specific business system clause. The clause implies that the existing contractor's business systems (accounting, earned value management, estimating, property, and procurement) will be available for adoption. Please confirm which of the existing contractor's business systems will be available for consideration of adoption by the Contractor.</i></p>	the RFP and has the ability, if it so chooses and without Government intervention, to adopt an existing system from the incumbent Contractor.
99.	EM.PA.0040.A008.48.DR Exhibit No. C-1	Number of Cells in Building C-335	C-83 and C-111	<p>RFP Section C on page C-83 states C-335 has 4 Units and 10 cells/Unit for 40 cells (also states 10 stages /cell for 400 stages).</p> <p>RFP Exhibit C-1 on page C-111 shows C-335 has 3 Units and 10 cells/Unit for 30 cells (also states 10 stages /cell for 300 stages).</p> <p>Which one is correct?</p>	Section C is correct. C-335 contains 4 units of 10 cells/unit and 10 stages/cell for a total of 400 stages. Section C, Exhibit C-1, will be corrected to reflect this.
100.	Section I.157 952.227-82	Rights to Proposal Data (Apr 1984)	I-6	The current DEAR 952.227-82 shows the title of this clause as Rights to Proposal Data (Apr 1994). Q: Should the Offeror use the Apr 1984 version of the DEAR 952.227-82 as listed in this RFP DE-SOL-0008746 or the Apr 1994 version of the DEAR 952.227-82?	Section I has been revised to Apr 1994.
101.	L-12 (c) and L.13 (b)	L.12 - DOE-L-2003 Proposal Preparation Instructions, Volume II – Technical and Management Proposal L-13 - DOE-L-2004 Proposal Preparation Instructions, Volume II – Technical Approach	L-15 and L-16	L-12 (c) states “Offerors shall provide their specific approach and capabilities to perform the required work.” However, L.13 (b) states that for 11 specific areas: “The Offeror shall provide its general technical understanding of the PWS elements listed in the table below,” In addition, M.2 (b) further indicates that for these 11 areas: “DOE will evaluate the Offeror’s general technical understanding of the PWS elements” and it does not mention that a specific approach and capabilities will be evaluated for these 11 areas. Therefore, are we correct in assuming that the 11 sections that are listed as general technical understanding do NOT require a specific approach and capabilities description referred to in L.12 (c)?	Yes, your assumption is correct. In accordance with L.13(b), the Offeror shall provide its general technical understanding of the PWS sections listed.
102.	Section L, Attachment L-3	Past Performance and Experience Information Form	L-47	Block 22 in Attachment L-3 states: “Safety statistics: provide Days Away, Restricted or Transferred (DART) and Total Recordable Case (TRC) rates and hours worked for the Entity (identified in #4) on the referenced contract by Government Fiscal Year (GFY) for FY 2012-	Section L, Attachment L-3 will be revised to state, “ <i>Safety statistics: provide Days Away, Restricted or Transferred (DART) and Total Recordable Case (TRC) rates and hours worked for the Entity</i>

				2016.” Q: Because the proposal due date is prior to the end of FY 2016, how do you want the FY 2016 data presented?	<i>(identified in #4) on the referenced contract by Government Fiscal Year (GFY) for FY 2012 through the end of 3rd Quarter of 2016.”</i>
103.	Section L.10.f.6	Page numbering	L-8	In DOE’s Final RFP Q&A1, Question 33 states that L.10.f.6 will be revised to sequentially number pages by volume (except for Volumes II and III). Amendment 1 red line file does reflect that change, however, L.10.f.8 still says to sequential number by section. Q: For Volume II, should the document be numbered as noted in Item L.10.f.6?	Section L.10.f.8 will be revised to state, “ <i>Pages shall be numbered sequentially by volume (except for Volumes I and III) and by individual sections within each volume</i> ”.