

No.	RFP Section	Subject	Page No.	Industry Question	DOE Response
1.	B.2 and C.2, EM.PA.0040.A008.DR.07	Clarification of whether scope is under Technical Option 3 (CLIN 0306) or in IDIQ	B-4 and C-105	In Section B, Deactivation of Fire Systems is shown as Technical Option 3 with a defined Period of Performance (consistent with Section F). The milestone table in Section C says milestone date is “consistent with the Exercise of the Task Order”. It is our understanding that the only Task Order will be under the IDIQ work elements, and that all other work is authorized via contract amendments exercising options and/or authorizing CLINs. Please clarify whether the Section C work scope is IDIQ or Technical Option work.	Section C will be revised to state that the Deactivation of Fire Systems milestone date is consistent with Exercise of the Technical Option.
2.	B.7 & L.17 (h)	Estimated Annual Contract Value vs. GFY Funding Profile	B-7 & 8 L-27	Per the footnote at the bottom of Table B.7-1, the Contract Total shown in Table B.7-1 is the projected FY funding less the IDIQ maximum value. Is funding for IDIQ tasks included in the funding profile provided on page L-27? If yes, please clarify how much funding should be assumed in each GFY for IDIQ tasks and how much for base work scope.	No, the funding for the IDIQ tasks is not included in the funding profile in Section L.
3.	B.9	Fee Reductions	B-11	Please confirm that the reference in item (6) should be to FAR 52.246-4 Inspection of Services—Fixed Price rather than 52.246-2.	Yes, Section B.9 to be revised as follows: FAR 52.246-4 Inspection of Services—Fixed Price.
4.	C.1.5	Programmatic or Site Requirements Documents	C-12	The Site Management Plan that is shown in Table C.1.5-1 is the DOE/LX/07-1301&D2/R1 PGDP Site Management Plan Annual Revision FY 2015, May 2015. There is a more recent Site Management Plan on the Paducah Documents Library website, called “Site Management Plan—Reference Only” that is dated November 16, 2015. Should Offerors use the dates in the November 16, 2015 SMP for purposes of preparing our proposals?	The “Site Management Plan—Reference Only” was added to the Document Library to allow the Offerors the opportunity to see what changes are currently being reviewed and discussed with the regulators. The Offerors should use the approved SMP (DOE/LX/07-1301&D2/R1 PGDP Site Management Plan Annual Revision FY 2015, May 2015) and take into consideration changes being pursued, yet not approved, and state in their proposal what their assumptions are relative to a specific action and date.
5.	C.2/EM.PA.A001.06.DR.02	Transition	C-13	Does the incumbent contractor have a site specific procurement system that has passed a Contractor Purchasing System Review (CPSR)?	The Offeror will need to propose, implement and receive approval on its own CPSR. See Section H.20.

6.	C.2 EM.PA.0020.A001.03.DR.02	Protective Force Services	C-20	How many union personnel are currently working in Protective Force Services?	The number of personnel is based on the Offeror's technical approach. The subject PWS section states "Contractor shall maintain a sufficient security staffing plan to ensure all mission requirements (e.g. active shooter, posts, alarm monitoring, patrols) can be met ...". It goes on to state, "These numbers may be a combination of Security Officers (SO), fixed post readiness Security Police Officer (SPO) or SPO I personnel."
7.	Section C EM.PA.0040.A001.07.DR.03	Life-cycle plan	C-34	This section states "The current life-cycle plan will be provided for use in electronic format so that the Contractor can incorporate the Contractor's work scope." Please provide this Plan or identify where it is located if previously provided.	The "current life-cycle plan" is not finalized. It will be provided at transition.
8.	Section C EM.PA.0040.A002.04.DR.01	Waste operations	C-63	The section states "...100% of all Waste Operations costs (fully burdened) are distributed to the projects generating the wastes and utilizing these services, other than those costs directly associated with the management and disposition of : 1) the previous contractor's wastes (90 day inventory), 2)TVA and 3) other site contractors." In the event that no waste is being generated, there will be costs associated with the waste operations associated with readiness to accept waste from items 1, 2, and 3 and other future waste generation. Where should these costs be captured?	Per Section L.17(m)(iii) , the intent is to capture only programmatic costs for Waste Operations or Analytical Laboratory activities (i.e., costs that cannot specifically attributed to a particular project). Operational readiness is one such programmatic cost that cannot be distributed to a specific project and, therefore, should be captured under Waste Operations of Analytical Laboratory The PWS element (WBS) where the work is being performed should contain all the costs associated with performance of that work. Section C will be revised to delete "100% of all".
9.	C.2 EM.PA.0040.A002.05.DR.02	Landfill Expansion	C-68	Is the capacity of the C-746-U Landfill 1.18 million cubic yards for all 23 cells? What is the remaining capacity of the five (5) cells already developed and active? Should we assume the 115,000 cy of waste already disposed is part of the five (5) active cells?	A1) Yes A2) 56,800 cubic yards as of 6/30/16 (projected to be 37,175 cy at end of FY16 and 25,962 cy at end of FY17). A3) Yes

10.	Section C Sub Section C-2	EM.PA.0040.A005.02. DR Southwest Plume Sources Remediation / Site Management Plan (DOE LX/07.2400&D1, Nov 2015).	pp. C-69 – C-70	Q: Is the due date for the D1 RACR in 2021, as stated in the main body of the “Enforceable Timetables and Deadlines” table in the SMP; or is it in 2029, as suggested by Footnote 3 of that same table?	The due date for the SW Plume Source 211A action D1 RACR is as stated in the SMP (2021). The dates associated with the Dissolved-Phase Plumes (mentioned in Footnote 3) are separate from the source actions noted earlier in the referenced table.
11.	C.2 EM.PA.0040.A005.10.DR.01	C-400 Building Subsurface Groundwater Source Remediation	C-70	Does this scope include treatment of the groundwater?	The proposed method(s) of accomplishment should consider the requirements as presented in Section C of the Final RFP and treatment of the groundwater is dependent on the Offerors technical approach.
12.	EM.PA.0040.A0008.41.DR.01	S&M Electronic tracking system	C-73	“The contractor shall accept, utilize and optimize the existing electronic system.” What is the system currently being used?	SOMAX
13.	Section C – Statement of Work	EM.PA.0040.A008.42. DR.02 Steam, Chilled Water, Compressed Air, & Waste Heat Systems	C-76	This section includes Table C.2.EM.PA.0040.A008.41.DR.02-2 Facility Roofs Milestones/Schedule. Q: Should this table be included in WBS EM.PA.0040.A008.41.DR.02 Facility Roofs as part of Surveillance and Maintenance?	Agree. Section C will be updated. The table for Facility Roofs Milestones/Schedule should be in section EM.PA.0040.A008.41.DR.02 Facility Roofs not in section EM.PA.0040.A008.42.DR.02 Steam, Chilled Water, Compressed Air, & Waste Heat Systems.
14.	C.2 EM.PA.0040.A008.42.DR.03	Water Systems	C-78	The first sentence in the third full paragraph states, “The Contractor shall complete this process and complete all actions...” In order to determine the scope remaining, what will be the status of this effort at contract award?	Section C will be updated to clarify the scope for Water Systems.
15.	Section C, Quality Programs and Section H.64 EM-QA, also Section J, Attachment J-13	Quality Assurance	C-44, H-82, and J- 13-13, J-13-30.	The H clause asks for a Quality Assurance Program and describes it as a plan. J-13-30 says the plan is due within 30 days after NTP. Section C describes a Quality Assurance Plan and describes it nearly identically to that in the H clause. Page J-13-13 says that this plan is due 90 days after NTP. Q: The two plans appear to be the same, but the due dates are different. Are the plans the same? If so, is it due 30 or 90 days after NTP?	These deliverables are the same. Deliverable 105 should be “Submittal of Quality Assurance Program”, for approval, with a due date within 90 days after NTP. Section J, Attachment J-13, will be revised to clarify the title of the deliverable and delete mark Deliverable # 229 as “RESERVED.”

16.	Section C – Statement of Work	EM.PA.0040.A008.42.DR.04 Electric Power Distribution, page C-79 and WBS EM.PA.0040.A008.42.DR.04.01 Electric Power Operations and Deactivation of Switchyards	C-79 & C-80	The text on these pages does not include any reference to the construction of a new switchyard to replace C-531 or the construction of a 14 kV Bypass of the C-531. However, table C.2.EM.PA.0040.A008.42.DR.04-1 Electric Power Distribution Milestones/Schedule includes the following milestone: “Complete Tie-in to the new switchyard and take C-531 out of service”; with the following date: “2 months after completion of construction of the new switchyard and the C-531 14kV By-Pass”. Q: If a new switchyard and the 14 kV bypass are not to be built, should Offeror plan to operate the C-531 switchyard for the period of performance? Or is the milestone correct and scope is missing from the text?	Section C will be updated. A new Switchyard and tie lines will be designed and constructed by an outside provider. This work is currently in the procurement process. The projected completion date is February 15, 2019. Offerors should plan on operating and maintaining the existing switch yard until such time as the new switchyard is completed. The milestone stands as written.
17.	Section C – Statement of Work	EM.PA.0040.A008.42.DR.04.01 Electric Power Operations and Deactivation of Switchyards		Table C.2.EM.PA.0040.A008.42.DR.04-1 Electric Power Distribution Milestones/Schedule includes the following milestone: “ <i>Complete shut-down, isolation, de-energization, and draining of the C-537 and C-535 Switchyards and associated ancillary/support facilities</i> ”. The date for this milestone is described as “ <i>6 months after EEI and TVA complete re-configuration of the 161 kV lines to migrate away from C-537 and C-535</i> ” Q: Can DOE provide a target calendar date when EEI and TVA are scheduled to complete reconfiguration to allow the accurate time phasing of this work?	Section C will be updated. A new Switchyard and tie lines will be designed and constructed by an outside provider. This work is currently in the procurement process. The projected completion date is February 15, 2019. Offerors should plan on operating and maintaining the existing switch yard until such time as the new switchyard is completed. The milestone stands as written.
18.	Section C, Section J, Attachment J-13	Deliverable discrepancy	C-30, J-13-3	The Security Program section of Section C now includes this language: "Complete the Initial Survey prior to transition from the current Deactivation/Remediation Contractor. The Initial Survey is a comprehensive review of the security status at a facility that is a candidate for a Facility Security Clearance (FCL) conducted to determine whether the facility in question meets established standards for the protection of the security interests and activities to be covered by the FCL." The table following that statement says the survey is due “30 days prior to transition for the current Deactivation/Remediation Contractor.” Similarly, in Section J, Attachment 13, the text notes this deliverable is due “At least 30 days prior to transition from the current Deactivation/Remediation Contractor.” Q: Does DOE mean prior to the end of transition? Or 30 days after transition begins? Or 30 days after NTP?	The Section J Attachment J-13, 13. Successfully complete Initial Survey, Deliverable Due Date will be revised as follows: At least 30 days prior to the end of the Transition Period.
19.	C.2/EM.PA.0040.A001.07.DR.04 .04	Emergency Management & Fire Protection	C-42	Will the Government please provide the number of firefighters and emergency response personnel that are on site, and what their current shifts and labor mix per shift are?	The number of firefighters and emergency response personnel, shifts, and labor mix should be based on the Offeror’s technical approach to meet the requirements outlined in the PWS.

20.	C.2/EM.PA.0040.A001.07.DR.14	Energy Efficiency	C-51	Given that the requirements of Energy Efficiency and the Green and Sustainable Remediation and Innovative Technology are both Program level initiatives that will touch all other PWS elements and do not lend themselves to being priced as discrete activities, would the Government consider moving these requirements to Section H?	No change to Final RFP.
21.	C.2/EM.PA.0040.A001.07.DR.16	Continuity Program	C-56	Would the Government consider deleting this PWS element, since DOE O 150.1A and its requirements are already included in Section J, Attachment 4?	No change to Final RFP.
22.	Section C EM.PA.0040.A001.07.DR.17	Pension plan	C-58	Is the current pension plan funded or under-funded?	Refer to Section L, Attachment L-9, DOE Provided Costs. Additionally, the signed MEPP Revision and Restatement as of Jan 1 2015 and the UCOR 2015 Annual Funding Notice were posted to the procurement website on August 10, 2016.
23.	Section C EM.PA.0040.A008.41.DR, EM.PA.0040.A008.42.DR and Documents Library	Reference Documents for S&M and Utilities	EMCBC webpage “Documents Library”	Can the DOE provide the “Ten Year Site Plan” and “Utility Optimization Program Plan” for reference?	No. Due to regulatory concerns and the incumbent’s technical approach, DOE will not provide either plan.
24.	C.2/EM.PA.0040.A001.07.DR.21	Information Services and Communications	C-61	In order to price the correct type of radios, can the Government please provide the specifications necessary to ensure the radios will be compatible with the Paducah site wide system?	Specifications for current plant radio equipment will be added as an assumption in L-9.
25.	C.2/EM.PA.0040.A008.41.DR.01	Surveillance and Maintenance of Facilities	C-72	Please provide the number of people on site, broken out by function/craft. Please include the other on site subcontractors (i.e., Infrastructure, DUF6, etc.)	No. The number of people on site, by function/craft, should be based on the proposed technical approach.
26.	C.2/EM.PA.0040.A008.41.DR.01	Surveillance and Maintenance of Facilities	C-72	Please provide a listing of the preventive maintenance (PM) activities for the last three calendar years.	No. The Offerors list of PM activities should be based on the Offerors proposed technical approach.

27.	Section C, EM.PA.0040.A008.43.DR.01 (Analytical Laboratory Operations) EM.PA.0040.A002.04.DR.01 (Waste Operations)	Waste cost allocation	C-81	Section C, EM.PA.0040.A008.43.DR.01 (Analytical Laboratory Operations) allows a prorated amount of programmatic costs to be included in this PWS element. However, EM.PA.0040.A002.04.DR.01 (Waste Operations) requires that 100% of the fully burdened costs be allocated to projects. Both analytical services and waste management min safe and min compliant operations must be maintained for successful site operations, regardless of the level of ongoing project work. Therefore, we request that the approach to costs be consistent for both scopes of work and that DOE allow a prorated amount of programmatic costs for waste management to be included in its PWS element.	Per Section L.17(m)(iii) , the intent is to capture only programmatic costs for Waste Operations or Analytical Laboratory activities (i.e., costs that cannot specifically attributed to a particular project). Operational readiness is one such programmatic cost that cannot be distributed to a specific project and, therefore, should be captured under Waste Operations of Analytical Laboratory costs. The PWS element (WBS) where the work is being performed should contain all the costs associated with performance of that work. Section C will be revised to delete “100% of all”.
28.	C.2/EM.PA.0040.A008.48.DR.06 R-114 Freon	Subcontract to disposition the R-114 Freon	C-98	Please provide the status of the Freon Disposition subcontract, along with the Statement of Work and Terms and Conditions for that subcontract. If the subcontract has not been awarded, should Offerors make assumptions on the scope or can the Government provide these assumptions?	Refer to Cost Assumptions and DOE Provided Costs in Section L, Attachment L-9.
29.	Section 3 EM.PA.0040.A009.04.DR.03	TC Removal	C-109	6 th paragraph, 4 th sentence. Suggest adding the word “remaining” to the following sentence:“ The ultimate goal is to remove the technetium to sufficient levels that the REMAINING radioactive contamination...”	Adding the word “REMAINING” as suggested does not change the intent, but it does make the statement more explicit. Section C will be updated.
30.	H.5.D	Service Contract Act	H-8 to H-11	The contractor is required to become a participating employer in the ETTP multi-employer pension plan. Will DOE release the current and recent funding status of this plan to all bidders?	Yes, it was released to the procurement website on August 10, 2016.
31.	H.62	Subcontracted Work	H-81	Please clarify the sentence “The Contractor shall subcontract (in accordance with the definition at FAR Subpart 44.1) at least 30% of the Total Estimated Cost of the contract (exclusive of contract fee), as and the maximum value under the IDIQ CLINs.” The phrase “as and” makes this unclear.	Section H.62 to be revised to as follows: The Contractor shall subcontract (in accordance with the definition at FAR Subpart 44.1) at least 30% of the Total Estimated Cost of the contract (exclusive of contract fee and the maximum value under the IDIQ CLIN(s)).

32.	L.10.f.4	Print type	L-8	When all text on a page is the same font (Times New Roman), it can be difficult for a reviewer to differentiate sections; typically, headings, charts, and graphics are in sans serif fonts for this reason. To make the proposal easier to read and review, may we use the sans serif font Arial (which is compliant with Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act) for the text section headings, tables, graphics, spreadsheets, and diagrams (typically permitted in DOE proposals)? Also, given that Arial 9 is approximately the same size as Times 10, may the minimum requirement for tables, graphics, spreadsheets, and diagrams be set at 9 pt Arial (bold, italics, and regular) excluding Arial narrow? a b c d e f g h i j k l m n o p q r s t u v w x y z in 10pt TNR a b c d e f g h i j k l m n o p q r s t u v w x y z in 9pt Arial	No change to RFP.
33.	L.10.f.6	Page Numbering	L-8	May the requirement for sequential numbering by volume be removed from the non-page-limited Volumes I and III? Rationale: Many of the required documents in these volumes are previously published items, with page numbers already on the pages. This would also make easier the printing/publication of Volume III, which could be numbered by section (Tab 1 with pages 1-1 ... Tab 2 with pages 2-1 ... etc.),	Yes, L.10.f.6 will be revised as follows: <i>“All pages shall be sequentially numbered by volume (except for Volumes I and III) and may be printed in the one inch margins.”</i>
34.	L.11.h	Facility clearance verification	L-13	Please clarify if “Savannah River Site - EMCBC” is the FOCI Office to be selected when filling out the DOE FOCI ESS New User Registration. Should this be “Paducah Site – EMCBC”?	Section L.11(h) will be revised as follows: <i>“When filling out the New User Registration information in the DOE FOCI ESS, select “Environmental Management Consolidated Business Center” as the FOCI Office that will review your submission for this solicitation when it is completed.”</i>
35.	Section C EM.PA.0040.A0008.48.DR.07 L-13	Deactivation of fire suppression systems	L-15	In Section L-13, a detailed technical approach is required for EM.PA.0040.A0008.48.DR.07 Deactivation of fire suppressions systems. This scope of work is identified as optional. Please clarify that we are to include optional scope in this section.	Yes, a detailed technical approach is required for Technical Option Work. The Offeror may also refer to the L-8, Pricing Level Detail, for a summary of the requirements. Additionally, Section C will be revised to state that the Deactivation of Fire Systems milestone date is consistent with Exercise of the Technical Option.

36.	L.13.d.2	Critical Path Schedule	L-16	Can the critical path schedule be excluded from the page count in Volume 2? If not, can DOE provide guidance on the level of detail being requested in the critical path schedule?	No, the critical path schedule is not excluded from the page count in Volume 2. As stated in Section L.13(d)(2), "The Offeror shall submit its critical path schedule and ensure consistency between the schedule data provided in this Volume II of the proposal and Volume III." The Offeror determines the level of detail to provide in its critical path schedule to enable DOE to evaluate its depth, completeness and realism pursuant to paragraph (e) of Section M.3.
37.	L-13.D.2	Resource Loaded Schedule	L-16	(2) <i>The Offeror shall submit its detailed resource loaded schedule consistent with the cost worksheets and its critical path schedule for the lowest level of the PWS.</i> Please clarify the level of detail requested to be included in the Volume II Technical Approach of the submittal and whether it will be subject to 60 page limitation. Also, please clarify if it is limited to the jobhour resources or if the full cost is expected to be loaded, which would conflict with the requirement to only address cost in Volume III.	<p>The Final RFP, Section L.13(d)(2) states, "<i>The Offeror shall submit its critical path schedule and ensure consistency between the schedule data provided in this Volume II of the proposal and Volume III.</i>"</p> <p>The Offeror determines the level of detail to provide in its critical path schedule to enable DOE to evaluate its depth, completeness and realism pursuant to paragraph (e) of Section M.3.</p> <p>Offerors need to ensure they are following the Final RFP as posted, not the previous and now obsolete Draft RFP.</p>
38.	L.14 (a)	Proposal Preparation Instructions, Volume II – Key Personnel And Organization (OCT 2015), Factor 2: Key Personnel and Organization	L-17	<p>The RFP states: "The Offeror shall demonstrate in its proposal that the Key Personnel proposed by the Offeror will have an "L" clearance level (or equivalent) at Contract Award and be able to obtain a "Q" clearance level by the end of the Transition period."</p> <p>The requirement as given in the RFP is very restrictive. Would DOE consider changing the requirement to "The Offeror shall demonstrate in its proposal that the Key Personnel proposed by the Offeror have the ability to obtain a "Q" clearance level."</p>	No, the RFP will remain unchanged.

39.	Sections L.15(a); L.16(a); M.4(a); and M.5(a)	Factor 3: Experience; Factor 4: Past Performance; Evaluation Factor - Experience; and Evaluation Factor - Past Performance	L-21, L-22, L-23, M-7	<p>In regard to the paragraphs describing “similar scope, size, and complexity,” there are some inconsistencies between those descriptions as they appear in L.15(a) and L.16(a) and as they appear in M.4(a) and M.5(a). For example, L.15(a) lists “<u>facility characterization</u> and stabilization”, but the corresponding description in the evaluation factor in M.4(a) lists “stabilization and <u>deactivation</u>”. There are also inconsistencies between those descriptions as they appear in the experience evaluation factor [M.4(a)] versus the past performance evaluation factor [M.5(a)], as well as in the final RFP text in M.4(a) versus the corresponding text in M.5(a).</p> <p>Please clarify which description should govern in all of those paragraphs to ensure that the evaluation factors are consistent with the information we are required to provide.</p>	Section M.4(a) and Section .5(a) will be revised to state, “Scope – type of work (e.g., facility characterization and stabilization [deposit/hold-up removal, removal of all fire loading, isolating systems and facility from utilities], groundwater remediation, waste operations, utility operations and Surveillance and Maintenance (S&M);...”.
40.	Section L.15	Factor 3: Experience	L-22	<p>Please clarify the total number of contract/project examples that may be submitted by an Offeror that is in a contractor team arrangement. If a “newly formed entity”/“contractor team arrangement” is the Offeror proposing, is that Offeror restricted to 3 <u>total</u> contract/project experience examples, or may the parent organizations comprising the team arrangement (the Offeror) submit 3 contracts/projects from <u>each</u> of the parent organizations? As the “Offeror” is defined as a <u>single entity</u> (please see below), this is unclear.</p> <p>In RFP paragraph L.15 (e), Contracts information, it states: “The Offeror shall provide the relevant experience information as requested in this provision on 3 contracts, either completed or currently being performed by the prime Offeror, and not more than 4 total contracts, either completed or currently being performed, for all proposed critical subcontractor(s) combined.”</p> <p>In RFP paragraph L.15 (d), Newly formed entity and predecessor companies, it states: “If the Offeror, subcontractors, or other performing entities are a newly formed entity with no experience, the Offeror shall provide relevant experience for the parent organization(s) or the member organizations in a joint venture, LLC, or other similar entity.</p> <p>In RFP Section L.10 (a), it defines “Offeror” as “the single entity submitting the proposal. The Offeror may be a single corporation or a ‘contractor team arrangement’ as defined in FAR 9.601(1), for example, a limited liability company, limited liability partnership, joint venture, or similar entity or arrangement.”</p>	<p>1. The term “Offeror” is defined in L.10(a), as the single entity submitting the proposal.</p> <p>2. L.15 (e) will be revised as follows: “The Offeror shall provide the relevant experience information as requested in this provision on 3 contracts, either completed or currently being performed by the Offeror, and not more than 4 total contracts, either completed or currently being performed, for all proposed critical subcontractor(s) combined.”</p> <p>3. “If a “newly formed entity”/“contractor team arrangement” is the Offeror proposing, is that Offeror restricted to 3 <u>total</u> contract/project experience examples...?” Yes</p> <p>4. “...may the parent organizations comprising the team arrangement (the Offeror) submit 3 contracts/projects from <u>each</u> of the parent organizations?” No</p>

41.	L.15 and L.16	Experience and Past Performance time limitations	L-22 and L-24	Can the 5-year limit be tied to the current submittal date of 9/21, regardless of any potential extensions? Customer questionnaires will be sent in advance and an extension of the proposal due date could impact project within the five year window and as a result change which projects are selected.	No change to Final RFP.
42.	L.15.e L.16.e	Contracts information	L22 and L24	Please clarify the requirement for the Offeror to provide experience/past performance on three contracts. Is this intended to mean three for each parent organization in the Offeror's entity submitting the proposal or three total?	No, not three for each parent organization. The Offeror, as defined in L.10(a), shall submit 3 total. Also, L.15 (e) to be revised as follows: "The Offeror shall provide the relevant experience information as requested in this provision on 3 contracts, either completed or currently being performed by the Offeror, and not more than 4 total contracts, either completed or currently being performed, for all proposed critical subcontractor(s) combined."
43.	L.15.d-e, L.16.d-e	Factors 3 and 4	L-22 and L-24	L.15.d and L.16.d state, " <i>Offeror shall provide relevant experience for the parent organizations or member organizations of a joint venture, LLC, or other similar entity.</i> " L.15.e and L.16.e states, " <i>The Offeror shall provide the relevant experience information as requested in this provision on 3 contracts, either completed or currently being performed by the prime Offeror...</i> " Are we correct in assuming that Offerors are to provide three (3) Att. L-3 forms for each member of a joint venture/LLC (Offeror), so that the experience of each member organization is represented?	No, the Offeror, as defined in L.10(a), shall submit 3 total.
44.	Section L.15	Factor 3: Experience	L-23	Section L.15, paragraph (e)(3) states: "Contract information provided for experience shall correspond to, and cross reference, information furnished under the past performance section of the proposal." As we are instructed to organize the attachments comprising the Experience factor in the Past Performance factor of the proposal, please clarify how the contract information in the Experience is to "cross reference" the Past Performance.	Section L.15, paragraph (e)(3) will be revised to state, " <i>Contract information provided for experience shall correspond to information furnished under the past performance section of the proposal.</i> ".
45.	Section L.16	Factor 4: Past Performance	L-23	Factor 4, Past Performance states: " <i>The completed Attachment L-3, L-4, L-5 and L-11 shall be included in this Volume II, Factor 4: Past Performance.</i> " As Attachment L-4 is the Past Performance Cover Letter and Questionnaire that we must send to our client for completion, please specify what you mean by stating that the "completed" Attachment L-4 shall be included in Volume II, Factor 4.	L.16 will be revised as follows; " <i>The completed Attachment L-3, L-5 and L-11 shall be included in this Volume II, Factor 4: Past Performance.</i> "

46.	Section L.16	Factor 4: Past Performance	L-24	<p>Same question as above regarding clarification of the total number of projects/contracts, with regard to Past Performance.</p> <p>(e) Contracts information. The Offeror shall provide past performance information on three (3) contracts, either completed or currently being performed by the prime Offeror, and not more than 4 total contracts, either completed or currently being performed, for all proposed critical subcontractor(s) combined</p>	<p>Section L.16 (e) will be revised as follows:</p> <p><i>“The Offeror shall provide past performance information on three (3) contracts, either completed or currently being performed by the Offeror, and not more than 4 total contracts, either completed or currently being performed, for all proposed critical subcontractor(s) combined.”</i></p>
47.	L.16	PPI for Past Performance	L-23	<p>Please confirm that the Past Performance Cover Letter and Questionnaire, Attachment L-4, is not to be included in Volume II in the proposal.</p>	<p>L.16 will be revised as follows;</p> <p><i>“The completed Attachment L-3, L-5 and L-11 shall be included in this Volume II, Factor 4: Past Performance.”</i></p>
48.	L.16.h and Att. L-4	Factor 4 and PPQ	L-25, L-48	<p>L.16.h states that Att. L-4 shall be submitted for each Att. L-3 contract. So that we do not add unnecessary work for our government clients, if the contract is available in PPIRS, are we required to additionally send the L-4 Questionnaire to our POC for the contract and have the POC submit the questionnaire to DOE?</p>	<p>Yes, per Section L.16 (h), the Offeror shall provide the Past Performance Cover Letter and Questionnaire contained in Section L, Attachment L-4, to each of the contract references.</p>
49.	L/1.17(b)	Instructions – Cost and Fee Proposal	L-26	<p>The final RFP states that the Offeror shall not propose its own WBS structure (including adding to or aggregating PWS elements) for this solicitation unless otherwise instructed within the solicitation. However, for the Surveillance & Maintenance of Facilities PWS there is a large quantity of scope included within the PWS that does not allow for the grouping of key components of the work scope. This in turn makes it difficult to effectively communicate to the Government the work that is being proposed and the cost associated with that work. The PWS includes surveillance and maintenance of facilities along with scope associated with the reduction of S&M costs through removal of unoccupied temporary facilities, etc.</p> <p>Would the DOE consider allowing for the development of lower level WBS elements within this PWS in order to provide a better reflection of the proposed scope and costs associated with Surveillance and Maintenance Facilities as well as to document actions that will be taken to reduce S&M costs and maximize productivity of personnel?</p>	<p>No change to final RFP.</p>
50.	L.17(g)	Collective Bargaining Agreements	L-27	<p>Paragraph states the existing Collective Bargaining Agreements for the incumbent Contractors are available upon request? Will these be included in the library, or do Offerors have to request in writing, and to whom should the request be made?</p>	<p>The Collective Bargaining Agreements are available upon request. See the “Requesting Controlled Unclassified Information” option on the website.”</p>
51.	L.17.(h)	Funding Profile	L-27	<p>Is the \$112M IDIQ value included in the funding profile?</p>	<p>No, the \$112M IDIQ value is not included in the funding profile.</p>

52.	L.17.i	Requirement for small business specialized capabilities “critical subcontractors” to provide fully supported cost proposal detail	L-29	As defined in L.11.e.1, some small business subcontractors are considered “critical” subcontractors because of their specialized capabilities. The requirement for submittal of a full-up cost volume by these small businesses creates an undue burden on the small business that may preclude their participation in this effort. Will DOE please exempt from the requirement to submit fully supported cost detail [as required in L.17(k) through (r)], those small business subcontractors whose portion of the work is less than \$125M over the life of the contract, and instead require Offerors to provide cost/price analysis for those subcontractors in Offeror’s cost/price proposal as required by FAR 15.404-3?	No, if the Offeror determine a subcontractor is “critical”, then a full cost proposal is required in accordance with the RFP.
53.	L.17(m)(iv)	Direct Labor	L-30	Please provide the DOE-provided direct labor rates in the Documents Library. The original file no longer contains data, and clicking on the file named “Paducah D&R Labor Rates” results in a 404 Page Not Found error.	The Documents Library web link has been corrected. Labor rates for CBA personnel are in the CBAs, available on request via the website; see the “Requesting Controlled Unclassified Information” option on the website.”
54.	Att. L-2	Resume Format	L-45	Given the senior level of the key personnel required for this proposal and the emphasis on their qualifications, experience, and demonstrated performance relevant to their proposed position, will the Government allow text to be 10 point Times New Roman font for resumes?	No change to Final RFP.
55.	Att. L-2	Resume Format	L-45	Under Experience, is the “name title and phone number of supervisor” only required for the Key person’s current position, and not all positions listed? Given the nature of government contract work, and the extensive experience of key personnel suited for this job, supervisory information may no longer be relevant even if it is available. The possibility exists that the former supervisors could be retired or working for competitors at present.	Consistent with the instruction provided in Attachment L-2, the information is required for all positions, starting with the current position and working backwards.
56.	Att. L-2	Resume Format	L-45	Item No. 2 asks for “Name of Offeror.” Item No. 5 asks for “Name of Company with whom Key Person will be Employed.” Since the Offeror is the Prime Contractor, and KP are required to be employed by Prime Contractor as stated in L.14(a) (3), M.3(a), and H.57 (B), can Offerors eliminate Item No. 5 due to redundancy with Item No. 2?	No change to Final RFP.

57.	Section L, Attachment L-3	Past Performance and Experience Information Form	L-47	Please specify the type and amount of “information” you want us to provide in Attachment L-3, Block 22, which states: “Provide information from the DOE Office of Environment, Health, Safety and Security (EHSS) (http://energy.gov/ehss/environment-health-safety-security) and the DOE Office of Enforcement (http://energy.gov/ea/services/enforcement) public websites for the Entity (identified in #4) on the referenced contract by Government Fiscal Year (GFY) for FY 2012-2016.	Section L, Attachment L-3, #22 will be revised as follows: “Safety statistics: provide Days Away, Restricted or Transferred (DART) and Total Recordable Case (TRC) rates and hours worked for the Entity (identified in #4) on the referenced contract by Government Fiscal Year (GFY) for FY 2012-2016.”
58.	Att. L-3	Past Performance	L-46, L-47	Is it acceptable to shorten descriptions in the form to correspond to the information required and remove parenthetical instructions? For example Reference Contract/Project Available in PPIRS Available in PPIRS Yes 7. Indicate if the Company (identified in #2) was a Prime Contractor, Teaming Partner, or Subcontractor for the Reference Contract/Project 7. Company Role Prime 9. Reference Contract/Project Start Date 9. Start Date 04/04/2004	No change to Final RFP.
59.	Section L, Attachment L-4	Past Performance Cover Letter and Questionnaire	L-49, L-50	The heading “A. Rating Scale and Definitions:” appears on page L-49, and the next heading which follows, on page L-50, is titled “C. Assessment Areas:” Please clarify whether a “B.” section is missing.	The headings in Section L, Attachment L-4, will be corrected.
60.	Section L, Attachment L-11	Work Performance Matrix	L-61	In the first sentence in the Instructions text box, the word “significant” (subcontractors) was changed to “critical” in the Final RFP. However, in both the third sentence and the last sentence of the Instructions, the word “significant” was not changed to “critical”. Please clarify whether these two instances should also be changed to “critical,” or please define “ <u>significant</u> subcontractors”.	Section L, Attachment L-11, will be revised to delete “significant” and replace with “critical”.
61.	M.3(a)	Key personnel	M-5	Please clarify the statement “DOE will evaluate whether the proposed Program Manager will be assigned full time to their respective position and employees the prime contractor for the duration stated in each letter of commitment”. Should this read, “DOE will evaluate whether the proposed Program Manager will be assigned full-time to their respective position and be employed by the prime contractor for the duration stated in the Program Manager’s letter of commitment”	Section M.3(a) will be revised to state, “DOE will evaluate whether the proposed Key Personnel will be assigned full-time to their respective position and be employed by the prime contractor for the duration stated in each letter of commitment”.

62.	M.4(a)	Offeror experience	M-7	The list of example type of work includes “waste disposal cell design”. Should this be “waste operations” as shown in the Section L requirement for this factor?	Section M.4(a) will be revised to state, “Scope – type of work (e.g., facility characterization and stabilization [deposit/hold-up removal, removal of all fire loading, isolating systems and facility from utilities], groundwater remediation, waste operations, utility operations and Surveillance and Maintenance (S&M);...”.
63.	M.5(a)	Offeror past performance	M-7	The list of example type of work includes “waste disposal cell design”. Should this be “waste operations” as shown in the Section L requirement for this factor?	Section M.5(a) will be revised to state, ““Scope – type of work (e.g., facility characterization and stabilization [deposit/hold-up removal, removal of all fire loading, isolating systems and facility from utilities], groundwater remediation, waste operations, utility operations and Surveillance and Maintenance (S&M);...”.
64.	H.39	Diversity Plan	H-66	Can the submittal of the diversity plan to DOE be delayed to 60-days after transition completion so that the diversity program incorporates the results of the hiring process?	No change to Final RFP.
65.	J-10	DOE Labor Rates	N/A	Will DOE be providing any labor rates other than those provided in Section J-10. Specifically, the rates referenced in the “DOE Provided Labor Rates” link on the project website.	The Documents Library web link has been corrected. Labor rates for CBA personnel are in the CBAs, available on request via the website; see the “Requesting Controlled Unclassified Information” option on the website.”
66.	J/Att. J-12	Services Provided by the Infrastructure Contractor to D&R Contractor	J-12-3	Item 9 – Under the D&R Interface requirement column it states “Provide end user devices and applications that contractors will operate on system.” Item 10 states the Infrastructure Contractor will “Provide end user devices and applications that contractors will operates on system.” Which contractor is responsible for providing, installing and maintaining desktop systems to support the D&R contractor?	As stated in Item 10, the Infrastructure Contractor will “Provide, install, and maintain computer and printer hardware and enterprise software.”
67.	J/Att. J-14	Draft Performance Evaluation Measurement Plan (PEMP)	J-14-19	The draft Performance Based Incentive (PBI) Evaluation criteria provide for a reduction in fee for every calendar day a completion date is missed with a maximum penalty of zero fee. Other current DOE contracts that include missed milestone penalties also allow for increased fee for early milestone completions. Will the Government consider allowing for an incentive for early delivery of PBIs?	The Government will consider including incentives when the Draft PEMP is finalized.

68.	Attachment L-6	Typos in Attachment L-6	L-53	<p>Please note 8 “typos” between the PWS number on the L-6 tabs versus the rest of the RFP.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1080 191 1868 451"> <thead> <tr> <th>Worksheet tab name in Form L-6</th> <th>Correct PWS Name</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>EM.PA.0040.A008.DR.01</td> <td>EM.PA.0040.A008.48.DR.01</td> </tr> <tr> <td>EM.PA.0020.A001-.03.DR.03.01</td> <td>EM.PA.0020.A001.03.DR.03.01</td> </tr> <tr> <td>EM.PA.0020.A001-.03.DR.03.02</td> <td>EM.PA.0020.A001.03.DR.03.02</td> </tr> <tr> <td>EM.PA.0020.A001-.03.DR.03.03</td> <td>EM.PA.0020.A001.03.DR.03.03</td> </tr> <tr> <td>EM.PA.0040.A008.DR.01.08</td> <td>EM.PA.0040.A008.48.DR.01.08</td> </tr> <tr> <td>EM.PA.0040.A008.DR.02.08</td> <td>EM.PA.0040.A008.48.DR.02.08</td> </tr> <tr> <td>EM.PA.0040.A008.DR.01.07</td> <td>EM.PA.0040.A008.48.DR.01.07</td> </tr> <tr> <td>EM.PA.0040.A008.DR.02.07</td> <td>EM.PA.0040.A008.48.DR.02.07</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Worksheet tab name in Form L-6	Correct PWS Name	EM.PA.0040.A008.DR.01	EM.PA.0040.A008.48.DR.01	EM.PA.0020.A001-.03.DR.03.01	EM.PA.0020.A001.03.DR.03.01	EM.PA.0020.A001-.03.DR.03.02	EM.PA.0020.A001.03.DR.03.02	EM.PA.0020.A001-.03.DR.03.03	EM.PA.0020.A001.03.DR.03.03	EM.PA.0040.A008.DR.01.08	EM.PA.0040.A008.48.DR.01.08	EM.PA.0040.A008.DR.02.08	EM.PA.0040.A008.48.DR.02.08	EM.PA.0040.A008.DR.01.07	EM.PA.0040.A008.48.DR.01.07	EM.PA.0040.A008.DR.02.07	EM.PA.0040.A008.48.DR.02.07	Attachment L-6 will be revised to correct the typos and to carry forward the DOE Provided Cost under the EM.PA.0040.A008.48.DR.06, R-114 Freon, worksheet to the CLINs 0105, 0205, 0305 worksheet and the Total Contract CLINS 0001-0306 worksheet.
Worksheet tab name in Form L-6	Correct PWS Name																						
EM.PA.0040.A008.DR.01	EM.PA.0040.A008.48.DR.01																						
EM.PA.0020.A001-.03.DR.03.01	EM.PA.0020.A001.03.DR.03.01																						
EM.PA.0020.A001-.03.DR.03.02	EM.PA.0020.A001.03.DR.03.02																						
EM.PA.0020.A001-.03.DR.03.03	EM.PA.0020.A001.03.DR.03.03																						
EM.PA.0040.A008.DR.01.08	EM.PA.0040.A008.48.DR.01.08																						
EM.PA.0040.A008.DR.02.08	EM.PA.0040.A008.48.DR.02.08																						
EM.PA.0040.A008.DR.01.07	EM.PA.0040.A008.48.DR.01.07																						
EM.PA.0040.A008.DR.02.07	EM.PA.0040.A008.48.DR.02.07																						
69.	Attachment L-8	Typo in Attachment L-8	L-55	<p>Row 29 of the L-8 worksheet should be revised as follows</p> <p><i>“EM.PA.0040.A001.07.DR.11 through EM.PA.0040.A001.07.DR.18, EM.PA.0040.A001.07.DR.20 through EM.PA.0040.A001.07.DR.21 Roll-up”</i> in accordance with Attachment L-6.</p>	Concur; Attachment L-8, Pricing Level Detail, will be revised.																		
70.	Section L, Attachment L-3	Past Performance and Experience Information Form	L-47	<p>The note at the bottom of the last page of Attachment L-3, Past Performance and Experience Information Form, states: “Note: The offeror may amend the format for Attachment L-3, Past Performance and Experience Reference Information Form, as long as the exact information, font and size, and page limitations are followed.”</p> <p>Q: Does the reference to “font” mean that we should use the same font and type size that appear in the PDF (10-point Times New Roman) because Attachment L-3 is set up as a <u>table</u>, or does it mean that we must follow the requirements for “text” provided in L.10 DOE-L-2001 PROPOSAL PREPARATION INSTRUCTIONS – GENERALALTERNATE II (OCT 2015), paragraph (f)(4), Print type, which states: “Text shall be <u>12 point or larger</u>, single-spaced, using Times New Roman font type. Headers and footers, spreadsheets, charts, tables, diagrams or design drawings, and graphs must be 10 point or larger using Times New Roman font type.”</p>	Since L-3 is considered a table, the Offeror shall use the requirement in Section L.10(f)(4), “ <i>Headers and footers, spreadsheets, charts, tables, diagrams or design drawings, and graphs must be 10 point or larger using Times New Roman font type.</i> ”																		