

Questions and Answers on the Final RTP

10. **Section L. Tables L-1 and L-2.** These tables contain contradictory information. Under “Page Format” on Table L-1, the text states that 11x17s will count as one page for the schedules under Criterion 1. The column on page limitations on Table L-2 states in bold face that the 50-page limit for Volume II excludes the resource loaded schedule. Is the schedule within the page count, but counted as 1 page for each 11x17, or is it excluded from the page count all together?

Answer: The schedules are **excluded** from the page count and shall not exceed 11”x17”. The RTP will be revised by an amendment.

11. **Section L. Table L-2.** This table states the page limits for the resumes. It implies that the resumes are outside the page count. Please clarify whether the resumes count as part of the 50-page limit.

Answer: Section L.17, Proposal Preparation Instructions – General Information, Table L-2, 2nd row, indicates the resumes **are excluded** from the 50 page limit.

12. **Oral Presentation/Interviews.** The instructions on page L-16 does not state what type of “oral presentation” will be necessary. Is this presentation to be a summary of our proposal, be only our responses to sample tasks, be personal interviews? Please expand on what DOE is expecting this presentation to include.

Answer: It is expected the Key Personnel will respond to a scenario(s). The Oral Presentation/Interviews instructions will be posted to the procurement website at a later date.

13. **Section L. Pages L-21 and L-22. Waste Quantities and Costs.** This section states in its first sentence that we are to “use the waste quantities and disposal path allocations identified in Section L, Attachment L-7.” That attachment does not include waste quantities. Please provide the quantities. Also, the next paragraph speaks to “secondary” waste. Please define what DOE means by secondary waste. For instance, would the D&D waste from the demolition of the few buildings be secondary or a part of the waste volumes for Attachment L-7.

Answer: Correct; Section L, Attachment L-7 does not include waste quantities and disposal path allocations. That reference will be removed from the Section L.20(j)(viii), Waste Quantities and Cost by an amendment. The waste quantities are provided in Section C, PWS.

Section C, PWS C.1.4.5.3, Disposal, includes a table of waste estimates, including Inactive Facilities (C.1.5.1). The quantities will be revised by an amendment.

Secondary wastes would be waste indirectly generated as a result of an action (e.g., decontamination water, laboratory sample returns, etc.)

14. **Page L-23. Fixed Price CLINS (only).** The last sentence states that we are “not required to use any of the cost assumptions identified for these work activities.” Please clarify that this sentence is not in error. It seems odd that DOE would provide assumptions and then suggest we not use them.

Answer: This instruction will be revised in an amendment to add “Only Attachment L-7 Cost Assumptions/Information items # 16 and #20 are required to be used in pricing the FFP CLINS.”

15. **Attachment J-2.** This attachment is a list of more than 200 deliverables, most of which are due within the first 90 days, the same 90 days for Task Implementation (hiring of staff, mobilization of key staff, novation of contracts, etc.). Meeting these deliverables will require the use of home office staff and yet, **Section H.150** says that such support must be provided without fee. Considering the large number of deliverables and that the timetable is prior to actual hiring of local staff, please reconsider Section H.150, at least for activities during the first 90 to 120 days.

Answer: The RTP will be revised by an amendment to delete the clause at H.150, Parent Organization Support.

16. **Section C.1.4.1. Surveillance and Maintenance.** Will a Computerized Maintenance Management System be provided by USEC during de-lease? If so, what type of system will be provided? MAXIMO? SAP?

Answer: These systems will not be provided by USEC to the Deactivation Contractor upon de-lease.

17. **Section C.1.2.2.3.5. Emergency Management and Fire Protection.** What are the current medical certifications for the firefighters at the site? What are the current firefighter requirements? What certifications does the Kentucky Department of Fire Programs require? How frequently are the recertifications?

Answer: The current certifications are state equivalent certifications and are driven by requirements between USEC and the NRC. The Contractor must provide the services that will be required for compliant site safety per DOE Orders and applicable laws and regulations. The Contractor should determine which Kentucky Department of Fire Programs certification requirements are applicable through review of the DOE Order requirements and applicable laws and regulations. Kentucky Department of Fire Programs would be available through that agency. Re-certifications would be driven by those requirements.

18. **Section C.1.2.2.3.5. Emergency Management and Fire Protection.** What is the average caseload for the clinic?

Answer: The current case load is not relevant to the RTP. The current caseload is based on an operating facility given the type of additional services USEC provides to their employees as non-work related medical care. The case load under the RTP should be based on the PWS activities, the type of annual physical requirements necessary to complete the PWS, the type of non-work related treatment services planned, if any, and other work activities necessary to ensure employees are fit for duty in accordance with job task requirements.

19. **Page L-34.** This page states that Attachments L-4, L-5, and L-6 will be provided as Excel workbooks. Currently they are only provided as pdf files. Please provide the workbooks.

Answer: The Excel files were provided to the POC List for the Contractors via email. Any commenter that stated he/she could not download the excel files from the website were sent the files directly via email.

20. **Page L-10, Top of the Page.** The discussion of CD-ROM and DVD requirements states that files are to be submitted only as Adobe Acrobat or Microsoft Office files. **On page L-19**, the final sentence in the paragraph beginning “Proposed Schedule” asks for a “working” resource loaded schedule as part of the submission. Please allow us to submit the working schedule as a Primavera file.

Answer: DOE will not accept the schedule as a Primavera file.

21. **Page C-56.** This page presents a table titled CERCLA Waste Estimates Per Fiscal Year. Please verify that all waste volumes in the table are in cubic yards. Units only appear in the total row, and the draft RTP indicated the inactive Facilities estimates were in cubic feet. Please also verify that the inactive facilities estimates are per fiscal year. The draft RTP indicated that these were totals and not for each fiscal year. Also, the second row of this table references C.1.5.2.2, which is not a section of the Final RTP. We assume that this reference should be C.1.5.1.1. Is our assumption correct?

Answer: The units in the table titled “CERCLA Waste Estimates Per Fiscal Year” are cubic feet.

The waste disposal quantities at Section C, PWS C.1.4.5.3, Disposal, Table for CERCLA Waste Estimates Per Fiscal Year will be revised in an amendment as follows:

“The CERCLA Waste Estimates table below identifies estimated quantities of material to be dispositioned each fiscal year during the Task Order performance period. The Decontamination and Demolition Inactive Facilities table below identifies the total estimated quantities of material to be dispositioned during execution of PWS Section C.1.5.1.”

CERCLA Waste Estimates (Cubic Feet Per Fiscal Year)

Waste Type	TSCA	Sanitary	MLLW	LLW
GDP Deactivation/ S&M	300	27,000	300	10,000

Decontamination and Demolition Inactive Facilities (Total Cubic Feet)

Waste Type	TSCA	Sanitary	MLLW	LLW
Inactive Facilities (C.1.5.1)	Included in MLLW	35,000	34,000	474,000

The reference to C.1.5.2.2 in the Table is incorrect. It will be revised to C.1.5.1 in an amendment. Also, the Table identified as C.1.5.1.1 will be revised to C.1.5.1. The waste estimated for Inactive Facilities are total, not by fiscal year

22. Intentionally left blank.

23. Intentionally left blank.

24. Section C.1.2.2.10. In the final RTP, DOE has added the requirement that Program Management Support be provided “on-site” (C.1.2.2.10). Is it DOE’s intent that each of the listed services be provided through full-time on-site dedicated resources, even though doing so would increase cost to the government? Is a lower cost alternative approach acceptable?

Answer: It is DOE’s intent, as stated in the PWS, that the Contractor shall provide on-site services as described in C.1.2.2.10. The level of effort assigned to these services is at the discretion of the Contractor in alignment with its approach.

25. Sections H.156 – H.160. DOE has added a number of clauses, H-156 through H-160, that appear to require stand-alone business and administration systems be established and approved by DOE simply for the performance of this task order. This change, and the addition of the requirement of on-site services addressed in our question on Section C.1.2.2.10, would be accomplished at great cost to the government, and appear to defeat the purpose of the awards under the IDIQ, which intended to allow the government to realize the cost efficiencies inherent in utilizing the existing business systems of the IDIQ awardees. Is it DOE’s intent to require what is essentially an M&O structure for the purpose of performing this task order?

Answer: DOE Policy Flash 2013-71 and Acquisition 2013-11, (Non M&O) Contractor Business Systems Clauses for Section H were issued August 6, 2013, and contain the requirements for inclusion of these clauses. The website is <http://energy.gov/management/office-management/operational-management/procurement-and-acquisition/policy-flashes>.

26. Section B.4(c). In the draft RTP, clause B.4(d) stated that a copy of the Award Fee Plan would be provided to the Contractor 30 days prior to the start of the award fee period, and that any changes after that date would be bilateral, indicating an intent to allow for discussion and negotiation of the Award Fee Plan prior to the start of the Award Fee period. The final RTP states at B.4 (c) that the Contracting Officer shall issue the Plan unilaterally, and may modify it unilaterally, up to the start of the award fee period, and Contractor consent to a change is only required after the start of the period. Is it DOE’s intent to issue the Award Fee Plan without input from the Contractor?

Answer: The Contractors were given an opportunity to review and comment on the Award Fee Plan as part of the Draft RTP. Comments received were taken into consideration in the development of the draft Award Fee Plan. The revised Award Fee Plan will be provided to the Contractors by an amendment to the RTP. The Award Fee Plan will be finalized post-award.

27. The Draft and Final RTP have the following key dates:

Milestone	Draft RTP	Final RTP
NTP	Dec. 31, 2013	Apr. 1, 2014
Full Task Order Responsibility	Apr. 1, 2014	Jul. 1, 2014
Release *	Jul. 1, 2104	Jul. 1, 2014

*- Per Attachment L-7, Cost Assumptions/Information, Assumption 1, page L-35.

Is the release date still July 1, 2014 for the Final RTP? If so, Attachment 2 of Section J, Summary of Task Order Deliverables will need to be modified. For example: Item 59 on page 5, has the due date as 90 days before facility release which would be a due date of April 1, 2014 (NTP).

Answer: These dates are provided for “proposal preparation purposes” only. The current assumptions for proposal purposes are that release will occur on July 1, 2014. DOE is reevaluating the due dates for those near term deliverables. Section J, Attachment 2, Summary of Task Order Deliverables may be modified to reflect new due dates. Any revisions will be issued via an amendment.

28. Section M.6. RTP clause M.6, **Cost and Fee and Price Evaluation**, states that the Most Probable Cost computed by the Government during evaluation will be used “in determining the best value to the government that effectively utilizes the anticipated funding profile for each year.” Please clarify if this constitutes direction to bid to the budget. If the intent is not to bid to the budget, what is the meaning of “effectively utilizes the anticipated funding profile” and how will it be evaluated?

Answer: The Contractors should develop proposals that accomplish the work described in the Task Order and provide costs consistent with its technical approach. The anticipated funding profile was provided for proposal preparation purposes only and merely shows an estimate of what level of funding the Department anticipates to be available for the Task Order by year. The funding profile is not to be construed as the Government’s estimate of the cost of the work.

29. Section L.19 states that, “For itself and each of its joint venture partners, and major and critical subcontractors proposed to perform work under this task order, the Contractor shall provide a complete listing of all DOE contracts/task orders that are currently ongoing or have expired since December 2010...” (emphasis added). Section L.17 Table L-2 does not exclude this list from the page count. Given team size, the requirement for *all* contracts, and the number of data items required, this list could consume several pages within the 50-page limit. This requirement has an unequal impact on the bidding field because the size of the teams in this competition will vary greatly—and a large team will have fewer pages available for technical and management approach than a small team or one with limited past performance. Based on this unintended and unequal impact, we respectfully request that you modify Table L-2 and make Criterion 3, Past Performance, a non-page-counted item.

Answer: Past performance information is excluded from the 50-page limit. Section L.17, Table L-2 will be revised in an amendment.

30. Reference: Section C.1.2 Project Management deliverables due during the Implementation Phase, or the first 90 days:
- a. C.1.2.2.3.1 – Submit ISMS Description – 90 days after NTP
 - b. C.1.2.2.3.5 – Submittal of Optimization Plan for Medical, Fire and Emergency Response Services – 90 days after NTP
 - c. C.1.2.2.4 – Submit all permit modification to DOE – 60 days after NTP
 - d. C.1.2.2.8 – Submittal of NMC&A Program Plan – 90 days after NTP
 - e. C.1.2.2.8 – Submittal of Security Optimization Plan – 90 days after NTP
 - f. C.1.2.2.8 - Submit automated security access control plans – 90 days after NTP

L.20(e) states, “for proposal purposes, the Contractor shall assume there will be no Project Support costs relating to Pre-Release and Facility Transfer during the Task Order Implementation Period.”

Will DOE clarify in which PWS the costs relating to these deliverables are to be estimated for the first three months?

Answer: The RTP, Section L.20(e) will be revised by an amendment to state, “The Contractor shall include all costs in the PWS where the work is performed (including deliverables during the first 90 days of the Task Order (e.g., costs for the ISMS Description shall be included in C.1.2.2.3.1, Utility Optimization Plan shall be included in C.1.3.2, etc.)). The RTP Section L.20(e) sentence which states, “for proposal purposes, the Contractor shall assume there will be no Project Support costs relating to Pre-Release and Facility Transfer during the Task Order Implementation Period” will be deleted.

31. Reference: Section C.1.3, Facility Modification and Infrastructure Optimization: Deliverables that are due during the Implementation Phase, or the first 90 days include:
- a. C.1.3.2 – Submittal of Utility Optimization Plan – 30 days after NTP
 - b. C.1.3.2.1 – Waste Treatment and Distribution Facility Cost/Benefit and Viability Analysis and Implementation Plan – 60 days after NTP
 - c. C.1.3.2.2 – Sewage System/Facility Cost/Benefit Alternatives Analysis and Implementation Plan – 60 days after NTP
 - d. C.1.3.2.3 – Submit Power Distribution System Optimization Analysis and Implementation Plan – 30 days after NTP
 - e. C.1.3.2.4 – Steam, Air, Nitrogen and Chilled Water Optimization Plan – 90 days after NTP
 - f. C.1.3.2.5 – Privatization Cost/Benefit and Viability Analysis – 60 days after NTP
 - g. C.1.4.2 – Submit Power Projection and Updates – 90 days after NTP

Will DOE clarify in which PWS the costs relating to these deliverables are to be estimated?

Answer: The field work associated with Period 3, Facility Deactivation and Infrastructure Optimization, commences upon facility release. Section C, PWS, Project Task Order Purpose and Scope, will be revised by an amendment to clarify that the deliverables associated with Period 3 may commence during Period 2. Additionally, refer to the response for Question #30.

32. Reference: Attachment L-7. All facilities listed in Table L-7 and services will be transferred from USEC to DOE on July 1, 2014. Section L.20(e) states: "For proposal preparation purposes, Contractors shall assume an award date of April 1, 2014 with a 90 – day Task Order Implementation Period; therefore, with the exception of PWS C.1.2.1 (Pre-Release Planning and Facility Transfer), Contractors shall assume full responsibility for the performance of the Task Order requirements on July 1, 2014."

In Attachment J-2, Deliverables, several deliverables are required to be submitted 60 and 90 days prior to facility release:

- Deliverables 7, 28, and 74 are required to be submitted 30 days prior to facility release
- Deliverables 32-34, 46, and 88 are required to be submitted 60 days prior to facility release
- Deliverable 79 is required to be submitted 90 days prior to facility release (on the day of award)

Will DOE adjust the proposal deliverable schedules or set the release date so that Contractors can achieve the deliverable schedule?

Answer: Table C.1.3.2.3 and J-2 are being adjusted for Deliverable 79 to reflect a due date 90 days after NTP. As a result, the Field Start for C-531 milestone in Table C.1.3.2.3 is also being adjusted to be due 30 days after release. Also, see response to #27.

33. Reference: C.1.2.1.1 Facility Walkdowns. Will DOE provide a date when USEC will allow the facility walkdowns to begin? This date is needed to schedule the required resources so the walkdowns and documentation can be completed prior to de-lease.

Answer: The Contractor shall assume that they have access to USEC facilities upon Notice to Proceed; however the Contractor needs to assume that field activities cannot begin until all Task Order plans required for field work are approved. At a minimum, these are listed in Section J, Attachment J-2, Summary of Task Order Deliverables # 1, 2, 3, 4, and 26. The Contractor shall work with DOE to determine if any other plans are necessary to complete the facility walkdown scope only.

34. Reference: C.1.2.2.3.1 Integrated Safety Management System, Table C.1.2.2.3.1 Integrated Safety Management Program Milestones/Schedule, item Management Systems Program and Plans (H&S, Environmental Safety, Emergency, etc.) due 90 days after NTP. Is the Site Emergency Plan included within the Management Systems Program and Plans (H&S, Environmental Safety, Emergency, etc.)?

Answer: The Paducah Site Emergency Management Program Plan is identified in Section J, Attachment J-2, Summary of Task Order Deliverables, #39, and PWS Section C.1.2.2.3.5.

35. Reference: Section C.1.2.2.3.1 Integrated Safety Management System and Table C.1.2.2.3.1 Integrated Safety Management Program Milestones/Schedule describe both an ISM Program Plan and ISM program description. The section describes the plan as being required by the DEAR clause 970.5223-1 and has two separate submissions: the plan at 30 days prior to facility de-lease and the description at 90 days after NTP. Generally, DOE facilities or projects require an ISM program description document as a deliverable, and that document is the basis for requesting an ISM Phase I and II verification from the DOE. The DOE Phase I verification review is the mechanism to obtain an approved ISM program description document.

Question a: Will DOE clarify the purpose of the ISM program plan (first deliverable at 30 days)? Is the initial ISM program plan a draft ISM program description document and does the DOE plan to do a Phase I verification within the first 30 days to meet the requirement that the Program is approved prior to de-lease?

Question b: Can you clarify if there is a requirement for two plans (DEAR clause or DOE Orders 450.2 or 430.6 do not require two plans)?

Question c: Will DOE define the difference between a program plan and an ISM description?

Answer: It is agreed that "generally, DOE facilities or projects require an ISM program description document as a deliverable. The DEAR clause 970.5223-1 refers to a "documented Safety Management System (System)" that describes how the contractor will implement the core functions of ISMS. The System will also describe how the contractor will "establish, documents, and implement safety performance objectives..." and, in addition, the contractor is required to submit the System to the CO for review and approval. Sites often refer to the contractor ISMS document as the "ISMS Description" and this document is revised as necessary or annually to include updates to the performance objectives, measures, and objectives (POMC's).

In response to Question a: Section C.1.2.2.3.1 is revised to clarify the requirement for an ISM program and an ISMS Description. Verification reviews are typically done within the first year of a new contract(or); not every year. An annual ISMS effectiveness review is typically required of the

contractor in support of the Annual Declaration, which is a DOE document/deliverable. The text is also revised to clarify the deliverables and reviews.

In response to Question b: The text and table is revised to clarify the requirements related to DOE O 436.1.

In response to Question c: The reference and requirement for an ISMS Program Plan are removed.

The text will be revised as follows:

C.1.2.2.3.1 Integrated Safety Management System

The Contractor shall develop and implement an ISMS program that complies with the Section I Clause DEAR 970.5223-1, Integration of Environment, Safety, and Health into Work Planning and Execution. The Contractor's ISMS program shall ensure all work is performed safely and in a compliant manner that assures the workers, public, and environment are protected from adverse consequences. The Contractor shall also establish performance measures, objectives, and commitments (POMC's) as required by DEAR 970.5223-1. POMC's shall be submitted annually for DOE approval.

The ISMS program shall include a lessons learned program that is compliant with DOE Orders. The lessons learned program shall be structured to identify and apply available lessons in safety, quality and performance to this project as well as to capture, document, and provide lessons learned from this project for future application by others.

The Contractor shall prepare an ISMS Description, including POMC's to implement the Contractor's ISMS program within 90 days after NTP. The ISMS Description shall identify how the Contractor will maintain compliant and safe operations by integrating safety and health into all activities including environmental compliance. The initial ISMS Description must be approved by DOE prior to facility release.

The ISMS program shall integrate DOE O 436.1, Departmental Sustainability. In accordance with DOE O 436.1, the Contractor shall develop and implement Site Sustainability Plans (SSP) and an Environmental Management System (EMS).

To continuously improve the ISMS, the Contractor shall perform annual ISMS effectiveness reviews and submit a report documenting the status of the ISMS program to DOE along with any changes needed to the ISMS Description. In addition, the ISMS program shall be subject to a verification review by a DOE chartered ISMS verification team within 180 days of the NTP. Existing safety programs required to support Task Order activities after facility transfer should be evaluated (such as Lock-out/Tag-out Program, hoisting and rigging program, etc.).

Milestone	Date
Submit ISMS Description	90 Days after NTP
Submit SSP and EMS	90 days after NTP
ISMS Verification Review	180 days after NTP

ISMS Annual Effectiveness Review and Report	Annually
---	----------

36. Reference: C.1.2.2.8.3 Protective Force Services. Insufficient RTP and reference information has been provided to detail the estimate for the protective force. Will DOE please provide detailed information about the current USEC protective force (i.e., collective bargaining agreement, organization charts, shift schedules, number of posts, number of SPOs, etc.)?

Answer: A map is provided on the reference website at http://www.latakentucky.com/pubdocs/maps/Land_Ownership_r5.pdf that identifies the security fence and Property Protection Areas. Post 57 is shown on that map which requires 24-hour operation to secure access into the buffer area that surrounds the plant facility. There is also a Post 43A that is identified on the map that is only operated as needed to provide access from the plant to the north of the facility where the operating landfill is located. Two posts are operated that allow access into the limited area (i.e. security fence). One of these requires operation on a 24-hour basis. Currently there are 4 shifts of approximately 13 SPOs each and a 23 SPO relief shift. However, these SPOs support the current USEC requirements for security. The Contractor should evaluate the requirements of the Task Order and provide a security approach that meets the requirements of the Task Order. Additionally, the collective bargaining agreement is between USEC and the Security, Police, and Fire Professionals of America (SPFPA) Union. The Contractor will be required to negotiate its own agreement with the union.

37. Intentionally left blank.

38. Reference: C.1.4.5.3 Disposal, table "CERCLA Waste Estimates Per Fiscal Year," item Inactive Facilities (C.1.5.2.2(sic)) on page C-56.

Question a: Is the unit of measurement (cubic yards) correct for this item? Based on the list and size of inactive facilities in Table 1.5.1.1 on page C-60, it appears it should be in cubic feet (shown as cubic feet in Draft RTP).

Question b: Is the quantity shown projected for each fiscal year or is it the total for the contract period?

Question c: Will DOE provide a breakdown of the type of MLLW?

Answer: a. See response to #21.

b. The text in Section C.1.4.5.3 will be revised in an amendment to delete "each fiscal year" in the first paragraph to clarify that the quantity shown is for the total Task Order period.

c. DOE does not have detailed waste forecasts available from the GDP Deactivation/S&M and the Inactive Facilities have not been fully characterized for disposal since that is part of the scope necessary to D&D those facilities. Therefore, the Contractor shall make assumptions for the further detailed waste forecasts based on available reference information for each inactive facility (e.g. process descriptions, photos, building volumes, etc.) as the basis for their estimate. Based on the knowledge of the GDP processes and types of facility S&M, the Contractor shall also form a basis of estimate for the GDP Deactivation S&M detailed waste forecasts.

39. Is milestone D1 Remedial Action Completion Report for C-400 Phase II for the Phase IIA treatment system? If not, please clarify what treatment system should be assumed for cost estimating purposes because the Phase IIB treatment has not been selected and the FFA SMP enforceable timetables and deadlines in the FY2013 SMP for the Phase IIB ROD, RDWP, RDR, and RAWP are listed as TBD.

Answer: The milestone for the D1 RACR is for all of Phase II, inclusive of the Phase IIA treatment system currently operating and the planned Phase IIB treatment system. The Contractor shall assume that a Steam Enhanced Extraction (SEE) system will be implemented for Phase IIB. DOE is currently evaluating whether a Treatability Study will be performed for SEE and if successful, the CERCLA documentation will be generated to move that treatment forward for the selected remedial decision. The Contractor shall assume that the Treatability Study is complete and that their work shall begin at the point of developing the CERCLA documentation (e.g. Proposed Plan (if necessary), ROD Amendment, and follow-on CERCLA documents) upon transition from the Remediation Contractor. The Contractor shall assume an accelerated schedule in order to complete the field work and D1 RACR within the Task Order period of performance.

40. Reference C.1.7.4.2 Balance of BGOU: The FY2013 FFA SMP shows an enforceable timetable and deadline for the SWMU 4 D1 Remedial Investigation Addendum Report of 1/2/15. This date is prior to expiration of the Remediation Services Contract and would appear to be the responsibility of LATA Kentucky.

Is this activity correctly shown as part of this Task Order?

Answer: Based on the current schedule, the D1 RI Addendum Report will be completed prior to transition of the Remediation Contract. The Deactivation Contractor shall begin work at the Feasibility Study. Section C, PWS C.1.7.4.2 milestone table will be revised by an amendment to delete the deliverable D1 RI Addendum for SWMU 4. Also Section J, Attachment J-2, deliverable #98 will be deleted.

41. Reference C.1.7.4.2 Balance of BGOU requires completion of the selected remedial action, including excavation and disposal of excavated material, yet the current regulatory documents to allow the work to proceed are not projected to be complete in time to complete the remediation work in the contract period.

Will DOE please clarify the apparent inconsistency?

Answer: Section C, PWS C.1.7.4.2 will be revised by an amendment to delete the field work (excavation) scope. The Contractor is to price and schedule those post-ROD documents that can be completed within the Task Order period of performance. The remaining BGOU scope is discussed in this section so that if priorities change, work can be performed. All CERCLA documents that are required by the Site Management Plan (SMP) during the period of performance shall be completed as a milestone in the SMP.

42. Intentionally left blank.

43. Reference: Section H.150 states "...allocations of parent organization expenses are unallowable for the Contractor, major / critical subcontractors, and/or teaming partners, unless authorized by the Contracting Officer in accordance with this clause."

L.20(j)(ix) Indirect Rates states, "The Contractor shall provide a contractually binding statement, as part of the Offer in Volume I, stating the proposed labor overhead and G&A rates (including any and all joint venture partners and Corporate Home Office Allocations) for each FY are ceiling rates and will extend for the life of this Task Order (even if the Contractor does not have the two identified indirect rates). The Contractor shall provide a detailed explanation of the proposed corporate organizational structure and whether corporate home office allocation is or is not applicable."

Section H clause H.150 seems to conflict with L.20 and our IDIQ master contract. Can DOE provide a resolution of this apparent conflict?

Answer: See response to #15. The clause at H.150, Parent Organization Support is being deleted.

44. Intentionally left blank.

45. Reference: L.19, Proposal Preparation Instructions, Paragraph (b)(Criterion 2, 2nd paragraph)— The second line in the paragraph states, "The contractor shall describe the rationale for the designation of the proposed Key Personnel position(s), other than the Program Manager, relative to the proposed organizational structure, including roles, responsibilities, authorities, lines of communication, and interfaces with DOE."

L.19, Proposal Preparation Instructions, Paragraph (b)(Criterion 2, 6th paragraph)— The second line in the paragraph states, "The rationale shall describe the suitability of the proposed Key Personnel position(s) relative to the proposed organizational structure, including roles, responsibilities, authorities, lines of communication, and interfaces with DOE and other stakeholders."

Should Contractors provide the data requested in the first reference in the resumes or only in the organization discussion (second reference)? If required in the resumes, where in the resumes should this information be placed?

Answer: The information shall be provided in the Key Personnel and Organization discussion of the Contractor's approach.

46. Reference: L.19(b) Criterion 2, Oral Presentations/Interviews—DOE states that key personnel will participate in an oral presentation that may include interviews.

Will DOE provide additional information for the oral presentation, for example an oral presentation day schedule showing activities and time allocations?

Answer: Yes. The details of the logistics and the instructions for the Oral Presentations will be posted to the website at a later date. See also the response to Question #12.

47. Reference: L.19 (b) Criterion 3 Recent and Relevant Past Performance.
Will DOE exclude Criterion 3 responses from the page count? Companies with a large number of DOE contracts are placed at a disadvantage with the required listing counted against the 50 page limit.

Answer: See response to Question #29.

48. Reference: L.20(b), final sentence indicates a cost worksheet and Basis of Estimate (BOE) is required only for those PWS levels specified in the Attachment L-6, PWS to Cost Worksheet Crosswalk. Will DOE allow Contractors to exclude BOEs at the parent PWS level that just summarize lower-level data?

Answer: Yes. The Contractors need not provide BOE's at PWS levels that just summarize lower level data.

49. Reference: Section L.20 (j, viii) Waste Quantities and Cost of the RTP states, "For proposal preparation purposes, the Contractor shall use the waste quantities and waste disposal path allocations identified in Section L, Attachment L-7, Cost Assumptions/Information."

Will DOE provide the waste quantities and waste disposal paths for Attachment L-7?

Answer: See response to Question #13.

50. Intentionally left blank.

51. Reference: Attachment L-7, Cost Assumptions/Information, item 18. The Contractor shall assume the following estimated site utility current usage.

Question a: Will DOE clarify the current site utility usage data so that contractors can provide more accurate estimates? Specifically, which facilities will require steam heat and how much does each require?

Question b: The sanitary water usage and sewer treatment numbers will change with the shutdown of the GDP at the time of de-lease. Will DOE provide estimates of expected residual demand?

Answer: a. The current site utility usages are provided in Section L, Attachment L-7. The facilities that will require steam heat along with the usage will depend on the Contractor's technical approach.

b. The residual demand of sanitary water and sewer treatment will depend on the Contractor's technical approach.

52. Intentionally left blank.

53. In Attachment L-4 Cost Worksheets, Tab "C.1." At the bottom of this tab is the following note: "(This worksheet will not include roll-up of PWS C.1.5.1. See Attachment L-11 - subCLIN 0005A Pricing Worksheet)". This attachment is neither in the Section L instructions nor in the attachments provided separately. Please provide the attachment.

Answer: The notation at the bottom of Attachment L-4 Cost Worksheets, Tab C.1 that states, "This worksheet will not include roll-up of PWS C.1.5.1. See Attachment L-11 - subCLIN 0005A Pricing Worksheet" is an error. In addition, the Attachment L-4 Cost Worksheet, Tab C.1.5.2 should be designated as C.1.5.1. The corresponding title at the top of Tab C.1.5.2 is correct reading C.1.5.1 Decontamination and Deactivation. This revised worksheet has been uploaded to the procurement website.

54. Attachment J-5, Section 1, No. 5 – Fleet Management Services and Attachment J-13: Post-GDP Shutdown Environmental Services Accountable Property List. Does DOE intend to transfer property to the Infrastructure Contractor that is consistent with the Infrastructure Contractor's current scope of work, rather than the Deactivation Contractor taking over this scope? (examples: vehicles, heavy equipment)

Answer: It is the intent of DOE to transfer property to the Deactivation Contractor. Personal property is to be managed, including transfer to the Infrastructure Contractor, as described in Section C.1.2.2.11, "Real and Personal Property Management."

55. Attachment J-5, Section 1, No. 15 – Utilities and Attachment J-5, Section 2, Numbers 6, 7, 8, and 9. Please clarify the interface between the Infrastructure Contractor's management of "utilities" and the Deactivation Contractor's management of the named utilities.

Answer: The interface between the Infrastructure Contractor's management of utilities and the Deactivation Contractor's management of utilities is described in Section C.1.2.3, "Post-GDP Shutdown Environmental Remediation Transition."