

No.	Final RFQ Section	Page	Industry Question/Comment	Government Response
1	PWS C.6.1, Paragraph 1	C-32	Has DOE conducted asbestos containing materials (ACM) and universal waste surveys on all buildings/structures scheduled for demo in the PWS? If so, does DOE have locations and quantities per building/structure of ACM and universal waste materials?	DOE has not conducted asbestos containing materials (ACM) and universal waste surveys on all buildings/structures scheduled for demo in the PWS. All existing information has been made available to the Offerors via the documents library.
2	PWS (Appendix 11)	Page 5	Is there a weight restriction for onsite roads at ETEC and/or SSFL? If so, what is the restriction – size and weight?	There is no weight restriction for onsite roads at ETEC/SSFL.
3	J (Attachment J-D), Table		Are contractors to assume the equipment and assets listed in DOE's Personal Property at SSFL Table are to be disposition under this contract? If so, is the Contractor required to follow DOE protocol for the disposition of the equipment and assets as GFE?	Equipment and assets shall be dispositioned as appropriate (e.g., when declared excess by the Contractor). DOE protocols must be followed when dispositioning equipment and assets.
4	PWS C.6.1, Paragraph 5	C-33	Are there any existing utilities that need to be protected within the D&D footprints? If so, where or at what facility?	Yes, electrical utilities are at RMHF complex, Building 4038 and 4057.
5	PWS.C.3.2, Paragraph 4	C-12	If existing road(s) are damaged due to heavy equipment traffic / activities beyond what is consider minor damage, will the Contractor be responsible to repair and/or replace the road(s) to DOE and/or Boeing specifications?	The scope of this PWS does not include road repair beyond minor pothole/patch repairs, tarring of cracks and weed removal within the RMHF footprint (Section C3.2.4 on C-12).
6	PWS C.6.4, Paragraph 2	C-38	Is there an onsite backfill source available to Contractors?	No, with the exception of excavated soil per Section C.6.4.2 of the PWS.
7	PWS C.6.4, Paragraph 2	C-38	Can clean, crushed concrete be used as backfill?	Currently there is no agreement with DTSC that allows the use of clean, crushed concrete as backfill.
8	PWS C.6.3, Paragraph 11	C-37	Has DOE conducted a metals recycling survey to determine the quantity of material available from non-radiological facilities for recycling?	A metals recycling survey has not been conducted
9	General		Can we inspect the site again before the proposal closing date?	DOE has sponsored two site tours. There will be no additional site tours prior to the proposal closing date.
10	General		Would DOE consider a 2-week extension?	No, DOE will not grant an extension request to the proposal due date.
11	PWS (Appendix 11, Paragraph 5.0), 2&3	Pages 2&3	This paragraph only mentions access to the SSFL site via Woolsey Canyon Road from 6:00 AM to 4:00 PM. Based on the road construction mentioned during the site visit, will DOE and/or Boeing place additional restrictions on truck traffic (number of trucks and/or operating hours) accessing the SSFL site via Woolsey Canyon Road?	Do not assume any additional restrictions for this PWS.
12	J (Attachment J-B), 20	Page 20	Will the Contractor's Basis of Estimate (BOE) statements supporting the PWS pricing template (RTP, Attachment L-7), provided within the proposal, be incorporated into the final contract award for the ETEC RTP?	The BOE information itself will not be incorporated in the final contract award as it is not required until 5 days after the award, however it may be incorporated later by the Administrative Contracting Officer via modification.

No.	Final RFQ Section	Page	Industry Question/Comment	Government Response
13	L – Criterion 3(a)	L-14	"Submit three (3) contracts/projects ... for the Contractor, each joint venture partner, and each LLC member." Is DOE looking for as many as nine (9) contracts/projects or a total of three (3)?	The Offeror shall provide three (3) Past Performance Reference Information (PPRI) Form for the contractor listed on the basic IDIQ and EACH joint venture and/or LLC member. The total number of PPRI forms would depend on how many members are in the teaming arrangement. As an example, Company A, Company B, and Company C formed a company for the IDIQ called Company ABC. Company ABC would need to provide 3 PPRI forms for Company ABC, 3 PPRI's for Company A, 3 for Company B, and 3 for Company C, for a total of 12 PPRI's. If Company ABC does not have any past performance, than the 3 PPRI's for each joint venture and/or LLC member is sufficient.
14	Section C, PWS, Subsection C.3.2	Pages C-11 & C-12	Is the contractor responsible for brush control around the perimeter areas of the site?	The Offeror is not responsible for brush control.
15			The site tour documents state that areas have been released for unrestricted use by DOE. However the description for Building 4133 and 4019 states "...has been declared suitable for release for unrestricted use." Is there further testing that must be done by the contractor before these facilities are considered for unrestricted released?	No further testing is required.
16			Can any of the facilities in RMHF be considered for unrestricted release?	Not at the present time.
17			Can the 4024 above grade structure be considered for unrestricted release?	Not at the present time.
18			Are there any historical records (from other onsite projects) of the cost to pull the Grading/Excavation permits through Ventura County?	Historical records indicate that permits previously obtained by Boeing have cost approximately \$300 per building.
19			Can protected plants be moved if they are found to be in the way of operations? What is the procedure for this?	Please refer to the documents library on the acquisition website. All existing information regarding biological protocols has been made available to the Offerors in the PWS and documents library.

No.	Final RFQ Section	Page	Industry Question/Comment	Government Response
20	Section C, PWS, Subsection C.6.2.6	C-34	<p>C.6.2.6 This states: The Contractor shall perform the following additional D&D activities for the Sodium Pump Test Facility (includes buildings 4462 and 4463): a. Remove the exterior cables, instrumentation, auxiliary gas and fluid lines, component insulation, and heater elements that cover or attach to the sodium loop subsystems; b. Selectively dismantle auxiliary systems components to provide clearance and a working area; c. Remove sodium pipe and components in sections sized so that they can be safely maneuvered; and, d. Remove all sodium and sodium compounds, clean all sodium containing components and recycle metal scrap and sodium hydroxide to the extent practical. There seems to be insufficient data provided to identify these systems and the level of effort to perform this scope. Is it to be assumed that sodium and sodium compounds still exist in this facility? Is there additional data to identify these systems and their current status?</p>	<p>It is assumed that sodium and sodium compounds still exist in this facility. All existing information has been made available to the Offerors in the PWS and documents library.</p>
21	Section H, Clause H.104	H-11	<p>Clause H.104 (a) requires Workers Compensation and Employers Liability insurance. The base requirement in sub-paragraph (a) contains the industry standard language, while sub-articles (1) – (4) specify additional non-standard requirements for these types of coverage. As this is a FFP contract and some of the requirements of these sub-article (1)-(4) may no longer be available in the market place [i.e. sub-article (2) policies are no longer offered], would DOE consider eliminating sub-paragraphs (1) – (4)?</p>	<p>Sub-paragraph (2) will be removed via amendment, however paragraphs (1), (3), and (4) are still required.</p>
22	Section H, Clause H.104	H-11	<p>Clause H.104 (a) requires Pollution Liability insurance in the amount of \$10M. Would DOE consider reducing this to \$5M to ensure that small businesses can meet this threshold within their current policy limits? Also, as the deductible greatly affects the cost of such policies, and since DOE is obtaining financial information on each bidder in Volume I, would DOE consider eliminating the \$250k maximum deductible on Pollution liability coverage? Lastly, can policies be written on a “claims made” form, as long as the policy remains in effect for at least 2 years after completion of the contract?</p>	<p>The amounts of \$10M for Pollution Liability Insurance and the \$250K maximum deductible on Pollution Liability Coverage are a requirement by the site owner Boeing and will not be reduced.</p>
23	General		<p>As a potential cost saving measure, will the DOE allow contractors to crush “non-impacted” concrete slabs and foundations for potential re-use onsite as backfill material?</p>	<p>No; see PWS Section C.6.3.4.</p>
24	C, Site Access Requirements	NA	<p>Besides the Boeing Access Agreement and obtaining access through NASA Area II, are any other access agreements required? e.g., BBI, Runkle Canyon, Southern California Edison easements, other easements?</p>	<p>No additional access agreements are required</p>

No.	Final RFQ Section	Page	Industry Question/Comment	Government Response
25	C.2.2	C-10 and 11	Item 7 - Additional information is needed to accurately understand the integration of site groundwater reporting from other ETEC entities (e.g., Boeing and NASA). For example, receipt schedule, data format, review terms, etc.	As the PWS explains, the format for groundwater reporting is described in the Water Quality Sampling and Analysis Plan, which can be found in the document library.
26	C.6, D&D Work	C-28 through 33	Shall the HWMF demolition be performed in accordance with the HWMF Closure Plan? Does Tank T-1 require decontamination in accordance with the Closure Plan?	Yes, the HWMF demolition and the decontamination of Tank T-1 shall be performed in accordance with the HWMF Closure Plan
27	Section C.6, D&D Work	C-28 through 33	Are their local active fire hydrants available for use as a water source during demolition?	Yes; there is a water district fire hydrant at the front gate that can be used with a temporary meter to obtain construction water, and two in the southern buffer zone.
28	C-6, D&D Work	C-28 through 33	Given that salvage of materials from SPTF is a possibility, what are the governing screening/clearance criteria? DHS, DTSC, DOE or all three?	DOE and DTSC criteria will govern screening and clearance of salvaged materials.
29	C.6, D&D Work, and Appendix 3	C-29	The SPTF scope is to demo to slab per Appendix 3. The scope on page C-29 refers to removal of all underground utilities within a facility footprint. Are the SPTF facility underground utilities to be removed?	The SPTF facility underground utilities are not to be removed.
30	C-6, D&D Work, and Appendix 3	C-32, (C.6.1.3.I)	With respect to the Sodium Pump Test Facility, and buildings 4462 and 4463: 1. Will DOE confirm that the only former liquid metals that we are dealing with is sodium and that there was no lithium or sodium-potassium compounds? If lithium and or sodium-potassium compounds were present in the Sodium Pump Test Facility, will DOE provide answers to the following questions not just for sodium, but also for lithium and or sodium-potassium compounds? 2. Will DOE provide estimated quantities of former liquid metal(s) that may be present in system piping and tubing? 3. For sodium contaminated systems, will DOE provide: a. Associated piping lengths and diameters? b. Associated tubing lengths and diameters? c. Associated valve types and sizes? d. Associated tank types and volumes, with any suspected or known sodium heels?	All existing information on the Sodium Pump Test Facility, buildings 4462 and 4463 has been made available to the Offerors via the PWS and documents library.
31	C.3.2.2.	C-11	What are the Life Safety systems required for unoccupied facilities prior to demolition?	The unoccupied facilities are currently in a safe, stable condition; no additional life safety systems are required.
32	C-6, D&D Work, and Appendix 3	C-32, (C.6.1.3.I)	Are there a hazardous materials assessments (e.g., asbestos and PCBs) in place for ETEC and its facilities? Will the Client recognize these assessments or will additional/new assessments be required that will include sampling?	All existing information has been made available to the Offerors in the PWS and documents library. Section C.6.1.3.I addresses requirements for survey of asbestos, universal waste and PCBs.
33	C.6.4	C-38	What schedule duration should we assume for the EPA to perform the post-cleanup confirmatory radiological assessments per the consent order, prior to the contractor performing backfill and site grading?	EPA's confirmation is outside of the scope of this PWS. Confirmation activities will occur after remediation not after D&D and are therefore outside of the scope of this PWS.
34	C	NA	Is there any active cover gas or inerting system in-place in the Sodium Pump Test Facility? If yes, please describe.	All existing information regarding the SPTF has been made available to offerors via the PWS and documents library.

No.	Final RFQ Section	Page	Industry Question/Comment	Government Response
35	C, Appendix 3	App. 3, 1	In Appendix 3 for D&D CLIN 0008, 4462, it states that there are two above ground storage tanks (approx. 100,000 gals. each) and that both ASTs are drained and cut open. Is there any record or documentation that addresses the lack of or the potential existence of a heel of sodium (former liquid metal) at the bottom of the tanks or in system low points/drains servicing the tanks?	All existing information has been made available to offerors via the PWS and documents library.
36	C, Appendix 3	App. 3, 1	In Appendix 3 of for D&D CLIN 0008, 4462, under the table column titled "D&D Scope Considerations"; two comments are found concerning foundations. The first states: "included removal of entire foundation." The second states: "Demo to slab (Boeing owns slab) and all penetrations plugged." For clarification, for the second foundation statement, the contractor is to leave the slab in place and plug any existing penetrations.	For Building 4462, under "D&D Scope Considerations", Appendix C-3 reads "Demo to slab (Boeing owns slab) and all penetrations plugged". The Building 4462 slab will remain following D&D.
37	H, GFS/I	H-18 and 19	Are low-flow and flute controllers owned by DOE and considered GFE.	The low-flow and flute controllers are DOE's and are considered GFE.
38	C	C-12	In C-4.3, it states that the contractor shall ensure proper mechanisms are in place to identify and locate all placarded shipments of waste and other certain shipments within two hours. What are "certain other shipments"?	"Certain other shipments" include shipments en route to a radioactive or hazardous waste disposal site.
39	Interface Agreements	App. 12	Are there formal interface agreements with each of the listed entities? Are they available for review prior to Award?	No; a formal Access Agreement exists only with The Boeing Company.
40	Section L	L-14	Please define "newly formed entity" as contained in Section L, Page L-14, Criterion 3 - Recent and Relevant Past Performance, paragraph (b).	Any reference to this phrase will be removed from the solicitation via amendment. The only companies that can provide proposals for this solicitation are those companies that are currently listed as awardees under the basic IDIQ.
41	Section L	L-14	We are an entity formed in 2010 specifically for the DOE IDIQ contract. We have no employees, no contracts, and have not proposed on any opportunities. Are we considered a "newly formed entity" as contained in Section L, Page L-14, Criterion 3 - Recent and Relevant Past Performance, paragraph (b)?	Please refer to the Government's Response for Questions #13 and #40.
42	Table L-2	L-8	In order to provide the amount of detail necessary to adequately discuss our approach and understanding of the PWS activities, we ask that the page limitation be increased to 40 pages.	DOE will not increase the page limitation.
43	Section L.9 (b)	L-11	RTP allows for an exception to page count for Key Personnel Resumes. We ask for an additional one page count exception to introduce our Key Personnel and explain our rationale for their selection.	DOE will not make additional page count exceptions.
44	Section L.9 (b)	L-11	RTP allows for an exception to page count for the Task Order Schedule. We ask for an additional one page count exception for an introductory page to Task Order Schedule section.	DOE will not make additional page count exceptions.
45	Drawings	NA	Buildings 4022 & 4024 – Waterproofing shown under the facilities, is this materials asbestos containing?	All existing information on buildings 4022 & 4024 has been made available to the Offerors via the PWS and documents library.

No.	Final RFQ Section	Page	Industry Question/Comment	Government Response
46	Section C, Appendix 3	NA	Will DOE provide ETEC (Area IV) building and grounds photos, drawings (plan views, as built) for buildings and grounds as listed in Appendix 3 of RTP Section C, PWS?	All existing information has been made available to the Offerors via the PWS and documents library.
47	Section C, PWS, Scope, Page C-5 and Section C.2.2, Item 3, Page C-10.	C-10	"Scope" refers to sampling within the NBZ while Section C.2.2 item 3 limits sampling to the wells identified in Appendix 4 of the PWS. Appendix 4 does not specifically identify wells within the NBZ, but does include 16 wells not identified as Area IV. Please confirm that these 16 other wells constitute the extent of sampling and water-level measures required in the NBZ.	This PWS addresses DOE responsibilities consistent with the 2007 Consent Order. There are additional wells in Area IV and the NBZ that are not DOE's responsibility. In the NBZ, there have been 2 clusters (3 wells per cluster) of shallow depths wells ranging from 5-25 feet, installed within the last four months looking tritium and TCE respectively.
48	Section C, PWS, Subsection C.2.2 Groundwater Monitoring Activities, Item 3, Page C-10.	C-10	Item 3. states that wells to be sampled are drawn from Appendix 4 of the PWS and analyzed in accordance with Table V of the WQSAP. However, many of the wells listed in Appendix 4 are not included in Table V. The wells that are not contained in Table V are EE-31, PZ-005, PZ-098, PZ-100, PZ-101 to -107, and PZ-109 to -124. Are we to assume we follow analysis requirements in Table V?	All existing information has been made available to the Offerors in the PWS and documents library. Offerors are to follow the analysis requirements in Table V.
49	Section C, PWS, Subsection C.2.2, Item 3, Page C-10.	C-10	This section states that the "The specific wells to be sampled will be determined by DOE at the end of each fiscal year and provided to the contractor for implementation." Given the variability in sampling methodology based on well depths and construction and analytical requirements in Table V of the WQSAP resulting in a wide variability in costs and difficulty in receiving comparable pricing in the bids, can DOE provide the proposed monitoring program for 2014 and require that it be the Basis of Estimate for this CLIN?	The proposed FY14 Groundwater monitoring program has been posted to the documents library. The posted FY14 scope provided in the document library is provided for information only and give the potential offerors a historical representation of what DOE needs could entail for Groundwater monitoring in the future.
50	Section C, PWS, Subsection C.2.2, Item 3, Page C-10.	C-10	This item states that 40 wells will be sampled for groundwater quality parameters annually; however, the Pricing Tables in Section L Attachment L-7) list 120 wells in CLIN 0003 and 80 wells in CLIN 0006. Please clarify this requirement.	CLIN 0003 covers three years of groundwater monitoring; CLIN 0006 covers two years of groundwater monitoring.
51	Section C, PWS, Subsection C.2.2, Item 3, Page C-10.	C-10	This item requires quarterly water level measurements for each well sampled for groundwater quality parameters. Please confirm that quarterly water level measurements are only required for the 40 wells chosen by DOE and not the 84 wells listed in Appendix 4.	The quarterly water level measurements are only required for the wells chosen by DOE.
52	Section C, PWS, Subsection C.2.2, Item 3, Page C-10.	C-10	Is there a time-constant requirement for completion of water-level measurements during each quarterly event, e.g., within 24hours?	There is no such requirement; Offerors should propose time frames for completion of quarterly water level measurements.
53	Data Request, Section C, PWS, Subsection C.2.2, Pages C-9 and C-10.	Pages C-9 & C-10	Can you provide the water-level measurement field logs for the most-recent quarterly event?	The most recent reports have been issued and you may find the most up to date results on the following DTSC website. http://www.dtsc.ca.gov/SiteCleanup/Santa_Susana_Field_Lab/ssfl_document_library.cfm

No.	Final RFQ Section	Page	Industry Question/Comment	Government Response
54	Data Request, Section C, PWS, Subsection C.2.2, Pages C-9 and C-10.	Pages C-9 & C-10	Can you provide the groundwater sampling field logs for the most-recent annual sampling event?	The most recent reports have been issued and you may find the most up to date results on the following DTSC website. http://www.dtsc.ca.gov/SiteCleanup/Santa_Susana_Field_Lab/ssfl_document_library.cfm
55	Section C, PWS, Subsection C.2.2, Item 6, Page C-10.	C-10	This item requires the Contractor maintain the structural integrity and operability of the DOE groundwater wells within Area IV and the Northern Buffer Zone appearing in Appendix 4. Appendix 4 does not specifically identify any groundwater wells as Northern Buffer Zone. Please clarify.	Well locations may be found in the Site-Wide Water Quality Sampling and Analysis Plan.
56	Section C, PWS, Subsection C.2.2, Item 7, Pages C-10 and C-11.	Pages C-10 & C-11	This item requires delivery of quarterly water level data in the form of a letter submittal. Are we also required to prepare the hydrographs that are presented in the Annual Reports (i.e. Appendix C Annual Report), and if so, will DOE provide the historical data plots for our updates?	The Offeror will only be required to submit quarterly water level data per PWS Section C.2.2.7. The most recent reports have been issued and you may find the most up to date results on the following DTSC website. http://www.dtsc.ca.gov/SiteCleanup/Santa_Susana_Field_Lab/ssfl_document_library.cfm
57	Section C, PWS, Subsection C.2.2, Item 7, Page C-11.	C-11	This item states: "The Contractor shall incorporate the groundwater monitoring data from the various groundwater monitoring reports and provide the data as input to the groundwater RI Report and Corrective Measure Report (CMR) that is submitted to DTSC in 2015. What is the number of the various reports and when will they be made available for our use?"	The Offeror will only be required to submit quarterly water level data and annual groundwater monitoring data per PWS Section C.2.2.7. Preparation of reports (ASER, annual groundwater report to DTSC, and the RI and CMR reports) is not part of this scope.
58	Section C, PWS, Appendix D.		Is the contractor responsible for completion of the Time Series Plots of Analytical Data (i.e., Appendix D of the Annual Report) and if so, will DOE provide the historical data plots for our updates?	The Offeror will only be required to submit quarterly water level data and annual groundwater monitoring data per PWS Section C.2.2.7.
59	Section C, PWS, Appendix F.		Is the contractor responsible for completion of the data validation and preparation of the Quality Assurance Assessments (i.e., Appendix F of the Annual Report)?	Yes, the Offeror shall submit quality assurance information with the annual groundwater monitoring data submitted per PWS Section 2.2.7. Quality assurance requirements may be found in the Water Quality Sampling and Analysis Plan.
60	Section C, PWS, Subsection C.6.3, Item 4, Page C-36.	C-36	This item states that there shall be no on-site disposal of demolition waste. Does this preclude the successful bidder from crushing clean concrete (generated during the demolition and removal of the non-radiological facilities) on-site (or off-site) and using it as clean back fill (as part of restoration of the SNAP ETF or RMHF) as long as we sample and verify the material meets the appropriate hazardous and radiological acceptance criteria as clean backfill IAW the 2010 AOC (Appendix 8)?	Demolition waste may not be used as backfill, with the exception of excavated soil per Section C.6.4.2 of the PWS.

No.	Final RFQ Section	Page	Industry Question/Comment	Government Response
61	Section C, PWS, Subsections C.4, Item 5, Page C-12 and C.6.3, Pages C-36 – C-37.	Pages C-12, C-36 & C-37	Item 5 in Section C.4 stipulates the Contractor sign on behalf of DOE for non D&D waste but does not indicate if the same procedure shall be followed with regards to the D&D waste. Will DOE be the generator for the D&D Waste (identified in Section C.6.3) as well? Will the Contractor sign on behalf of DOE for the D&D waste?	The same procedures will be followed for D&D waste as for non-D&D waste. The Contractor will sign on behalf of DOE for D&D waste.
62	Building 4057, Site Walk.		What should the Contractor's assume with regards to the current records stored in the building? Will DOE dispose of the records prior to the contractor assuming control of the facility or prior to initiation of D&D activities?	DOE will not dispose of the records currently stored in Building 4057 prior to the Notice to Proceed. These records shall be managed in accordance with PWS Section 5.5.
63	Building 4462, Site Walk.		There is currently a large volume of empty waste containers in the facility. Will these containers be removed by others prior to the Contractor assuming control of the facility or prior to commencement of D&D activities?	No, the empty waste containers will not be removed prior to the Contractor assuming control of the facility or prior to commencement of D&D activities.
64	Section C, PWS, Subsection C.2.1.2, Page C-9.	C-9	The BAKER Tanks located outside building 4024 are not listed as GFE. Will these containers be available for the Contractor to use? Are they owned by DOE or leased? Will the contractor be required to decontaminate and/or return them to DOE as part of D&D activities requirements for Building 4024?	These are DOE leased tanks and the contractor will need to continue that lease and the PWS has been updated to reflect this change. The Contractor will not be required to decontaminate the tanks once the lease is no longer needed and is terminated.
65	Section C, PWS, Subsection C.4, Item 15, Page C-14.	C-14	Please provide the following data regarding tritiated water currently stored in Building 4019: Source of water (well development, etc). If from well development, please provide identification of wells that were developed, Analytical results from sampling of tanks, Model number and size of Baker tanks/totes and number of totes, and if the tanks were purchased or leased	This water is purge water as a result of tritium well sampling. There are 10 DOE owned poly tanks containing approximately 2500 gallons of purge water from the tritium wells.
66	Section C, PWS, Subsection C.1.1., Item 3, Page C-7.	C-30	This item states that water and sewer are not available at the site. Is there an active water supply (potable or non-potable) within Area IV that can be used for dust control purposes? If so, can you please identify the location(s) and any requirements for Contractor use (i.e. backflow preventer, etc.)	There is no active water supply within Area IV that can be used for dust control purposes. See Government Response to question #27.
67	Section C, PWS, Subsection C.6.1, Item 3a, Page C-30.	C-30	The last sentence states that "The expected end state for all D&D projects is a stabilized site ready for transition to the soils investigation efforts outlined in the AOC. Please define what is expected for a "stabilized site".	At end state, the D&D projects shall have adequate drainage and erosion controls, be resistant to slumping or sliding, and present no unmitigated safety or environmental hazards.
68	Section C, PWS, Subsection C.6.1, Item 3j, Page C-30.	C-30	This states that the Contractor is responsible for removal and disposition of any remaining tanks, pressure vessel draining, and purging. The contents of tanks and pressure vessels....shall be evacuated through depletion, transfer to other storage, or venting as applicable. Is there an inventory of tanks/vessels, their chemical contents, and quantity of material remaining? If this is not available, what is the Contractor to assume for waste types and quantities associated with this item?	All existing information has been made available to the Offerors via the PWS and in the documents library.

No.	Final RFQ Section	Page	Industry Question/Comment	Government Response
69	Section C, PWS, Subsection C.6.1, Item 3I, Page C-32.	C-32	This item discusses the requirement to include asbestos abatement (ACM), universal waste (UW), and PCB surveys. Are there previously completed ACM, UW, and PCB surveys that can be provided for the Contractor as a basis for their Firm, Fixed Price (FFP)? If completed surveys are not available, what is the Contractor to base its FFP proposal on in order to provide a FFP when ACM, UW, and PCB quantities and locations are unknown?	All existing information has been made available to the Offerors via the PWS and in the documents library.
70	Section C, PWS, Subsection C.6.1, Item 5, Page C-33.	C-33	This item states that the Contractor shall disconnect, air-gap, and de-energize utilities in preparation for D&D activities. Section C, Appendix 3 (Listing of Facilities for D&D Scope) states that many of the building utilities have already been physically isolated. Does this imply that no further utility disconnection/air-gap/de-energizing is required for these buildings?	Utilities listed as being physically isolated will not require further isolation.
71	Section C, PWS, Subsection Section C.6.2, Items 6a-6d, Page C-34.	C-34	This discusses the selective removal of sodium systems, pipes, and sodium compounds. Is there a specific drawing(s) that detail what these are and where they are located? Is there a drawing that can identify where sodium compounds may be located and/or a table with expected quantities that are remaining?	All existing information has been made available to the Offerors via the PWS and in the documents library.
72	Section C, PWS, Subsection C.6.2, Item 6.d, Page C-34.	C-34	This discusses the "cleaning" of sodium containing components. Can DOE provide the definition of "clean"?	DOE defines "clean" as being sufficiently clean to recycle where practical, or to dispose of at an appropriate disposal facility.
73	Section C, PWS, Subsection C.6.3, Item 12, Page C-37.	C-37	This discusses potential PCB containing oil-filled transformers. For the purposes of this FFP proposal, should the Contractor assume that the transformers contain PCBs or do not contain PCBs?	The Offeror should assume that the transformers contain PCBs.
74	Section C, PWS, Appendix 3.		Is there a drawing that shows the D&D footprint of each building and the associated area that is to be removed? Appendix 3 (Listing of Facilities for D&D Scope) primarily discusses the actual building, but does not clearly define how much (if any) of the surrounding asphalt/concrete/soil areas are to be included. In some instances, it is clear that it is complete within a fenced area, but in other instances it is not clear to what extent the surrounding area is to be included.	All existing information has been made available to the Offerors via the PWS and in the documents library.
75	Additional Site Information:		Would the DOE allow scheduling of a small group of individuals to access the site to document current conditions?	DOE has sponsored two site tours. There will be no additional site tours prior to the proposal closing date.

No.	Final RFQ Section	Page	Industry Question/Comment	Government Response
76	Section C, PWS, Subsection C.6.2, Item 5, Page C-34.	C-34	Concerning the use of excavated soils as backfill, what if any testing of backfill material will be required and will the Contractor be required to complete and document this testing? Are there specifications with regards to the size (i.e. 2 inch=/-) of material that can be used as backfill? Is the contractor required to place some sort of barrier (i.e. geotechnical fabric) between the native soil and backfill soil to facilitate future soil removal activities?	The Offeror will not be required to test excavated soils prior to returning them to the excavation as backfill. However, see PWS Section 6.2.3. Additionally, the Contractor must comply with the requirements of its Occupational Radiation Protection Program when managing any radioactive materials. There are no size specifications for material to be used as backfill. The Contractor is not required to place a barrier between the native soil and the backfill.
77	Section C, PWS, Subsection C.6.3, Item 16, Page C-37.	C-37	Please confirm that "all debris from CLINs 9 and 10" will include all the items listed on the "Walk Through of Area IV Buildings" document.	Per the "Walk Through of Area IV Buildings" document: "The descriptions provided in this document are intended solely to provide a general overview of the condition of the buildings. The Offeror is fully responsible for independently determining the condition and contents of each building for developing a price proposal and ensuring the successful performance of the PWS activities."
78	Section C, PWS, Subsection C.6.4, Items 1 and 2, Page C-38.	C-38	If the contractor removes and stockpiles existing soil to access subgrade portions of the SETF or RMHF facilities, are we required to sample/survey the material prior to using it to backfill the excavation? If so and the soil is found to contain radioactivity above the concentrations in the 2010 AOC, what are the Contractor's responsibilities in regards to re-use or disposal of the soil?	No, but see PWS Section 6.2.3. Additionally, the Offeror must comply with the requirements of its Occupational Radiation Protection Program when managing any radioactive materials.
79	Section C, PWS, Subsection C.6.4, Item 1, Page C-38.	C-38	Please detail the contractor's requirements with regards to managing open excavations to achieve compliance with the 2010 AOC. Are we required to maintain the open excavation for a period of time to allow survey (by others) and oversight/verification by others? How long are we required to maintain the open excavation? Does the contractor have any responsibilities with regards to survey of the excavation and sampling of the excavation bottom and sidewalls or is this out of the scope of this contract?	The Offeror should assume one week to allow for any potential sampling of the excavation bottom and sidewalls that would be performed by another contractor.
80	Section L, Standard Form 33, RTP, Offer and Award, Page L-9.	L-9	This states that the Standard Form 33 shall be fully executed by an authorized representative of the Contractor. This form shall be used as the cover sheet of each copy of Volume I, Offer and Other Documents. Please define cover sheet (can it sit behind TAB 1 or do you want it before the title page/contents/glossary?).	The fully executed copy of the SF33 should be placed before the title page/contents/glossary

No.	Final RFQ Section	Page	Industry Question/Comment	Government Response
81	Section L.8, Proposal Preparation Instructions (a)(2), Definition of "Major Subcontractor", Page L-9.	L-9	DOE has provided a definition for major subcontractor as used in Section L as subcontracts valued at \$5M or more in value of the total proposed TO price. The instructions for both Criteria 2 and 3 use subcontractor terminology for explaining roles in the organization and requirements for past performance information. Are we to assume that anywhere the term subcontractor is used that it means major subcontractor with \$5M in value or does this reference only apply to major subcontractors and not all subcontractors?	The term "subcontractor" in Section L and Section M is referring to "major subcontractor". This will be corrected via amendment.
82	Section L, Table L-2, 30 Page Limit for Technical Proposal, Page L-8.	L-8	Considering 1 inch margins, 12-point font restrictions, and the detailed Section C PWS requirements (presented in 39 pages) that we are to address in our technical approach to transition and site access, environmental monitoring, surveillance and monitoring, non-D&D waste management activities, project support, and D&D work as well as address Criterion 2 requirements, we respectfully request an additional 15 pages to adequately address our approach and understanding to Criteria 1 and 2.	DOE will not increase the page limitation.
83	Section L, Criterion 2 – Key Personnel and Organizational Structure, Pages L-12 and L-13.	Pages L-12 & L-13	The DOE has defined key personnel as the Program Manager and the ESH&Q Manager. Will DOE accept and review other relevant key support personnel supplied on a table who are critical to the execution of the contract such as an Operations Manager?	Offerors may propose only two Key Personnel, the Program Manager and the ESH&Q Manager. Offerors may propose additional, non-key positions as part of its organizational structure, and may provide information on persons proposed to occupy those non-key positions. Offerors may only submit resumes, however, for the two Key Personnel positions.
84	Section L, Criterion 2 – Key Personnel Resumes, Resume Format, Page L-13 and Attachment L-2.	L-2	The single column format does not allow us to maximize use of the page, particularly for contractual information. As long as we include the exact information requested and the font size in the form (11-pt Times New Roman), can we amend the format using a different layout to fully describe an individual's experience, expertise, and education credentials?	The Offeror may amend the format for the Key Personnel Resumes as long as the exact information, font and size, and page limitations are followed.

No.	Final RFQ Section	Page	Industry Question/Comment	Government Response
85	Section L, Criterion 1, Technical Approach and Understanding, Page L-12 and Section M, Technical Approach and Understanding Evaluation Criteria, Page M-4.	M-4	Section L requirements, as well as the Section M evaluation, are focused on the description of the Contractor's technical approach and understanding of the PWS activities for Transition and Site Access, Environmental Monitoring, Surveillance and Maintenance, Non-D&D Waste Management, Project Support, and D&D Work to meet performance expectations of Section C within the existing regulatory framework and anticipated funding profile. Section L instructions also require a discussion of anticipated quantities and types of wells to be sampled per year and corresponding analytical requirements for CLINs 00003 and 00006 and anticipated quantities and types of wastes to be generated by CLIN per building along with disposition paths. These activities would be addressed in their respective PWS areas, i.e. environmental monitoring and non-D&D waste management. We assume this information can be contained in the relevant discussions and not as separate discussions. Is this the correct interpretation?	Yes, it can be contained in the relevant discussions.
86	Section L, Criterion 3, Past Performance Reference Information Form, Attachment L-3, and Page L-14.	Pages L-14	The two column past performance reference information form does not maximize use of the page as over half of the requirements are for general contract data. To completely cover size, scope, and complexity for relevancy of work performed can we modify the format to maximize use of the three pages as long as we include the same information and order and maintain 11-point Times New Roman font requirements?	The Offeror may amend the format for the Past Performance Reference Information Form as long as the exact information, font and size, and page limitations are followed.
87	Section L, Subsection L.10, Item b Pricing Assumptions, Pages L-16 and L-17.	Pages L-16 & L-17	Is the funding profile as presented inclusive of the costs that DOE will incur via interagency transfer for the disposal of wastes at NNSS?	Yes, those costs are included in the funding profile.

No.	Final RFQ Section	Page	Industry Question/Comment	Government Response
88	Section M, Price Evaluation, Page M-6.	Page M-6	Please clarify how DOE will determine the Reasonableness of the Contractor's price proposal. The 30 page restriction on the technical proposal, coupled with the information requested, makes it difficult for the bidders to provide in-depth detail with regards to our D&D approach to the multiple facilities and demonstrate an in-depth understanding of the D&D requirements and approach. Additionally it may be difficult for DOE to compare Bidders price proposals to determine reasonableness if the details of the D&D approach and underlying basis of estimate are not included due to page count restrictions.	<p>The Contractor's price proposal will not be point scored or adjectivally rated, but will be evaluated to assess reasonableness, completeness, whether the proposed price reflects an understanding of the RTP requirements, and a Contractor's responsibility and financial capability. The price evaluation may include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Comparison of the Contractor's proposed firm fixed unit rates/prices to other Contractors' proposed firm fixed unit rates/prices. • Comparison of the Contractor's total proposed Task Order price to other Contractors' total proposed Task Order price. • Comparison of the Contractor's proposed firm fixed unit rates/prices and total proposed Task Order price with independent government cost estimates. <p>In accordance with FAR Part 9, the responsibility and financial capability evaluation will take into consideration whether the Contractor has adequate financial resources and the minimum insurance liability coverage per Section H.104 to perform the Task Order or has the ability to obtain them; and whether the Contractor possesses the current bonding capability per the requirements of Section I.78 to perform the Task Order or has the ability to obtain the necessary bonding requirements.</p>
89			Please clarify the end state for each building that is to be decontaminated and demolished. The PWS text indicates that all D&D projects will include removal of "all equipment, furniture, and building structural material, including foundations, footers, and underground utilities within a facility footprint", but the table in Appendix 3 indicates different end states by facility. Specifically, for each building, is it expected that the D&D will include: a) Removing the asphalt surrounding the buildings? b) Removing the concrete pads? c) Removing the basements? d) Is it intended that upon completion of remediation the portions of the site to be remediated under this RTP will be in a condition to be designated as Greenfields?	For questions a) b) and c) - Section C, Appendix 3 provides detail as to whether basements or pads shall be removed as part of D&D. d) Contaminated soil removal is not part of the scope of this PWS.
90			How much of the material and equipment in each of the buildings will be removed before D&D? Alternatively, is it expected that all this material will also be disposed of by the D&D contractor? In particular, will all records that are to be sent offsite for storage be removed by the time D&D is to begin on the buildings in question? If there are any records remaining, can they be disposed of?	As stated in Section C.6 of the PWS, "The Contractor shall remove all equipment, furniture, and building structural material, including foundations, footers, and underground utilities within a facility footprint." Disposition of records is part of the scope of this PWS; records are to be dispositioned in accordance with Section C.5.5 of the PWS.

No.	Final RFQ Section	Page	Industry Question/Comment	Government Response
91			Some of the descriptions indicate the building (4133 for example) is "suitable" for release for unrestricted use. Others buildings are described as "has been release for unrestricted use". What is the difference, and are there any implications for D&D?	There is no difference and there are no implications for D&D.
92			In building 4024 (SNAP reactor testing) there are red and black spots spray painted on the walls throughout the building in a regular pattern. Is this where sampling was done to check for surface contamination? If so, please provide the analytical results. If not, what is the significance of the spots?	The dots were part of previous characterization sampling. All existing information has been made available to the Offerors via the PWS and documents library.
93	C2.2., Item 3		The Sitewide Water Quality SAP, referenced in Item 1, lists 26 DOE wells that are sampled annually per Table V. However, Item 2 says that 20 wells are to be sampled semi-annually (40 sampling events annually), and that DOE will identify the wells for sampling in advance. It should be clarified that a "sampling event" simply refers to collection of a groundwater sample from a single well. In addition, several of wells are multi-level wells (i.e., sampling from more than one interval). Please clarify which, if any, of these wells will be in the group of 20 wells to be sampled, because the total quantity of samples collected and submitted for laboratory analysis will be increased and will need to be accounted for. If any multi-level wells are included, please indicate on a well by well basis which intervals are to be sampled from which wells	PWS Section C.2.2.3 states: "The Contractor shall perform 40 sampling events for groundwater quality parameters annually."
94	C2.2., Item 3		The Sitewide Water Quality SAP (Table VI) includes 62 wells for which water levels are to be monitored. Item 2 refers to collection of water levels, but this seems to refer only to the 20 wells that will be sampled under this task order. Please confirm and indicate which wells are to be monitored for water levels and on what schedule	PWS Section C.2.2.3 states: "The Contractor shall perform 40 sampling events for groundwater quality parameters annually." PWS Section C.2.2.3 also states: "Quarterly water level measurements shall be taken for each well sampled for groundwater quality parameters."
95	C2.2., Item 6		Clarify if the 4 wells from which Water Flutes were removed in 2013 will be retrofitted with low-flow pumps and considered "well maintained" prior to the start of the contract period.	Correct, the 4 wells were retrofitted with low-flow pumps and are considered "well maintained".
96	C.4, Item 17		It is stated that the Contractor shall be responsible for maintaining the extraction well and the DOE pipeline to GETS from Area IV. Clarify whether or not active groundwater extraction or treatment at GETS will be the responsibility of the Contractor under this task order, and if so, the specific scope items of that activity.	This portion of work will be removed from the PWS via amendment.
97	C.5.1, Item 8		It is stated that the Contractor shall provide support for bi-monthly public tours, but this contradicts C.5.6.1, Item 1, last sentence. Please clarify	Public outreach and public affairs, as referred to in PWS Section C.5.6.1, do not include support for bi-monthly public tours. The Offeror will be responsible for supporting bi-monthly public tours per PWS Section C.5.1.8.

No.	Final RFQ Section	Page	Industry Question/Comment	Government Response
98	Section C, PWS, C.3.1.1	C-11	C.3.1.1 states safety assessments shall be performed quarterly, consistent with the ETEC ISMS plan. Section C.5.3.2 stated Contractor shall submit the written ISMS System Description to DOE within 60 days of the NTP. Is the contractor expected to assume the current ISMS plan, develop their own plan, or use the current plan as a basis for their own plan? Is the ETEC ISMS Plan available to bidders, to better understand the requirements for assessments and inspections?	Per PWS Section C.1.1.4: "The Contractor may adopt the incumbent contractor's programs and procedures provided the Contractor has formally reviewed and revised (if necessary) the programs and procedures to ensure compliance with Task Order requirements, current regulatory requirements, DOE Orders and directives, and the Contractor's organizational roles and responsibilities." Please refer to the online documents library.
99			The table in Appendix 3 that has the list of facilities includes building 4688, listed as a shed, located in RMHF. During the tour we were given information regarding the facilities, and it lists 4668 as a covered storage area at RMHF. Are these the same facilities, and one is a typo?	Yes, this is a typo: it is building 4688 which is a shed. Section C Appendix 3, is correct. The information given on the tour contains the typo.
100	Section C, PWS, C.3.2	C-11	In C.3.2 Facility Maintenance and Infrastructure Support, item 2, the PWS states that maintenance activities may include, among other items, maintaining electrical power. Only 8 of the 20 facilities currently have power. Is it necessary to maintain power to all of these facilities until the D&D task begins, or can we disconnect utilities to facilities (when appropriate) to save cost, while ensuring buildings remain in safe shutdown mode and maintained in a safe and stable configuration?	Offerors may propose disconnecting utilities to ETEC facilities, so long as the facilities remain in a safe shutdown mode, and are maintained in a safe and stable configuration.
101	Section C, PWS, C.5.8	C-26	In C.5.8, EEOICPA, the PWS states that the contractor shall establish a program and respond to the requirements of the EEOICPA for their employees and activities starting with the date of this Task Order award. However, item 7.b states "if necessary, work with corporate entities or unions to verify employment of former site workers". Is the contractor responsible for investigating and providing information regarding former workers of ETEC, or only those who are employees of the contractor starting with the date of the Task Order? If this Task Order is responsible for providing information on former workers, are the records of exposures and other records for former workers readily available?	The Offeror is responsible for providing this information upon request only for employees of the Contractor starting with the date of this Task Order.

No.	Final RFQ Section	Page	Industry Question/Comment	Government Response
102	Section I, Clause I.78	I-5	<p>Clause I.78 specifies a performance and payment bond in an amount equal to 100 percent of the original contract price. It further states that the contract price does not include the price of options, except those exercised at the time of contract award. Additionally, it states that the Government may require additional bond protection if the contract value is increased. We have several questions regarding this clause: (a) Will any options be exercised at contract award? (b) When the option period is exercised and the bonding amount needs to cover this new period of time, will the Government eliminate the requirement for the base period bonds, or will the penal amount of the bond be increased to cover the entire contract value (both base period and option period values)? (c) Will the Government consider bonding each year individually in an amount equal to the anticipated contract value for that year in order to keep bonding costs to a minimum?</p>	<p>The Government will not exercise any options at time of award. When the option period is exercised the bonding amount should only cover the new period of time and the work performed under that option. The contractor will not be required to provide bonding for the already completed base period since the work has already been performed and completed. The Government will not bond each year individually.</p>
103			<p>Attachment L-5, List of Contracts Terminated for Convenience or Default, is to be included in Volume II and is not listed under Page Count Exceptions on page L-11. Would DOE consider excluding this form from the page count?</p>	<p>No, this will not be excluded.</p>
104			<p>Attachment L-3, Past Performance Reference Information Form: Can the column widths on this form be adjusted to save space?</p>	<p>Offerors must use the format provided.</p>
105			<p>Attachment L-4, Past Performance Letter and Questionnaire: Are copies of the Past Performance Letters and Questionnaires that are provided to references to be included in the proposal?</p>	<p>No, the Past Performance Letter and Questionnaire will be received by DOE directly from the individual reference.</p>
106			<p>What is the capacity of the Baker tank located on the top floor of Bldg 4024, mentioned in Section C.2.1.2?</p>	<p>There is an existing tank located on the top floor of Bldg 4024, however it is not a Baker Tank for storing water. There are (2) 20,000-gallon capacity rented Baker Tanks outside the building used to collect and store non-hazardous, non-radioactive groundwater.</p>
107			<p>Section C.4.17 states that the Contractor shall be responsible for operating and maintaining the extraction well and the DOE pipeline to the GETS from Area IV. How often is this well operated and what are the daily and peak flow rates produced by this well. When was the last time this well and pumping system were overhauled/serviced? What condition is the pipeline in at present? Will Boeing or a successor continue to operate the treatment system for the duration of the ETEC contract including all options?</p>	<p>This section will be removed from the PWS via amendment.</p>
108			<p>Regarding Attachment L.8, part 1: Is it the intent to transition Boeing employee(s) currently performing S&M type work for DOE owned facilities listed in Appendix 3 to the successful bidder for continuance of those services as specified in Section C 3.2, Facility Maintenance and Infrastructure work?</p>	<p>There is no intention to transition current Boeing employees to the successful bidder.</p>

No.	Final RFQ Section	Page	Industry Question/Comment	Government Response
109	Section L.8(b)(2)	L-10	Page L-10, Section L.8(b)(2), instructs offeror's to complete Section B.2, Task Order Price, and submit it as part of Volume I. Section B.2 contains cost and pricing information. The instructions for Volume III, Price Proposal, Page L-16, Section L.10(a), General, indicates that all pricing information shall be included in Volume III and that none of the information contained in Volume III should be included in any other proposal volume unless specifically requested in the RTP.	Correct; all pricing information shall be included in Volume III. None of the information contained in Volume III should be included in any other proposal volume UNLESS specifically requested in the RTP. Page L-10, Section L.8(b)(2) specifically requests that the Offeror complete Section B.2, Task Order Price, and submit it as part of Volume I.
110	Section C.4, requirement 15	C-14	Section C, requirement 15 (pg. C-14) states, "The Contractor shall appropriately disposition tritiated water in the Baker Tanks located at building 4019 and tritiated water collected during ongoing well sampling activities. The Contractor shall not use the evaporator system in RMHF Building 4022 for tritiated water." Please provide the quantity of tritiated water in the Baker Tanks located at building 4019 to enhance our ability to cost this requirement.	The tanks in Building 4019 are not Baker tanks. They are 10 poly tanks containing approximately 2500 gallons of tritiated water.
111			We would like to add a subcontractor to our existing, approved ID/IQ Team to support this RTP ETEC bid. What information is required? Should this information be submitted directly to John Blecher, EMCBC and Matthew Carpenter, CO prior to submittal of our proposal for approval or as part of our formal proposal?	Please contact the Basic IDIQ Administrative Contracting Officer, LeAnne Brock (P: 513-246-0563, leann.brock@emcbc.doe.gov), in order to add a major or critical subcontractor to your IDIQ team. Offerors are not required to obtain CO consent prior to submitting a proposal, however the CO must approve all new major or critical subcontractors prior to award of the Task Order.
112	C.5.1(3)	C-17	Stated in Section C, 5.1(3), "The contractor is to allow DOE and (when applicable) non-DOE organizations a review period on the submission of draft documents." Can you please provide a length of time for these review periods that we can assume for scheduling purposes?	The PWS does not specify review times. Review times may be proposed as part of the schedule for plans and reports per PWS Section C.5.1.3.
113	J – Attach. B	N/A	Section J, Attachment B identifies several deliverables that are required to be submitted during the transition period, even though the drivers/requirements for these deliverables are under the Firm Fixed Price Base and Option Periods. Example deliverables that seem to be in conflict include (1) Deliverable No.38, Emergency Planning Hazard Surveys and (2) Section C.5.3.4, Initially Due within 60 days of NTP. Can the agency please clarify the deliverables and associated delivery dates that are required during the transition period?	Deliverables are to be submitted in accordance with PWS Section C, including Section J, Attachment B.
114	L - Attach. L-7	N/A	Section L, Attachment L-7 Pricing Template, does not allow pricing under the D&D option column with the exception to PWS C.6. Please consider allowing costs in all of the PWS sections should they require additional resources.	In Attachment L-7 the PWS level pricing rows are based on the PWS structure and the CLIN structure to capture Pre-Demo, Demo and Post Demo for each of the CLIN 8 Option Groupings and dovetail straight to Section B.

No.	Final RFQ Section	Page	Industry Question/Comment	Government Response
115	Drawings		Can the agency please provide architectural and structural drawings specific to the SPTF (Buildings 4462 and 4463) and the Former ETEC HQ and LMDL-2 (Building 4038 and 4057).	All existing information has been made available to the Offerors via the PWS and documents library.
116	C.1.2 and Appendix 1, 3.b	C-7, 8 & App. 1 - 3	Stated in Section C, 1.2 (4 th paragraph), "Work to be performed outside of Boeing regular business hours, including overtime, weekend, and holiday work ("Off Hours Access") must be confirmed by the Boeing Project Manager prior to scheduling such work to ensure that a Boeing representative and/or security personnel can be onsite." Additionally stated in Appendix 1, 3.b, "Boeing will charge DOE for, any additional costs that Boeing incurs (including personnel and security costs) in connection with any requests by DOE for Off Hours Access." Does DOE intend to pass along the Boeing charge to the contractor for these instances, and if so, please provide basis to estimate the Boeing charges?	DOE will not pass along Boeing charges to the Contractor.
117	Appendix 1, 2.b	App. 1 - 2	Stated in Appendix 1, 2.b, "DOE acknowledges and understands that this Agreement does not provide access to portions of the NASA Property necessary to access Area IV of the Boeing Property, and that DOE must obtain such access directly from NASA." Please provide copy of the DOE access agreement with NASA applicable to the contract scope.	DOE is developing a Memorandum of Understanding with NASA; however, it will not affect the work under this PWS.
118	Appendix 11, 5.0	N/A	Appendix 11, 5.0 states a limit of 12 roundtrip truckloads per day restricted to the hours of 6:00 am to 4:00 pm. Later in 5.0 an exception is discussed for certain activities requiring shipping between 4:00 pm and 7:00 pm. Please clarify what "certain activities" would allow shipping after 4:00 pm. Also, would any shipments between 4:00 pm and 7:00 pm be included in the 12 roundtrips per day limit, or additive to the 12 roundtrips?	Appendix 11, 5.0 describes the 12 roundtrip truckloads per day. If the Contractor believes it may be necessary to work on-site between 4 and 7 pm, it is possible. Trucks leaving or entering the site must conform to the 6 am to 4 pm restriction.
119	I.78 FAR52.228-16 Performance and Payment Bonds.		Since the requirement for bonds is corporate sureties whose names appear on the list contained in Treasury Department Circular 570, and those bonds will not be issued for several years, please confirm that at the time of proposal submittal, that our notarized letter must also be from a corporate surety whose name appears in Treasury Department Circular 570.	Yes, an Offeror must have bonds underwritten by someone who is listed in Treasury Circular 570 and also have the notarized letter from an official from a company whose name appears in Circular 570.