

No.	Final RFQ Section	Page	Industry Question/Comment	Government Response
120	General		DOE has answered a question regarding adding new subcontractors with the statement that "Offerors are not required to obtain CO consent prior to submitting a proposal, however the CO must approve all new major or critical subcontractors prior to award of the Task Order. Since it is only two (2) weeks before submission deadline, we assume this statement to mean that an Offeror may include in its proposal the past performance and expected work-share of each proposed major or critical. Can this be confirmed?"	DOE will evaluate the Contractor's rationale for proposing specific work to be performed by it's named major subcontractors or other performing entities (including entities in an LLC, joint venture, or other similar entity) and how each entity's work will be integrated and controlled within the overall work to be performed (see Criterion 2 under M.4 (b) on page M-5). DOE will not evaluate major subcontractor past performance as part of the contractors proposal. Past performance for any proposed major subcontractors that are not identified in the contractor's Basic IDIQ contract may be evaluated by the IDIQ ACO as part of the major or critical subcontractor approval process.
121	General		DOE has issued a clarification that the term "newly formed entity" is not to be utilized and will be eliminated from the solicitation in an amendment and only companies that are currently listed as awardees under the basic IDIQ may provide proposals. Because an Offeror may have relied upon the language "newly formed entity" in developing their key team members and their past performance for the proposal, we would appreciate confirmation that as long as the current IDIQ awardees' corporate, LLC or JV structure has not changed, an Offeror may still incorporate our major or critical subcontractors past performance credentials for DOE's evaluation of the Team's past performance.	See response to question #120
122	General		DOE has requested that we discuss our major and/or critical subcontractors that will support the work and their roles in Criterion 2. However, with elimination of the "newly formed entity" language which we defined as our major subcontractors, this leaves an Offeror with no place to describe our key subcontractors' past performance which is critical to the performance of the TO. Since past performance is evaluated by the DOE on size, scope and complexity, we request that we be allowed to submit our key subcontractor's past performance. Since there are only two weeks prior to submittal and PPQs are on a critical path, will the DOE expedite their answer.	See response to question #120
123	General		DOE has not allowed additional page count to answer the comprehensive PWS requested by several contractors. Since Attachment L-5 is a blank form unless you have defaulted on a contract, will the DOE please remove it from the page count so that we can fully utilize the 30 pages to provide a responsive submittal responding to Criteria 1 and 2. The majority of the Past Performance section is outside the page count except for a page limitation on the L-3 Attachment.	Attachment L-5 is not part of the 30 page limitation. Table L-2 will be changed to reflect this via amendment.

No.	Final RFQ Section	Page	Industry Question/Comment	Government Response
124	General		<p>The answer to question 12 says that any Bases of Estimate supporting the contractor's price may not be incorporated into the contract. If our proposal, most notably our Bases of Estimate, are not included in the contract, how do we define our assumptions and bound our risk related to changes, for example site conditions, waste types, and waste volumes, and any added schedule related to changes?</p>	<p>Upon further consideration, DOE has determined that the awardees' BOEs to be provided in accordance with Section J, Attachment B, Deliverable Item #77 will not be incorporated into the Task Order. The information contained in the BOEs will be considered when evaluating the merit of any requests for equitable adjustments for any Task Order changes. The Contractor's proposed price by CLIN shall take into consideration its technical approach(es) to complete the Performance Work Statement (PWS) including any and all assumptions and performance risk(s) relating to its proposed technical approach.</p>