

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT		1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE OF PAGES 1 5	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 03		3. EFFECTIVE DATE 10/04/2012	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.	5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable)
6. ISSUED BY U.S. Department of Energy Environmental Management Consolidated Business Center 250 E. Fifth Street Suite 500 Cincinnati, OH 45202		CODE	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6)	CODE
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State and ZIP Code)		9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. DE-SOL-003603		
		9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 08/21/2012		
		10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.		
		10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)		
CODE	FACILITY CODE			

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers is is not extended. Offeror must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning 1 copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. Accounting and Appropriation Data (If required)

**13. THIS APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS.
IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.**

CHECK ONE	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify Authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.
<input type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.). SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).
<input type="checkbox"/>	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
<input type="checkbox"/>	D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office	

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)

SEE FOLLOWING PAGES FOR CHANGES.

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16a. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print) TAMARA L. MILES Title: Contracting Officer	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (Signature of Contracting Officer)	16C. DATE SIGNED

NSN 7540-01-152-9070
Previous edition unusable

STANDARD FORM 30 (REV. 10-83)
Prescribed by GSA FAR (48 CFR) 53.243

The purpose of this amendment is to amend solicitation DE-SOL-0003603 as described below:

Amendment 02:

1. Block 11, is revised to check that the date specified for offers *is extended*.

Section J:

1. Attachment J-6, Service Contract Act Wage Determination (WD), is revised to replace WD 05-2423 (Rev 12) with the updated WD 05-2423 (Rev 13).

Section L:

1. Provision L.2, Proposal Preparation Instructions – Volume I, Offer, Paragraph E, is revised to change the Performance Guarantee reference to L-10 in lieu of L-11.
2. Provision L.3, Proposal Preparation Instructions – Volume II, Technical Proposal, was revised as follows:

- (a) Evaluation Criterion 1, Relevant Past Performance, Paragraph (2) was revised as follows:

From:

DOE intends to take a broad interpretation in determining relevancy of past performance information for work that is similar in size, scope and complexity to the work described in the PWS. DOE may place greater relevance on past performance of Offeror's traditional non-M&O cost reimbursement and fixed-price contracts than similar work under M&O contracts, with an emphasis on contract management, change control, schedule and cost performance, and timeliness of deliverables. The Offeror bears the burden of demonstrating the relevance and acceptability of its past performance; therefore, the Offeror is required to provide sufficient data for the Government to properly evaluate the past performance. It is the Offeror's responsibility to provide sufficient information to demonstrate the relevancy and similarity of the references provided for past performance evaluation to the PWS. If the Offeror is a newly formed entity, the Offeror shall provide the relevant past performance information for each of the members of the Joint Venture, LLC, or any other teaming arrangement as described in FAR Subpart 9.6, Contractor Team Arrangements.

To:

DOE intends to take a broad interpretation in determining relevancy. Please note a reference with a higher degree of relevance and recency may be given greater consideration. It is the Offeror's responsibility to provide sufficient information to demonstrate the relevancy and similarity to the PWS of the information provided for the Relevant Past Performance and Relevant Company Experience evaluations in

Attachment L-3. DOE intends to consider work performed under traditional (non-M&O) cost reimbursement and fixed-price contracts that emphasize contract management, change control, schedule and cost performance, and timeliness of deliverables as more consistent with the scope of the PWS, and therefore more relevant, than similar work performed under M&O contracts. If the Offeror is a newly formed entity, the Offeror shall provide the relevant past performance information for each of the members of the Joint Venture, LLC, or any other teaming arrangement as described in FAR Subpart 9.6, Contractor Team Arrangements.

- (b) Evaluation Criterion 3, Technical and Management Approach, Paragraph (1)(b) was revised as follows:

From:

Offeror's approach to the contract transition plan requirements identified in the PWS, including the timetable for orderly assumption of responsibilities and the application of resources during the phase-in period. The Transition Plan will also to be evaluated with respect to its feasibility, comprehensiveness, efficiency and effectiveness, including the extent that it provides for a smooth and orderly transition, identifies key issues and milestones, identifies potential barriers to a smooth transition, proposes solutions to the barriers identified, and minimizes impacts on continuity of operations.

To:

Offeror's approach to the contract transition requirements identified in the PWS, including the timetable for orderly assumption of responsibilities and the application of resources during the phase-in period. The transition approach will also to be evaluated with respect to its feasibility, comprehensiveness, efficiency and effectiveness, including the extent that it provides for a smooth and orderly transition, identifies key issues and milestones, identifies potential barriers to a smooth transition, proposes solutions to the barriers identified, and minimizes impacts on continuity of operations.

- (c) Evaluation Criterion 4, Relevant Company Experience, Paragraph (3) was revised to add the following language:

DOE intends to take a broad interpretation in determining relevancy. Please note a reference with a higher degree of relevance and recency may be given greater consideration. It is the Offeror's responsibility to provide sufficient information to demonstrate the relevancy and similarity to the PWS of the information provided for the Relevant Past Performance and Relevant Company Experience evaluations in Attachment L-3. DOE intends to consider work performed under traditional (non-M&O) cost reimbursement and fixed-price contracts that emphasize contract management, change control, schedule and cost performance, and timeliness of deliverables as more consistent with the scope of the PWS, and therefore more relevant, than similar work performed under M&O contracts.

Section M:

1. Section M.4, Evaluation Criteria, is revised as follows:

(a) Criterion 1, Relevant Past Performance, Paragraph (5) was revised as follows:

From:

As a clarification relating to relevancy ratings of past performance projects, DOE intends to take a broad interpretation in determining relevancy of past performance on work that is similar in size, scope and complexity to the work described in the PWS. DOE may place greater relevance on past performance of Offeror's traditional non-M&O cost reimbursement and fixed-price contracts than similar work under M&O contracts, with an emphasis on contract management, change control, schedule and cost performance, and timeliness of deliverables. Additionally, please note that a reference with a higher degree of relevance may receive greater consideration and more recent relevant past performance information may also be given greater consideration.

To:

DOE intends to take a broad interpretation in determining relevancy. Please note a reference with a higher degree of relevance and recency may be given greater consideration. It is the Offeror's responsibility to provide sufficient information to demonstrate the relevancy and similarity to the PWS of the information provided for the Past Performance and Relevant Company Experience evaluations in Attachment L-3. DOE intends to consider work performed under traditional (non-M&O) cost reimbursement and fixed-price contracts that emphasize contract management, change control, schedule and cost performance, and timeliness of deliverables as more consistent with the scope of the PWS, and therefore more relevant, than similar work performed under M&O contracts.

(b) Evaluation Criterion 3, Technical and Management Approach, Paragraph (1)(b) was revised as follows:

From:

Offeror's approach to the contract transition plan requirements identified in the PWS, including the timetable for orderly assumption of responsibilities and the application of resources during the phase-in period. The Transition Plan will also to be evaluated with respect to its feasibility, comprehensiveness, efficiency and effectiveness, including the extent that it provides for a smooth and orderly transition, identifies key issues and milestones, identifies potential barriers to a smooth transition, proposes solutions to the barriers identified, and minimizes impacts on continuity of operations.

To:

Offeror's approach to the contract transition requirements identified in the PWS,

including the timetable for orderly assumption of responsibilities and the application of resources during the phase-in period. The transition approach will also to be evaluated with respect to its feasibility, comprehensiveness, efficiency and effectiveness, including the extent that it provides for a smooth and orderly transition, identifies key issues and milestones, identifies potential barriers to a smooth transition, proposes solutions to the barriers identified, and minimizes impacts on continuity of operations.

- (c) Evaluation Criterion 4, Relevant Company Experience, Paragraph (1) was revised to add the following language:

DOE intends to take a broad interpretation in determining relevancy. Please note, a reference with a higher degree of relevance and recency may be given greater consideration. It is the Offeror's responsibility to provide sufficient information to demonstrate the relevancy and similarity to the PWS of the information provided for the Past Performance and Relevant Company Experience evaluations in Attachment L-3.

DOE intends to consider work performed under traditional (non-M&O) cost reimbursement and fixed-price contracts that emphasize contract management, change control, schedule and cost performance, and timeliness of deliverables as more consistent with the scope of the PWS, and therefore more relevant, than similar work performed under M&O contracts.

All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.